	Foreword	3
	Strategy	
2.	Introduction and Context	4
3.	Spatial Vision, Objectives and Development Strategy	8
	Core Policies	
4.	Overarching Principles	37
5.	Harrow & Wealdstone	62
6.	Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill	76
7.	South Harrow	84
8.	Rayners Lane & North Harrow	90
9.	Pinner & Hatch End	96
10.	Stanmore & Harrow Weald	103
11.	Edgware & Burnt Oak	111
12.	Kingsbury & Queensbury	118
13.	Kenton & Belmont	123
	Delivery Mechanisms	
14.	Delivery Mechanisms	127
	Appendices	

Α.	Housing Trajectory	134
В.	Town Centre Hierarchy and Neighbourhood Parades	136
C.	Evidence Base and Strategies	140
D.	Schedule of Superseded, Deleted and Saved UDP Policies	142
E.	Glossary	148
	List of Core Policies	
	Core Policy CS 1 Overarching Policy	48
	Core Policy CS 2 Harrow & Wealdstone	68
	Core Policy CS 3 Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill	79
	Core Policy CS 4 South Harrow	87
	Core Policy CS 5 Rayners Lane and North Harrow	93
	Core Policy CS 6 Pinner and Hatch End	99
	Core Policy CS 7 Stanmore and Harrow Weald	107
	Core Policy CS 8 Edgware and Burnt Oak	114
	Core Policy CS 9 Kingsbury and Queensbury	120
	Core Policy CS 10 Kenton & Belmont	125
	List of Maps	
	Map 1 Core Strategy Policy Sub Areas	36

Foreword

For residents and business alike, Harrow offers much that is good about outer London life. In the space of a single day residents can enjoy both the quiet contemplation of the natural environment and the bustling vibrancy of one of the world's greatest cities. A legacy of suburban housing with gardens, a Metropolitan town centre with a range of shops and leisure facilities, good local schools and a wealth of open spaces make Harrow an ideal location for family life. At the same time, a highly skilled and diverse population with high levels of employment and entrepreneurial flair continue to stimulate strong but mainly local economic opportunities for business.

Harrow's Core Strategy is a town and country plan for the next fifteen years. It has to tackle many challenges. Population growth and a requirement to deliver new homes continue to necessitate housing development in the Borough. As access to suitable housing is a problem for an increasing number of households, the need for homes which are truly affordable to their occupiers must also be addressed. Housing growth must be matched with investment in local services and infrastructure, an increase in locally available jobs, and the creation of conditions which are conducive to business and economic diversification. Rising to these challenges, whilst preserving all that is good about life in Harrow, is ultimately the Core Strategy's purpose.

This Core Strategy is the culmination of work that began in 2005 and which has involved four, wide-ranging consultation events as well as many smaller, more focused exercises. I thank all of those individuals, organisations and community groups that found the time and energy to participate in the preparation process. The result is a plan founded on a clear, deliverable spatial strategy for the Borough's future. I now ask you to continue to participate as the Council and its partners implement the Core Strategy, through decisions on planning applications, infrastructure planning and service provision, and to help scrutinise the plan's implementation using Harrow's Annual Monitoring Report.



Councillor Keith Ferry

Portfolio Holder for Planning,

Development and Enterprise

2 Introduction and Context

What is the Local Development Framework?

- 2.1 The Harrow LDF is a portfolio of separate planning policy documents that are being prepared by the Council to plan for and manage development and the use of land in the Borough over the next 15 years. When adopted, the LDF will replace the adopted Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004) and will be used to guide development within the Borough and to determine individual planning applications.
- 2.2 The **Core Strategy** (this document) is the most important component of the Harrow LDF. It sets out the long term vision of how Harrow, and the places within it, should develop by 2026 and sets out the Council's strategy for achieving that vision. In particular, it identifies the broad locations for delivering housing and other strategic development needs such as employment, retail, leisure, community facilities and other uses. It also sets the context for the other policy documents that make up the Harrow LDF. These are:
- Harrow and Wealdstone Area Action Plan: Being prepared jointly with the GLA and other
 partners. It will set out a comprehensive set of policies, proposals and site allocations for
 development within the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area, which includes the town
 centres of Harrow and Wealdstone, the corridor between them, and the business land
 surrounding Wealdstone. It will ensure development is managed in a comprehensive manner
 and delivers the social, environmental and economic outcomes sought for this area.
- Development Management Policies DPD: Will contain detailed policies for the assessment
 of planning applications for development and land use outside of the Intensification Area. The
 policies will generally be criteria based and will focus on giving effect to the strategic objectives
 and core policies of the Core Strategy. As set out in Government guidance, it will not be
 necessary to repeat or re-interpret national or regional policy, other than where local
 circumstance and robust evidence might justify the adoption of an alternative approach.
- Site Specific Allocation DPD: Will set out the allocated sites except for those set out in the Area Action Plan. It will identify the locations and sites for specific types of development in order to ensure the vision, objectives and strategy of the Core Strategy are implemented. The broad parameters for the development of each site will be listed covering land use and development criteria.
- West London Waste Plan DPD: Identifies and safeguards sufficient sites for a range of waste management facilities that are required in the area to deal with West London's waste up to 2026. The Plan will also contain policies to support site development. It is being prepared jointly by Harrow and the five other boroughs in the West London Waste Authority area.

- Proposals Map: Will identify site allocations and areas of planning constraint, such as the
 Green Belt and other local and national environmental designations. The Proposals Map will
 be updated as new DPDs are prepared or revised so as to illustrate, graphically, the application
 of the policies in the DPD.
- Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs): These will give detailed guidance on how
 the Council's planning strategy and policies will be implemented for specific topics, areas or
 sites. Although they will not form part of the statutory development plan for Harrow, and will
 therefore not have the same weight in decision making, they will be important considerations
 in the Council's planning decisions.




Figure 1 Harrow Local Development Framework

- 2.3 Further detail on the role and function of each of these documents, as well as the timetable for their preparation, is set out in Harrow's Local Development Scheme, which is available on the Council's website at http://www.harrow.gov.uk/ldf.
- 2.4 The 'saved' policies of the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (UDP) 2004 and the London Plan will continue to form the development plan for Harrow until the relevant documents that make up the LDF have been adopted. The Core Strategy, once adopted, will replace the UDP policies listed in Appendix D.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

The Core Strategy - a new approach to planning for Harrow

- 2.5 As Harrow grows and changes, care for the Borough's natural resources and local environment becomes a significant consideration in the way that different land uses are managed. A common weakness of the existing UDP is that it does not adequately address how existing residential areas will change as a consequence of infilling and redevelopment for housing, nor how the consequences of this change on social and physical infrastructure will be managed.
- 2.6 This means that there has often been no planned approach to managing change across the Borough. Rather, development has been managed on a purely responsive basis, judging the merits or otherwise of a proposal in isolation of any shared understanding of how each development might contribute, in part, towards a desired future state for the local area or the Borough as a whole. As a result, development and growth has been ad hoc, often leading to cumulative impacts on the provision of social and physical infrastructure and giving rise to public opposition to growth.
- 2.7 The Core Strategy represents a new and proactive approach by which the Council can plan for and manage growth, and the changes it brings, in a way that focuses attention on the areas within the Borough where opportunities exist for regeneration and development, and where there is also capacity to accommodate change. It indicates how much development is intended to happen, when, where and by what means it will be delivered over the plan period. In addition to covering the physical aspects of location and land use, the Core Strategy also addresses other factors that make places attractive, sustainable and successful. It will play a key part in shaping the kind of place Harrow will be in the future, balancing the needs of residents, businesses and future generations.

The Sustainable Community Strategy and other local plans and strategies

- 2.8 A principal objective of the Core Strategy is that it should integrate with, and help to implement other local plans and strategies prepared by the Council and its partners relating to issues such as housing, transport, employment, health, leisure and culture. Key amongst these is the Sustainable Community Strategy, which is produced by the Harrow Partnership and sets out a collective, long-term set of visions, priorities and ambitions for the Borough. The Sustainable Community Strategy identifies what the Council and its partners⁽¹⁾ will do to build a more sustainable, prosperous and inclusive Harrow.
- 2.9 In March 2009, the Harrow Partnership reviewed and updated the Harrow Sustainable Community Strategy to make it relevant to the challenges to be faced over the next decade. The updated Strategy is available on the Council's website. Using the priorities agreed for the Local Area Agreement, it focuses on six key themes for Harrow:
- Economic Development in Harrow;
- Every Harrow Child;
- Health, Wellbeing and Independence;
- An Improving Environment;
- Culture, Communities and Identity; and
- The Future of Public Services and Democracy.

¹ representatives from agencies that deliver public services, community and voluntary organisations, and businesses in Harrow

- **2.10** Each of the above themes is supported by a vision, short-term actions and longer term ambitions. In giving effect to the updated Sustainable Community Strategy the Core Strategy has sought to translate, where appropriate, its priorities and ambitions into strategic objectives and land based policies.
- 2.11 Along with the Sustainable Community Strategy, the Core Strategy has also had regard to the key aspirations and outcomes of the local plans and strategies as set out in Appendix C. In addition to these strategies, preparation of the Core Strategy has also involved consultation and joint working, where appropriate, with neighbouring authorities to better understand potential influences on activities within Harrow and to develop policies and approaches which ensure consistency across judicial boundaries. Achieving a more sustainable pattern of land use within Harrow requires a full awareness of the inter-relationships with other areas.

Sustainability Appraisal and Equalities Impact Assessment

2.12 All development plan documents are required to undergo Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Equalities Impact Assessment (EQIA). Both of these assessments have been undertaken at each stage of the Core Strategy's production. The process has sought to identify the potential positive and negative social, economic and environmental impacts likely to arise as a result of implementing the various development options and policies that have been proposed to date. The results of these assessments were then published alongside consultation on the Core Strategy - the intention being to assist people in making an informed decision about which option they might prefer. The final SA and EQIA reports test the Core Strategy policies against the sustainability objectives and a set of equalities criteria, and seek to ensure that any positive impacts are maximised and appropriate mitigation measures are considered in the context of negative impacts.

National and Regional Planning Policy

- **2.13** Harrow's LDF, whilst reflecting local needs and circumstances, must be consistent with national policy prepared by the Government in Planning Policy Statements / Guidance, and must also be in general conformity with regional policy prepared by the Mayor of London, and published through the London Plan.
- 2.14 In preparing the Core Strategy, the Council has taken account of the published Planning Policy Statements / Guidance, including the emerging draft National Planning Policy Framework.
- 2.15 The London Plan is the overarching spatial development strategy for London. It provides the strategic, London-wide context within which all London boroughs must set their detailed local planning policies. Accordingly, policies in the Core Strategy and Harrow's other DPDs will need to be in general conformity with the London Plan.

3 Spatial Vision, Objectives and Development Strategy

Borough portrait

- 3.1 Harrow is internationally known for its excellent schools, including Harrow School. The Borough has developed from a series of village and Metroland centres into a successful outer London Borough that continues to perform an important role within the overall housing market of west London. Its network of town centres, high quality open spaces and extensive Green Belt, good schools, low crime rate, along with its historic assets and excellent transport links to central London have combined to contribute significantly to its desirability as a place to live, work and visit. These considerable existing attributes, and its potential to develop further, make it attractive to residential investment. However, this masks the fact that the Borough also has pockets of deprivation and many of the community assets are in need of renewal or replacement. The challenge for Harrow is to accommodate further development but in a manner and form that is tailored to meet local needs, provides for future social and economic wellbeing, addresses areas in decline, and protects and improves those elements and features that make Harrow attractive.
- 3.2 In respect of economic and regeneration activities, Harrow is not as competitive as it could be. Harrow town centre is one of 12 Metropolitan centres in London but has not kept pace with growth experienced by neighbouring centres and is need of some renewal. The office and industrial sectors have been in decline and are not attracting regional market interests. Yet, despite the current economic climate, most of the Borough's town centres and employment areas, with some notable exceptions, have maintained their viability with relatively low vacancy rates and, more recently, have seen increased demand for new hotel developments and education and training facilities. Harrow also has unique strengths, in terms of its diverse, highly skilled and entrepreneurial population that provide the opportunity for the Borough to carve out a clear role for itself in support of west and outer London's wider economic offer.
- 3.3 It is important that Harrow's strategy for the future development of the Borough is based on an understanding of the characteristics that make Harrow what it is and a knowledge of how it is likely to change. This section of the Core Strategy provides an overview of some of the features that give the Borough its unique character. It summarises and highlights the significant opportunities and key drivers that will shape the future of the Borough.

Harrow as a place

- Harrow is an outer-London Borough situated approximately 10 miles to the north-west of central London with a land area of some 5,047 hectares (50 sq kilometres or 20 sq miles). It is the 12th largest borough in London by area but 21st in terms of size of population;
- Neighbouring local authorities are the London boroughs of Barnet, Brent, Ealing and Hillingdon, and to the north Hertsmere Borough Council and Three Rivers District Council in Hertfordshire;
- The Borough is organised into 21 wards and forms part of the West London sub-region⁽²⁾;

² comprising the boroughs of Brent, Ealing, Hammersmith & Fulham, Hillingdon, Hounslow and Kensington & Chelsea

- Notable historic components across the Borough comprise Harrow Village including St. Mary's Church and Harrow School atop Harrow Hill, Headstone Manor and Pinner Deer Park, Pinner High Street, St. John the Evangelist Church and St. Lawrence Church in Stanmore, Canons Park and North London Collegiate College, the Grim's Dyke earthwork and the Grim's Dyke Hotel, and Bentley Priory;
- Wealdstone has a strong industrial legacy including some manufacturing firms such as Whitefiars Glass, Kodak and Winsor & Newton;
- Today, Harrow is a classic outer-London Borough comprising inter-war suburbs, Metroland town centres and surviving village centres set within Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and a range of natural greenspaces, municipal parks and playing fields;
- Traditional suburban housing estates in the Borough's lowland areas to the south give way
 to spacious and more varied residential areas on rising ground to the north, reflected in a
 reduction in population density from south to north;
- Frequent train services provide access to London Euston and London Marylebone within 20 minutes, alongside services to other parts of the south east; Underground services provide direct access into central London and beyond; and
- Notable institutions and major employers include Harrow School and John Lyon School, the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, Clementine Churchill Hospital, Kodak, Ladbrokes and Bovis Lend Lease.

Harrow's people and households

- According to the GLA Revised Mid-Year Estimates (2010) the Borough has a population of 228,100 with projections for 2031 at just over 233,300;
- In addition to population growth, the demographic of the population is forecast to change, including an increase in ethnic minority groups, one person households, lone parents and multi occupation households;
- Harrow residents enjoy good life expectancy (78.9 years for men and 83.1 years for women)
 resulting in a disproportionately high increase in the proportion of older residents and adults
 living alone. Forecasts show these trends are set to continue with an increasing number of
 people aged over 85;
- At the opposite end of the age spectrum, the Borough will also see a growth in the under 15 age group (9.8%) reflecting Harrow's role in providing suburban family accommodation;
- Harrow is already one of the most diverse boroughs in London and the most religiously diverse
 in the UK. A significant proportion of Harrow's residents are of Indian origin and overall around
 53% of the population are from minority ethnic groups with this figure being higher in certain

Harrow Core Strategy Final

parts of the Borough (e.g. Kenton East) and in the school age population. It also has the highest density of Gujarat Hindus in the UK, and Stanmore and Canons Park Synagogue boasts the largest membership of any single synagogue in Europe;

- The Borough is also one of the safest in London, consistently in the top two lowest crime rates across the capital. Despite this, fear of crime remains high, and is one of the main concerns for residents; and
- There are around 86,000 households in Harrow; the average household size in the Borough in 2006 is approaching 2.6 persons (higher than the London average) while the proportion of one-person households is estimated at 27.6% (lower than the London average).

Housing and residential character

- In 2001 Harrow had 9,877 detached, 32,309 semi-detached and 16,397 terraced houses and bungalows; there were a further 16,159 flats in purpose built blocks, 4,734 flats in converted buildings and 1,395 residential units in commercial buildings;
- A total of 4,732 homes have been added to Harrow's housing stock over the period 2001-2010;
 the majority of completions are one and two bedroom flats;
- Harrow has one of the highest levels of private sector housing; 75% of housing in the Borough is owner occupied, with less than 11% being social housing (lower than the London and national average);
- In 2008/09 the average house price in the Borough was £287,945, eight times the annual average gross salary of Harrow households;
- Harrow's strategic housing requirement is 350 additional homes per annum from 2011; and
- Harrow's average density is 45 persons per hectare, which makes it one of the more densely populated outer London boroughs, although still below the London average.

Harrow's transport

- Four London Underground lines serve Harrow: the Metropolitan, Bakerloo, Jubilee and Piccadilly lines; in addition the Edgware branch of the Northern line runs close to the eastern Borough boundary and the London Overground network provides services which supplement the Bakerloo line;
- Mainline rail services connect the Borough to London termini at Marylebone and Euston, and to Clapham Junction, Watford Junction, Aylesbury and Birmingham;
- Evidence shows adequate carrying capacity on Underground services; however the provision
 of step-free access remains an issue at many of the Borough's stations including the busiest,
 Harrow-on-the-Hill Station;
- There are 37 bus routes, including 5 night bus services, serving areas within the Borough and links to neighbouring boroughs; however Harrow bus station is operating over capacity;

- The A40, M40 and M4 motorways are close to the southern boundary while the M1, A1 and A41 are close to the north east corner; the M25 provides the major orbital route to the north and west while the A406 North Circular Road is to the south east;
- A network of cycle routes provides a total of 41km cycle lanes in the Borough; and
- Over 70% of households in Harrow owned a car at the time of the 2001 Census and two thirds recorded that they had access to two or more cars at home.

Harrow's environment

- Over a quarter of the Borough consists of designated open space; residential gardens provide private or communally accessible spaces;
- Harrow's land area includes 1,088 hectares Green Belt and a further 313 hectares Metropolitan
 Open Land;
- Statutorily protected assets include 2 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, 28 Conservation Areas, over 300 listed buildings, four historic parks and gardens, and 9 scheduled ancient monuments;
- By 2009/10 Harrow had achieved the 'Green Flag' quality status for three of its public parks:
 Canons Park, Harrow Recreation Ground and Roxeth Recreation Ground;
- Harrow has a composting and recycling rate of 46%, one of the highest in London, and has signed up to the Nottingham Declaration (2007) to reduce carbon dioxide emissions;
- Daily water consumption in Harrow is 170 litres per person per day (higher than the national average of 150 litres);
- Harrow's carbon footprint is calculated at 11.41 tonnes of CO2 per capita, which is higher than the London average but lower than the national average at 11.28 and 12.10 tonnes of CO2 per capita respectively. The domestic sector (i.e. Housing, and more specifically the electricity, gas and other fuels used in the home) is responsible for the highest portion of emissions, accounting for 53% of the CO2. In total, Harrow contributes 2.1% of all the CO2 emitted across London. This puts the Borough in 28th position out of the 33 London boroughs; and
- Located at the upper reaches of the Thames basin, tributaries of the Crane, Colne and Brent Rivers rise in Harrow; some parts of the Borough are susceptible to fluvial and surface water flooding.

Picture 1 Harrow School Cricket Grounds, West Street, Harrow on the Hill



Education, culture and leisure

- Harrow features many state-funded primary and secondary schools was well as handful of voluntary aided schools and several large tertiary colleges;
- There are a number of independent schools including Harrow School, John Lyon, North London Collegiate, Heathfield, Pinner, Orley Farm and Reddiford Schools that contribute significantly to Harrow's reputation as a place for learning;
- Harrow's schools overall continually perform above the national and London averages;
- Cultural facilities include Harrow Arts Centre at Elliot Hall, Hatch End; Headstone Manor and Harrow Museum; Flash Musicals in Edgware and spaces within educational establishments provide further access to the arts and related activities;
- Tourist attractions include Harrow Hill/School, proximity to Wembley Stadium and ease of access to central London, and access to green open spaces including the Capital Ring and London Loop strategic walking routes; and
- Harrow Leisure Centre is the principal swimming and indoor sport facility within the Borough.

Economy

- There are just over 10,000 businesses in Harrow; 78% of these are small businesses employing less than 4 people and 91% employ 10 people or less;
- However there are 38 businesses employing 200 people or more and these account for 25% of the Borough's employment;
- Public administration, education and health is strongly represented in Harrow's economy while banking, finance and insurance is under represented;

- In recent years there has been strong growth in new business formations in the 'legal,
 accountancy and other business support' and 'construction, personal, community service,
 retail trade and repairs' sectors;
- Employment forecasts project a net increase of 4,000 jobs in the Borough over the period 2009-2026; growth sectors include hotels and restaurants, business services and other services, however contraction is expected in the manufacturing, construction, wholesale, public administration, health and education sectors;
- Around 31% of Harrow's residents work within the Borough, 22% commute into central London, and about 25% work in the neighbouring boroughs of Brent, Hillingdon, Barnet and Ealing; there is also significant in-commuting into the Borough most notably from south Hertfordshire (Watford, Hertsmere);
- Just over 3.9% (4,165) of Harrow's working population were unemployed in June 2010; this is below the rates of London and England, 5.7% and 5.0% respectively. Long-term unemployment is increasing, 10.9% of Harrow's unemployed residents had been unemployed for over one year in June 2010, compared to 5.7% in June 2009. This proportion is below the level of long-term unemployment in London, at 16.9% and Great Britain at 18.1%.
- 12% of Harrow's economically active residents were self-employed in 2009 compared to 10.2% in 2008; the levels in 2009 for London and England & Wales are 10.9% and 9.0% respectively;
- Average household gross income was £41,300 a year in 2009, 0.9% higher than 2008 and 4.9% higher than the mean household income for London in 2009; and
- Although enjoying relatively low levels of multiple deprivation, Harrow's position compared to
 other local authorities deteriorated over the period 2004-2007 and two of the Borough's wards
 contain areas in England's 20% most deprived.


The challenge for Harrow

3.4 The Core Strategy covers the period to 2026 and beyond. It is in the nature of places to evolve and change over time, and the Strategy is being prepared in anticipation of the social, economic and environmental changes that are expected take place during this period. The key challenges are outlined below.

Population growth and demographic change

3.5 Harrow's population is growing and will continue to grow during the life of this plan, and the demographic of residents is changing. Households are getting smaller, life expectancy is anticipated to increase, and technological advances are changing the way people live and work. Therefore, improving the quality of life for Harrow's residents, whilst at the same time accommodating these demographic changes, is a key challenge. New housing will need to provide a form and standard of accommodation which meets the reasonable requirements and expectations of the population, and to do so in a way that achieves more sustainable lifestyles through the location, form and mix of land uses, and building design.

3.6 This comes in the form of ensuring new buildings are suitably designed - both aesthetically and functionally, and providing for infrastructure to support such changes.





Sustainability

3.7 Issues of climate change and lifestyle have impacts upon Harrow that need to be responded to. Firstly, climate change is predicted to increase global temperatures, and could also lead to increased flooding. Harrow therefore needs to reduce its carbon dioxide emissions and its impact on the environment in general, to contribute to climate change mitigation, whilst at the same time adapting the built environment to become more resilient to the effects of climate change. This includes flood resilience measures being designed into developments, and other sustainable construction techniques being encouraged, whilst protecting the heritage around us. Secondly, the lifestyles have to become more sustainable and so issues such as reducing car travel, tackling waste and increasing the provisions for recycling must be addressed.

Quality of the environment

3.8 Harrow is in a privileged position in London, in that it contains a substantial amount of accessible open space, and also some attractive and unique historic neighbourhoods, notably the medieval village of Pinner, and the renowned Harrow-on-the-Hill. There are also a number of historic parks and gardens, many originating from grand estates that once occupied this area. Together, these make Harrow a very attractive place for people to live, especially coupled with the suburban hinterland of family sized dwellings. Therefore change, as well as growth, needs to be managed in a way that respects local character and heritage, and also enhances it whenever possible. New development, public realm improvements, as well as issues such as air quality need to be carefully dealt with to ensure Harrow remains an attractive place to live and work.

Housing and affordability

3.9 As is the case in many of London's boroughs, housing supply and affordability are major issues. The disparity between incomes and house prices means that the demand for affordable housing outstrips the supply of dedicated provision. So a key challenge facing Harrow is providing for a range of housing including affordable housing to meet the current and future population's

needs, that are of a type, price and mix suitable and accessible to this future need which achieve mixed and balanced communities. Changes to the way affordable housing is funded may significantly impact on both the levels achieved and the type of affordable homes provided. This represents a further challenge to ensure the right balance is struck between the funding requirements of providers, the need to maximise the amount of affordable housing provision, and the requirement to target affordable housing towards those most in need.

Economic prosperity

- 3.10 The recent financial crisis has had an impact on Harrow but not as significant as elsewhere in London or nationally. This may be due in part to Harrow having a limited number (38) of very large private companies; a significant number (9,000+) of Small to Medium Enterprises (SMEs) that are more adaptable to change; and an over representation of 'professionally' classified workforce (40%). However, a large proportion of the Borough's workforce is employed in the main public sector categories of public administration, education and health, all of which are forecast to reduce significantly due to the fiscal austerity measures being imposed on the public sector. In addition, Harrow's Local Economic Assessment (2011)⁽³⁾ suggests that the policy framework for the local economy will need to focus on ensuring population growth is matched by employment growth, increasing the supply of higher skilled workers in line with skills forecasts, retaining a significant proportion of large businesses in the Borough, maintaining a supply and improving the quality of employment land and buildings, and attracting new investment including mixed uses to the Borough's town centres.
- 3.11 In response to the above, opportunities arising from the regeneration initiatives will need to be secured alongside positive intervention that address vacancy levels and improve the quality of employment land and buildings. Higher land use enabling development may be required initially to ensure the delivery of the right types of business units to grow Harrow's SME sector, as well as a relaxed approach to planning obligations. The Council will also need work closely with business support agencies (e.g. Business Link, West London Business, Harrow in Business) to maximise support for the existing and emerging businesses. Increasing Harrow's competitiveness in the West London sub region is key to ensuring job growth that benefits Harrow. The role of Harrow's town centres and the retail offer in the Borough is also integral to economic success, and so ensuring Harrow town centre remains a Metropolitan centre and can attract both big name stores, as well as a diverse range of smaller retailers to give Harrow a unique offer, is a key challenge. Coupled with this is the challenge of ensuring Harrow's other centres remain vibrant, and crucially, maintain their viability, to ensure residents have places locally to shop, and work in.

Access to opportunities

3.12 Whilst Harrow is generally a prosperous place, there are some areas that do not fare as well as others, indeed two of Harrow's 137 Super Output Areas⁽⁴⁾ are in 20% of the most deprived in England. The Council wants to ensure that everyone in the Borough has access to services, especially education and training to enable people to improve their skills and have access to all the employment opportunities that London has to offer. The Council also wants to promote equality

- 3 From 2011 all local authorities have a statutory duty to undertake an assessment of the economic conditions of their area. These assessments are referred to as 'Local Economic Assessments' (LEAs)
- 4 A Super Output Area (SOA) is a geographical area designed for the collection and publication of small area statistics significantly below the ward level. Nationally there are 34,378 SOAs

Harrow Core Strategy Final

by making sure that all areas have good access to health and community services, as well as good public transport links, to reduce inequalities and deprivation. These challenges will require co-ordinated investment in infrastructure and support for voluntary organisation.

3.13 Crime and, more often, a disproportionate fear of crime can be a barrier for some residents to visit particular places, services and facilities. Reducing the opportunity for crime and residents' perceptions of crime will be an important part of maintaining and improving the quality of life in the Borough.

Keeping Harrow moving

- 3.14 Housing and economic growth within the Borough will need to be matched by investment in physical infrastructure. Based on the findings of the Council's evidence base, there are currently no significant infrastructure constraints or 'showstoppers' identified that would prevent the Borough from promoting further growth. However, there are quality of life and business environment improvements that are required to maintain the competitiveness of our town centres, retain local employment and the attractiveness of our residential areas, as well as to enhance Harrow's potential to attract inward investment. Such improvements include the upgrading of train station and Harrow bus station; key junction improvements and orbital transport connections; urban realm and heritage projects; the delivery of an integrated Green Grid; improved access to and quality of open spaces and leisure facilities; the maintenance of education facilities; and the quality and sustainability of both the existing housing and business stock. These challenges need to be managed in light of other objectives to reduce carbon dioxide emissions, improve air quality, and reduce road traffic through support for more sustainable modes of transport.
- 3.15 In support of infrastructure provision, the Council had been improving its arrangements for securing enhanced levels of planning obligations. However, the recession and recent reforms to the use of planning obligations have impacted significantly on progress in this area. Nevertheless, the Council is committed to using section 106 to secure affordable housing and site specific requirements, and will also prepare a Borough Community Infrastructure Levy to fund strategic infrastructure improvements, including improvements to public transport, walking and cycling.

Harrow's spatial vision

- 3.16 The vision set out in the Harrow Sustainable Community Strategy is that, by 2020, Harrow will be recognised for:
- Integrated and co-ordinated quality services, many of which focus on preventing problems from arising, especially for vulnerable groups, and all of which put users in control, offering access and choice;
- Environmental, economic and community sustainability, because we actively manage our impact on the environment and have supported inclusive communities which provide the jobs, homes, education, healthcare, transport and other services all citizens need; and
- Improving the quality of life, by reducing inequalities, empowering the community voice, promoting respect and being the safest borough in London.
- **3.17** The vision and priorities set out above, and the strategic objectives of the Council's partnership strategies, are further developed through the Core Strategy's long-term spatial vision for the Borough and the places within it. The vision represents an ambitious but deliverable framework for how development and growth will be pro-actively managed and promoted within a

newly defined Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area, within Harrow's district and local centres, on strategic suburban brownfield sites and renewal areas located across the Borough; and by ensuring that the character of the rest of the Borough is sustained and enhanced.

Harrow's Spatial Vision

By 2026 Harrow will be a more sustainable community, its residents will benefit from an improving quality of life, having good access to a range of local facilities (including shops, public transport, recreation and leisure pursuits) services (including healthcare, education and training) housing and employment. New development and economic growth will have provided 6,050 net new homes, created over 4,000 additional new jobs and funded significant local improvements that benefit all in the community. The innovative and high quality design of new development will have also contributed to local distinctiveness, creating attractive buildings and spaces that foster community pride, raise the image of the Borough, whilst also delivering on the climate change agenda.

Comprehensive and coordinated regeneration activity will have positively transformed the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area, delivering at least 2,800 net new homes and 3,000 additional new jobs, and creating diverse and varied neighbourhoods where people choose to live and work. Harrow town centre will be a vibrant and attractive Metropolitan Centre having benefited from additional retail, leisure and hotel development, and having renewed much of its older office stock. It will have developed its role as the prime location for central public services including the relocation of the Council's Civic Centre towards the end of Plan period. Wealdstone will have developed its own, distinctive identity as a successful district shopping centre supported by local residents and a strong business community whose presence has been transformed by the intensification of employment and carefully managed redevelopment of surrounding industrial estates. Station Road will have benefited from redevelopment and environmental improvement as the principal component that binds the two centres together. Harrow-on-the-Hill Station, Harrow Bus Station and Harrow & Wealdstone Station will be accessible major public transport nodes. New development will have contributed to a coherent new character achieving high standards of sustainability, public realm and residential quality.

Improved pedestrian inter-connectivity between Harrow town centre and Harrow-on-the-Hill will have benefited residents and businesses in both locations whilst preserving their separate identities. The Borough's Metropolitan Open Land, Green Belt and other open space will have been maintained and enhanced as an interconnected network of green infrastructure and open watercourses supporting biodiversity and healthy lifestyles. The quality and accessibility of open space will have been maintained, and better provision for children's and teenagers' playspace will have been made. Views of St. Mary's Church and Harrow Weald Ridge will be a distinctive local feature cherished by residents and visitors alike. Harrow's identified heritage assets and historic environment, in particular, the special character of Harrow Hill, Harrow Weald Ridge and Pinner Hill, will continue to be valued, having been conserved and enhanced.

The Northolt Road business use area will have been renewed, providing a secondary location for economic activity, as part of mixed use development, beyond the Intensification Area. The regeneration of the Rayners Lane and Mill Farm estates will have delivered high quality new homes, environmental and social benefits to the estates' residents. North Harrow District Centre will be restored as a vibrant local shopping and service centre meeting the needs of residents and supporting local business. Rayners Lane will be enhanced to one of the best

examples of a classic Metroland and Art Deco centre in outer London. The quality of Pinner High Street will have been preserved and enhanced. At Stanmore, mixed use retail and residential development will have strengthened its function as District Centre, and the Wood Farm extension to Stanmore Country Park will have delivered a significant increase in natural greenspace accessible to residents and visitors alike. Partnership working with the neighbouring boroughs of Barnet and Brent will have secured co-ordinated public realm enhancements to Edgware, Burnt Oak, Kingsbury and Kenton centres, and will have provided improved connectivity between Kenton Station and Northwick Park Station.

The leafy, suburban character of the Borough's residential areas will have been safeguarded as areas of low density, family housing. Gardens will have been protected from inappropriate development, both as an amenity and as an environmental resource. Development opportunities, on previously-developed sites across the Borough, will have been successfully managed to integrate with their surroundings and will have contributed to environmental improvement and infrastructure in the locality.

The future of Bentley Priory and the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital will have been secured as places of national importance.

Employment land throughout the Borough will have been managed to preserve a supply most suited to existing and foreseeable needs. Harrow will have maintained its market share of retail expenditure to secure the vitality and viability of the Borough's town centre network to meet local needs for shopping and services.

The housing needs of the Borough's residents will have been met through provision of a range of housing types and tenures including provision of family sized affordable housing and supported housing for the elderly and vulnerable people.

The overall amount of waste generated in the Borough will have been reduced, with high levels of recycling and composting achieved and sustained.

Harrow's Core Strategy objectives

- 3.18 The following Borough-wide strategic objectives provide the link between Harrow's spatial vision and the strategy for delivery set out throughout the rest of this document. They build on the objectives of the Harrow Sustainable Community Strategy and draw on findings of the evidence base and the views of residents and businesses about what needs to happen to manage the impact of development and growth of the Borough as a whole.
- 1. Protect the historical and environmental features that contribute to Harrow's character and distinctiveness as a place to live, work and visit by:
- Protecting the Green Belt, Metropolitan Land and Areas of Special Character;
- Safeguarding important local views and the setting of Harrow Hill;
- Conserving or enhancing the significance of heritage assets for their intrinsic and economic values;
- Preserving the Metroland and suburban character of Harrow, including gardens and tree management; and
- Preserving the quality and function of the natural environment.

- 2. Enhance the infrastructure, environment and other resources which make Harrow a desirable place to live, work and visit by:
- Ensuring that growth is matched by enhancements to social and physical infrastructure, including education, health care, recreation and cultural facilities;
- Improving sustainable transport capacity, accessibility and quality to meet users' needs and expectations;
- Safeguarding and enhancing the vitality and viability of Harrow Metropolitan Centre, district and local centres;
- Promoting major retail, leisure, hotel development and office renewal in Harrow town centre;
- Planning, monitoring and managing employment land to meet the needs of Harrow's businesses and growth objectives;
- Delivering a mix of homes including market, affordable and low cost housing to meet residents' needs;
- Maintaining open space to provide a multi-functional and interconnected resource;
- Enhancing community access to and better use of open space, sport and recreation facilities;
 and
- Ensuring residents have access to education and training to access new jobs.
- 3. Manage the Borough's contribution to climate change and increase resilience to flooding by:
- Co-ordinating development and public transport to promote more sustainable patterns of land use to reduce reliance on private vehicles;
- Achieving sustainable design and construction in all new development;
- Promoting retrofitting and other initiatives that improve the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock;
- Reducing waste generation and increase recycling rates;
- Directing development away from areas of high flood risk and increase natural and sustainable drainage; and
- Improving air quality.
- 4. Adapt to population and demographic changes to meet people's needs and quality of life by:
- Ensuring continued access to jobs in London and increase local employment opportunities including those arising from the climate change sector;
- Meeting the accommodation needs of older people, Gypsies and Travellers;
- Securing high quality and accessible residential development;
- Promoting walking, cycling and participation in sport by all ages; and
- Contributing to a reduction in deprivation.
- 3.19 The above objectives are not provided in any order of importance or priority. They are however, further elaborated upon at the beginning of each core policy, setting the context for how each is to be met within the different parts of the Borough.

Monitoring Harrow's Strategic Objectives

3.20 Monitoring is essential for an effective strategy and, to this end, the Core Strategy must have clear arrangements for the monitoring and reporting of performance. The following tables set out the indicators and targets that will be used to monitor success or identify under-performance in the pursuit of the strategic objectives. All of the indicators which measure Core Strategy objectives (including those for each of the policies) will be included in Harrow's Annual Monitoring Report.

Protect the historical and environmental features that contribute to Harrow's character and distinctiveness as a place to live, work and visit

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Action/Contingency
Protect the Green Belt,	Loss of, or inappropriate development on,	No net loss of, or inappropriate	More than 1 appeal allowed for development	Review reasons for decision
Metropolitan Open Land, and	Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land	development on, Green Belt or	on Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land	
Areas of Special Character		Metropolitan Open Land		Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA the
				effectiveness of policy controls
				Review available previously developed land for
				development needs; amend site allocations if
				necessary to ensure deliverable supply of
				previously developed land
	Development adversely affecting an Area	No applications approved for	More than 1 application approved involving	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	of Special Character	development adversely	development adversely affecting an area of	policies for the protection of areas of special
		affecting an Area of Special	special character over a rolling 5 year period	character and if necessary revise to strengthen
		Character		
Safeguard important local	Development adversely affecting an	No applications approved for	application approved involving development	Review the reasons for the decision and if
views and the setting of	identified local view	development adversely	adversely affecting identified local view over a	necessary review the policies for the protection
Harrow Hill		affecting an identified local	rolling 5 year period	of identified local views and if necessary revise
		view		to strengthen
	Development adversely affecting the	No applications approved for	1 application involving development adversely	Review the reasons for the decision and if
	setting of Harrow Hill	development adversely	affecting the setting of Harrow Hill over a rolling	necessary review the policies for the protection
		affecting the setting of Harrow	5 year period	of Harrow Hill and if necessary revise to
		Hill		strengthen
Preserve or enhance	Conservation areas with adopted	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and
designated heritage assets	supplementary planning documents, area	-	,	address as necessary
	appraisals and management strategies			,
economic values	Historic parks and gardens with an	100% by 2025/26	Less than 50% by 2016/17	Review reasons for lack of progress and
	adopted asset management plan	100% 5, 2020/20	-	address as necessary
	adopted asset management plan		Less than 75% by 2020/21	address as necessary
			,	
	Up-to-date list of buildings of local	Review list every five years	No review by end 2016/17	Identify reasons and address as necessary
	architectural or historic buildings and			
			No further review by end 2021/22	
	Up-to-date guidance leaflet for owners of	Review guidance every five	No review by end 2016/17	Identify reasons and address as necessary
	locally listed buildings	years		
			No further review by end 2021/22	
	Up to date list of locally important historic	Review list every five years	No review by end 2016/17	Identify reasons and address as necessary
	parks and gardens			
			No further review by end 2021/22	
	I	<u> </u>	I	

	Heritage assets on the English Heritage	None	Additional heritage assets on the English	Review reasons and possible interventions
	'at risk' register		Heritage 'at risk' register	
				If necessary amend heritage protection policies
				to strengthen
	Development adversely affecting a	No application approved for	More than 1 application approved adversely	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	heritage asset (except enabling	development adversely	affecting a heritage asset over five years	policies for heritage protection and if necessary
	development)	affecting a heritage asset		revise to strengthen
Preserve the Metroland and	Planning appeals dismissed for	100%	More than 1 appeal allowed for inappropriate	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
suburban character of	inappropriate garden development		garden development over a rolling 3 year period	policies for resisting inappropriate garden
Harrow, including gardens				development and if necessary revise to
and tree management				strengthen
	Planning appeals dismissed for	100%	More than 5 appeals allowed for development	
	development adversely affecting	10070		policies for protection of character and if
	Metroland and suburban character	1001/	character over a rolling 3 year period	necessary revise to strengthen
	Planning appeals dismissed for works to	100%	More than 5 appeals allowed for works to	Review effectiveness of relevant tree
	protected trees		protected trees over a rolling 5 year period	preservation orders and management
				procedures
Preserve the quality and	Improve the quality of existing natural and	70% of existing sites meet the	Less than 60% by 2016/17	Review reasons why qualitative improvements
function of the natural	semi-natural open space sites	recommended local quality		are not being made and, if necessary, review
environment		standard by 2026 (based on	Less than 65% by 2021/22	the Open Space Strategy and Biodiversity
		the 2010 PPG17 figures)		Action Plan
			New PPG 17 study in 2017/18 identifies	
			material deterioration in quality compared to	Review policies and amend if necessary to
			2010 study	strengthen protection and delivery of qualitative
				improvements
	Sites of importance for nature	80% of SINCs where positive	Less than 60% by 2016/17	Review reason why positive conservation
	conservation where positive conservation	conservation management has		management is not being implemented
	management has been or is being	been or is being implemented	Less than 70% by 2021/22	
	implemented			Consider options to incentivise private
			No increase in the number of SINCs in positive	landowners
			conservation management over a rolling 3 year	
			period	Review policies and amend if necessary to
				strengthen protection
				Review Biodiversity Action Plan and update if
				necessary
	Number of parks managed to Green Flag	77% of parks managed to	Less than 55% by 2017/18	Review investment and management of parks;
	award standard	Green Flag award standard		if necessary revise Open Space Strategy to
		(using 2010 as base year)	Less than 66% by 2021/22	address issues
	Development adversely affecting	No application approved for	More than 5 applications approved for	Review available sites to meet development
	functional flood plain	development adversely	development adversely affecting the functional	needs and further allocate sites if necessary
		affecting the functional flood	floodplain over 5 years (rolling)	outside of the functional flood plain
		plain		·

Enhance the infrastructure, environment and other resources which make Harrow a desirable place to live, work and visit

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Action/Contingency
Ensure growth is matched by enhancements to social and physical infrastructure including education, health care, recreation and cultural	Planning appeals dismissed for development not demonstrating adequate infrastructure capacity to serve the development	100%	More than 20% appeals allowed for development not demonstrating adequate infrastructure capacity to serve the development over 3 years (rolling)	Review viability and implementation of policies. Introduce development management policies to prioritise delivery (in case of viability) or improve policy implementation
facilities	Retention of community uses	No net loss of community facilities unless compensation is provided	Loss of more than 1 community facility to other non-community uses, without compensation (using 2010 as the base year)	Review viability and implementation of policies. Introduce development management policies to prioritise retention (in case of viability) or improve policy implementation
	Provision of additional primary school capacity	Approval for and construction of new and extended schools in accordance with Harrow's School Places Plan	No permanent expansion under construction by the end 2012/13 and annually thereafter to 2015/16	Discuss with education providers to establish reasons and address Review Planning Obligation agreements and education contributions
	Provision of additional secondary school capacity	1 x new secondary school from 2016/17 and before 2025/26	No site identified for new secondary school by end 2016/17 No delivery or no funded proposals for delivery by end 2020/21	Discuss with education providers to establish reasons and, if required, allocate existing school sites for expansion as an alternative to new school provision
	Provision of additional health services capacity	Provision of two additional polyclinics or GP-led surgeries by the end of the plan period in 2026	No site identified for new polyclinics or GP-led surgeries by end 2015/16 No delivery or no funded proposals for delivery by end 2020/21	Discuss with health providers to establish reasons and, if required, allocate sites for alternative forms of provision in partnership with health providers and developers
	Provision of performing arts space	An additional performing arts space by 2025/26	No site identified for new performing arts space by end 2015/16 No delivery or no funded proposals for delivery by end 2020/21	Discuss with cultural service providers to establish reasons and address Discuss with cultural service providers to secure the delivery of alternatives by 2025/26
Improve transport capacity, accessibility and quality to meet users' needs and expectations	Proportion of trips made by public transport	Reduce the proportion of trips made by car from a baseline (currently estimated at 51.9% of	Less than a 1% reduction in the periods 2013/14, 2016/17, 2019/20, & 2022/23	Discuss with Mayor of London/TfL to establish reasons for performance, and how these can be addressed

Highway improvements at key junctions used by buses	modal share), by a minimum of 5% over the period to 2026 100% by 2026	80% of key junction not improved or no funded proposals for improvement by 2016/17	Discuss with delivery partners the reasons for performance and, if necessary, review transport study and seek to include funded projects for improvements at key junctions
		funded proposals for improvement by 2020/21	in subsequent LIP document or sub-regional transport plan
Number of applications approved for major development in areas of low public transport accessibility (PTALs 0, 1 and 2) that do not enhance the PTAL of the site	None	More than 5 applications approved for major development in areas of low public transport that do not enhance the PTAL of the site over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review implementation of policies and amend if necessary
Pipeline supply of new retail floorspace (comparison and convenience)	44,000m2 net additional retail floorspace by 2026	Less than 15,000m2 by end 2016/17 Less than 29,000m2 by end 2020/21	In consultation with local Trader Associations, town centre managers, and industry, and review reasons why the trajectory is not being met and, if necessary, review the Retail Study and ensure up-to-date projections of need for new retail floorspace and identify any barriers to delivery Review site allocations and development management policies in partnership with
Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations	None	1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period	Review reasons for decisions and , if necessary, review site allocations and development management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres
Vacancy rate for each centre (total measured retail frontage)	No increase of vacancy rates in Primary Shopping frontage from 2009 to 2026	An increase in vacant retail frontage of more than 5% in any centre over a rolling 5 year period	Analyse causes of footfall decline in the centre(s) affected and identify measures. Revise development management policies if necessary
Average footfall in Metropolitan and district centres	Not to fall more than 10% below 2009/10 levels	Footfall more than 10% below 1999 levels in any centre over five years (rolling)	Analyse causes of vacancy in the centre(s) affected and identify measures. Revise development management policies if necessary

		<u> </u>		
Promote major retail,	Pipeline supply of new retail	22,500m2 net additional	Less than 7,000m2 by 2016/17	In association with key stakeholders,
leisure, hotel	floorspace (comparison only) in	retail floorspace by 2026		including the Town Centre Forum, review
development and office	Harrow town centre		Less than 14,500m2 by 2020/21	reasons why the trajectory is not being met
renewal in Harrow town				and, if necessary, review the Retail Study
centre				and ensure up-to-date projections of need
				for new retail floorspace and identify any
				barriers to delivery
				Review area action plan in partnership with
				developers to secure delivery
	Number of hotel bedspaces in Harrow	Increase the number of	Less than a 20% increase (ie 410 hotel	Discuss with applicants the reasons why
	town centre	hotel bedspaces to 500	bedspaces) by end 2015/16	planning permissions are not being
		within the town centre by		implemented
		2026 (using September	Less than a 35% increase (ie 460 hotel	
		2011 as the base year)	bedspaces) by end 2020/21	In consultation with the hotel industry,
				review interest/market for new hotel
				development in Harrow as part of a review
				of the Tourism Strategy and
				identify/address any barriers to delivery
				Review area action plan in partnership with
				hotel developers to secure delivery
	Number of applications for	80% to include reprovision	Less than 50% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons for performance and if
	development on sites with existing B1	of office floorspace through		necessary amend area action plan policies
	use in Harrow town centre	redevelopment		to secure optimum viable delivery or
				address implementation issues
	Office vacancy rates in Harrow town	Reduce to vacancy to 10%	Not greater than 15% by 2015/16	In consultation with agents, review supply
	-		1400 groater that 10 /0 by 2010/10	
	centre	by 2026	Not greater than 12% by 2020/21	and demand of Harrow town centre office
			g. outo. a.u.ii 12/0 by 2020/21	space;
				Undertake henchmarking to understood the
				Undertake benchmarking to understand the
				Harrow position in relation to the outer west
				London picture;
				If necessary amend area action plan
				If necessary, amend area action plan
				policies to relax conversion/ redevelopment
				of offices
	Number of applications for 100%	None	More than 3 applications approved for	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	major residential development in		100% major residential development in	viability and implementation of policies. If
	Harrow town centre		Harrow town centre over a rolling 5 year	necessary amend area action plan policies
	Trainow town centre			necessary amend area action plan policies
			period	
		İ	1	j l

		I		
Planning, monitoring and	Amount of industrial land released for	Not more than 11.2	More than 11.2 hectares industrial land	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
managing employment	redevelopment to other uses	hectares between 2009	released for redevelopment to other uses	supply and demand of industrial space; if
land to meet the needs of		and 2026		necessary amend policies to restrict further
Harrow's business and				losses
growth objectives	Square metres of new flooreness on	25,000 sqm (gross) by	Loca than 2 500 cam (groca) by 2017/19:	Discuss with Mayor of Landon/CLA/site
	Square metres of new floorspace on		Less than 3,500 sqm (gross) by 2017/18;	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA/site
	strategic industrial location (SIL) land	2026	Less than 11,000 sqm (gross) by 2020/21	owner the policy controls; if necessary
	for uses within the definition of Policy		Less than 11,000 sqiff (gloss) by 2020/21	amend local policies to encourage
	2.17 of the London Plan			appropriate new development.
	Square metres of new floorspace on	4,000 sqm (gross) by 2026	Less than 1,000 sqm (gross) by 2015/16	Discuss with landowners reason for
		4,000 sqm (gross) by 2020	Less than 1,000 sqm (gross) by 2015/16	
	business use and industrial &		Less than 2,500 sqm (gross) by 2020/21	performance and review market conditions
	business use land for economic		2000 than 2,000 54m (gross) by 2020/21	and identify any barriers to development; if
	development uses			necessary amend local policies to
				encourage new economic development
	Gross change in square metres of	40% reprovision (new or	Less than 40% over a rolling 3 year period	Review supply and demand for offices in
	office (B1a) floorspace	refurbished) upon	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	Harrow town centre and the Borough. If
	Soc (B ray noorspace	redevelopment of existing		necessary amend policies to restrict loss
				of existing office space
		office floorspace within the		of existing office space
		town centre		
	Office (B1a) vacancy rates in the	Not greater than 10% by	Not greater than 15% by 2015/16	Review supply and demand for offices. If
	Borough	2025/26		necessary amend policies to relax
			Not greater than 12% by 2020/21	conversion/ redevelopment of offices
Deliver a mix of homes	Number of net additional dwellings	Deliver a minimum of 6,050	Where the cumulative total completions (as	Review net dwellings granted planning
including market, low cost	built each year as monitored through	net additional dwellings	measured from 1997) falls below more than	permission, lapsed permissions and
and affordable housing to	the AMR	over the plan period to	30% of the cumulative London Plan target	implemented permissions over the past five
meet residents' needs		2026	(as measured from 1997) for a period of	year period
			two consecutive years, or by more then 300	
			units in the first year, according to the	Meet with key stakeholders (developers,
			housing trajectory in the AMR	landowners and delivery partners) to review
				effectiveness of the site allocations DPD
				and area action plan implementation; if
				necessary amend policies to address
				housing delivery
				Review policies controlling conversions and
				garden development
				Review industrial land release; if necessary
				amend policies to relax losses for housing
	Proportion of affordable housing from	40% (2,420) of housing	Less than 500 by 2014/15	Discuss with Housing and the Affordable
	2009	delivered between 2009		Housing Delivery Group the reasons for
			Less than 1,000 by 2018/19	
		and 2026 affordable		performance to date and review the
			Less than 1,600 by 2022/23	affordable housing pipeline
				'
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

	1			
				Review policy application, viability and
				effectiveness; amend policy (in terms of
				tenure, size etc), review policy
				implementation (s106 arrangements/terms),
				or CIL requirements as necessary
	Proportion of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5+	Proportion in accordance	More than a 10% variance over a five year	Review implementation of policies with
	bedroom social/affordable rent units	with up-to-date target mix;	rolling to any target within an up to date	housing and development control officers,
	bedroom social/allordable rent units	-		
		currently:	target mix	RSL partners and developers and amend
		7% one bedroom		if necessary
		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		36% two bedrooms		
		30% three bedrooms		
		17% four bedrooms		
		10% 5+ bedrooms		
	Proportion of 1, 2, 3 and 4+ bedroom	Proportion in accordance	More than a 10% variance over a five year	Review implementation of policies with
	intermediate units	with up-to-date target mix;	rolling to any target within an up to date	developers and development control
	intermediate diffe	currently:	target mix	officers and amend if necessary
		ouriently.	target mix	omocio una amena il necessary
		35% one bedroom		
		30% two bedrooms		
		21% three bedrooms		
		14% four+ bedrooms		
-	Proportion of private and effectable	25% of all boursing to be 2	Less than 15% over a rolling 5 year neited	Pavious reasons for missing torset Dia
	Proportion of private and affordable	25% of all housing to be 3	Less than 15% over a rolling 5 year period	Review reasons for missing target Discuss
	dwellings providing family	or more bedrooms		with developers, local agents and RSL
	accommodation			partners potential changes to policies, s106
				arrangements and/or site allocations;
				amend if necessary
Maintain open space to	Loss of open space to development	No net loss of open space	1 application approved involving a net loss	Review the reason(s) for the decision
	(excluding appropriate functional	to development	of open space to development over a rolling	
1	development)	·	5 year period	Review supply and demand of open space
resource	, ,		, ,	and open spaces strategy
1				Review policies and amend policies if
	l			
				necessary to strengthen protection of open
				necessary to strengthen protection of open space

Enhance community access to and better use of open space, sport and recreation facilities	Implementation of Green Grid projects % of existing sites within each open space typology currently not meeting the recommended local quality standard (based on the 2010 PPG17 figures)	100% of projects funded and/or implemented by 2026 20% reduction on the 2010 PPG17 figure for each open space typology	Less than 30% of projects funded and/or implemented by 2016/17 Less than 60% of projects funded and/or implemented by 2021/22 Less than a 6% reduction achieved on 4 out of the 6 open space typologies by 2015/16 Less than a 13% reduction achieved on 4 out of the 6 open space typologies by 2021/22	In association with local amenity groups review the reasons for performance to date and, if necessary, amend the project implementation arrangements, including funding streams, including the requirements and effectiveness of \$106 & Community Infrastructure Levy Review reasons why qualitative improvements are not being delivered Review policy requirements and effectiveness of securing planning obligations towards qualitative improvements
	Accessibility improvements to PPG 17 open space typologies	Reduce deficiencies by 5% on the 2010 baseline for each open space typology	Less than a 1.5% reduction achieved on 4 out of the 6 open space typologies by 2015/16 Less than a 3% reduction achieved on 4 out of the 6 open space typologies by 2021/22	Undertake analysis to understand the barriers to achieving improved accessibility to open space Consider options to address identified barriers, including non-policy interventions (e.g. incentivising public use of private open space) alongside changes to relax policies on net loss where enhancements to accessibility can be secured in areas of greatest deficiency Alternatively consider options for more direct policy intervention
Ensure residents have	Open spaces strategy with deliverable action plan in place Planning obligations on major	Adopted open spaces strategy by end 2012/13 70% of planning	No adopted open spaces strategy by end 2013/14 Less than 70% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary Review reason(s) why an obligation
access to education and training to access new jobs	development with workplace training provisions	agreements on major development schemes		towards workplace training was not secured Review recent evidence to support the requirement Strengthen policies in light of the above if necessary Bring forward an SPD on Planning Obligations

Manage the Borough's contribution to climate change and increase resilience to flooding

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Co-ordinate development and public transport to promote more sustainable patterns of land use to reduce resilience on private vehicles	Net additional dwellings from 2009 within the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area	In excess of 2,800 net additional dwellings by end 2025/26	Less than 1,000 by end 2015/16 Less than 2,000 by end 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness of area action plan implementation; if necessary amend policies to address housing delivery Review industrial land release; if necessary amend policies to relax losses for housing
	Number of applications approved for major development in areas of low public transport accessibility (PTALs 0, 1 and 2) that do not enhance the PTAL of the site	None	More than 5 applications approved for major development in areas of low public transport that do not enhance the PTAL of the site over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review implementation of policies and amend if necessary
	Number of applications approved for major residential development within 30 minutes public transport journey time of a GP, primary school, secondary school, areas of employment and a major health centre	100%	More than 5 applications approved for major residential development within 30 minutes public transport journey time of a GP, primary school, secondary school, areas of employment and a major health centre over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review implementation of policies and site allocations to further focus delivery of major development in areas of good or better public transport accessibility
Achieve sustainable design and construction in all new development	Up to date, adopted local policies on sustainable design and construction	Area action plan and development management sustainable design and construction policies in place by end 2013/14	Area action plan and development management sustainable design and construction policies not in place by end 2013/14	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary
	Number of major applications approved which incorporate combined heat and power infrastructure	80%	Less than 65% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons and seek to address feasibility issues, including CIL/s106. If necessary amend policies or CIL or other obligations to address these issues
	Number of applications approved which incorporate renewable energy generation infrastructure	80%	Less than 65% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons and if necessary amend policies to address these
	Proportion of applications approved for major residential development that achieve water use efficiency of 80 litres potable water per person per day	95%	Less than 75% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons and if necessary amend policies to address these

Reduce waste generation and increase recycling rates	Up to date framework for the delivery of a district wide combined heat and power network within the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area if feasible Tonnes of municipal solid waste (MSW) arising in the Borough	Area action plan in place by end 2013/14 Tonnes of municipal waste arising no greater than or below London Plan projections (129,000	Area action plan not in place by end 2013/14 More than 123,000 tonnes by end 2016/17 More than 126,000 tonnes by end 2021/22	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
	Tonnes of commercial and industrial waste (C&I) arising in the Borough	tonnes by 2026) Tonnes of commercial waste arising no greater than or below London Plan projections (134,000 tonnes by 2026)	More than 139,000 tonnes by end 2016/17 More than 136,000 tonnes by end 2021/22	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
	Municipal waste recycled and composted	50% by 2020	Less than 45% by 2014/15 Less than 47% by 2017/18	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
	Construction and demolition waste recycled	95% by 2020	Less than 80% by 2014/15 Less than 85% by 2017/18	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
Direct development away from areas of high flood risk and increase natural and sustainable drainage	Development approved contrary to Environment Agency advice	None	More than 5 applications approved over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons for decision, and if necessary review site allocations relative to development need; if necessary allocate additional sites in areas of lower flood risk
	Proportion of applications approved which achieve greenfield run off rates	75% of qualifying applications	Less than 60% over a rolling 3 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies in respect of viability/feasibility considerations and effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
Improve air quality	Annual mean value of nitrogen oxide (NO2) and particulates (PM10)	Achieve 20 ug m3 by 2020	Not achieved 25 ug m3 by 2015	Review Harrow's Air Quality Management Plan and amend/update as necessary; review effectiveness of spatial strategy at matching development capacity to public transport accessibility
	Major applications that include the installation of electric charging points	90%	Less than 75% over a rolling 3 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and viability of policy and planning obligation procedures; amend if necessary

Adapt to population and demographic changes to meet people's needs and quality of life

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Ensure continued access to jobs in London and	Net additional jobs in the Borough	4,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 1,000 jobs by end 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of
increase local employment opportunities			Less than 2,000 jobs by end 2020/21	implementation; amend policies if necessary
	Net additional jobs in the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area	3,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 750 jobs by end 2015/16 Less than 1,800 jobs by end 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
Meet the accommodation needs of older people, Gypsies and Travellers	Number of additional pitches	At least 3 additional pitches by end 2017/18	No site allocation or deliverable proposal(s) for 3 additional pitches by end 2014/15	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policy criteria and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
				Establish working group with the Gypsy and Traveller community to identify and allocate a site
	Number of additional leasehold	682 leasehold sheltered	Less than 200 sheltered dwellings by	Identify reasons for under delivery,
	sheltered dwellings	dwellings by end 2020/21	2015/16	especially rates of converting existing social housing and in discussion with Housing
			Less than 400 sheltered dwellings by 2018/19	consider further options including allocating site, negotiation on private schemes, and use of s106
				Discuss with housing team the effectiveness/ implementation of the supported accommodation strategy; amend if necessary
Secure high quality and accessible residential development	Major residential developments approved with 'good' or 'very good' Building for Life assessments	80% of major residential development achieving 'good' or 'very good' assessments by 2025/26	Less than 50% by 2015/16 Less than 65% by 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
	Homes approved that comply with Lifetime Home standards	100% of new homes by 2025/26	More than 5 applications approved for residential development that do not achieve 100% of homes complying with Lifetime Home standards over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
	Homes approved that comply with Wheelchair Home standards	10% of new homes by 2025/26	More than 5 applications approved for residential development that do not achieve 100% of homes complying with Wheelchair Home standards over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary

Promote walking, cycling	Mode share of residents: percentage	30.5% of residents' trips by	Average proportion of residents' trips by	Review delivery of development in relation
and participation in sport	of trips by walking	walking by end 2013/14;	walking less than 30.5% over five years	to public transport accessibility and car
by all ages		maintain or increase	(rolling)	parking standards; amend policies if
		thereafter		necessary
				Review effectiveness of Harrow LIP 2 and
				amend if necessary
				Review effectiveness of area action plan
				delivery of public realm and wayfinding
				improvements; amend if necessary
				improvements, amend it necessary
	Mode share of residents:	1.5% of residents' trips by	Average proportion of residents' trips by	Review delivery of development in relation
	percentage/number of trips by cycling	cycling by end 2013/14;	cycling less than 1.5% over five years	to public transport accessibility and car
		maintain or increase	(rolling)	parking standards; amend policies if
		thereafter		necessary
				Review effectiveness of Harrow LIP 2 and
				amend if necessary
				Review effectiveness of area action plan
				delivery of public realm and wayfinding
				improvements; amend if necessary
	Percentage of the adult population	Increase above 16.5% by	17% by 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	who participated in sport and active	2025/26		effectiveness of policies for delivery of
	recreation, at moderate intensity, for		18.5% by 2020/21	quantity, quality and accessibility
	at least 30 minutes on at least 12 days	Increase to at least 20% by		improvements to open space; amend if
	out of the last 4 weeks (equivalent to	2025/26		necessary
	30 minutes on 3 or more days a week)			
	,			
	Percentage of adults (16+)			
	participating in at least 30 minutes of			
	sport at moderate intensity at least			
	three times a week			
Contribute to a reduction	Multiple deprivation	Improve Harrow's ranking	No improvement in Harrow's ranking by	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
in deprivation		to the level achieved	end 2017/18	effectiveness and implementation of
		in2004 (29th in London,		policies in relation to employment creation,
		where first is most		affordable housing delivery and design
		deprived)		

Spatial Strategy – Harrow's approach to managing growth and development

3.21 Growth and development in recent years has taken place on a largely *ad hoc* basis, utilising sites throughout the Borough brought forward by the market. A key component of the Core Strategy is to move the Council from being one that is reactive to one that promotes, manages and co-ordinates development. This new approach will ensure the social, economic and place-making benefits of new development and growth can be realised. It will also give the community, landowners and developers greater clarity about the distribution and form development is to take, based on a clear picture of how individual developments will combine to deliver the agreed future vision for the Borough and the places within it. It will also ensure there is greater control to protect Harrow's green spaces, historic and cultural assets and Metroland suburban character that make Harrow an attractive and desirable place to live.

The Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area


- 3.22 The Intensification Area will be the focus for growth. The designation is recognised in the replacement London Plan as being a location that can accommodate change and has capacity to do so. In addition to making provision for new jobs and higher density residential and mixed use development, the Intensification Area also provides a focus for public and private investment in a competitive sub-regional market.
- 3.23 Harrow Town Centre will strengthen its role as a prosperous Metropolitan centre, through increased retail, leisure, and hotel provision providing for a significant increase in local jobs. The current office stock will have undergone substantial consolidation and renewal, which, along with improvements to the public realm and transport network, will secure the town centre's long-term vitality. This will be aided further through the Council and our partners co-locating central and frontline services and facilities within Harrow Town Centre, making them more accessible to all residents and allowing the rationalisation of the public property portfolio.
- 3.24 Wealdstone and Station Road will become the focus of regeneration efforts, providing for a substantial proportion of the Borough's future housing growth. Urban realm enhancements and the provision of mixed use development will drive a new urban form and the intensification of employment generating uses of industrial sites through measures, including the provision of appropriate premises and training, will foster growth of Harrow's strong entrepreneurial Small and Medium Enterprise sector (SME).

District and Local Centres

- 3.25 The economic viability and vitality of smaller district and local centres will be promoted through selective and purposeful development and regeneration aimed at ensuring the immediate needs of the local community are provided for. Alongside business support initiatives, positive policy interventions will be used to reduce vacancy rates, deliver improvements to the public realm and, where appropriate, reinforce and enhance the historic and distinctive features that contribute to a centre's character and appeal.
- 3.26 Other opportunities are for mixed-use infill or residential edge of centre development that will need to be managed appropriately to ensure they add to and strengthen the role of the town centre. Suitable uses of such sites will include supported accommodation, in line with accessibility to local services and good public transport. It is also envisaged that these developments will assist in delivering regeneration initiatives, including contributing towards much needed public realm improvements within the centres to which they relate.

Strategic Brownfield Suburban Sites and Regeneration Areas (outside of the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area)

- 3.27 There are a significant number of strategic development opportunities on identified previously developed land located within existing suburban environments across the Borough. Many of these sites are suited to a range of housing accommodation and offer significant potential to deliver family affordable housing in line with Harrow's identified need.
- 3.28 Development on these sites will be more constrained by the local context and the capacity of existing local infrastructure (e.g. transport) and services (e.g. schools, healthcare, leisure facilities and open space). The Council will not aim to maximise development in these locations and will not support attempts to over develop. The Council will however encourage and permit development that respects the local character, is well designed, makes optimum use of the site in terms of the balance between the area of built coverage and other on-site requirements, and that makes a positive contribution both aesthetically and to meeting identified social needs. In addition to on-site requirements, development of these sites will contribute to local infrastructure improvements that benefit new and existing communities, including enhancements to the quality and accessibility of local parks, children's play space, community and leisure facilities (making these multiple use facilities), school refurbishment, and streetscape improvements.
- 3.29 Of the sites identified, a number include housing estates where opportunities exist to address issues of deprivation and create more mixed and balanced communities, such as that being achieved through the Rayners Lane Estate regeneration programme. There are also other sites identified for enabling development, where these offer the opportunity to secure new open space provision or sub-regionally important facilities, such as those provided at the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital and Bentley Priory. Where applicable, the larger schemes identified also offer the opportunity to look at reconfiguration of the amenity space and accessibility to tie in with Borough wide initiatives associated with the Rights of Ways Improvement Plan, Cycle Network or the Harrow Green Grid.
- 3.30 In addition to the sites identified in the housing trajectory, the Council anticipates further strategic sites to come forward over the plan period. In particular, the Core Strategy policy to consolidate Harrow's office sector in Harrow Town Centre, is likely to promote the conversion of redundant and long-standing vacant out of town centre offices to mixed-use employment and housing, especially where these are still well located in respect of public transport. This could be an important source of supported accommodation or alternatively, flatted affordable housing provision to meet a need for one and two bedroom homes.




Structure of the Core Strategy

- 3.31 Having established a vision for the future of Harrow and identified Borough-wide strategic objectives for the spatial strategy, the next sections of this document set out the delivery strategy for achieving the spatial strategy. The delivery strategy is formed of two parts: the main part comprises the Core Policies of actions to be taken by the Council and its partners, either as service providers or decision makers. The second part identifies more detailed planning documents or projects to be implemented alongside or following the adoption of the Core Strategy.
- 3.32 As the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area designation has evolved, and following consultation on the preferred option Core Strategy document, it has become apparent that greater clarity is needed as to the meaning of the spatial strategy for areas outside of the Intensification Area and for the suburbs immediately adjoining it. In response, the Council has sought to move away from policies which address strategic objectives thematically and has instead re-presented the main part of the delivery strategy as a suite of spatial policies relating to 'sub areas' of the Borough. However there remain matters which require a unified, Borough-wide response and these have been consolidated within a single Core Policy. Both the 'sub area' and overarching Core Policies include detailed objectives for that policy to give effect to the strategic objectives above.
- 3.33 The 'sub area' Core Policies are based on districts which are geographically and characteristically related to each other. The extent of each area, based broadly on groupings of Council wards⁽⁵⁾, is shown indicatively below and amplified on a more detailed map for each Core Policy area. The key diagram and these more detailed sub area maps show strategic designations and opportunities but are not a comprehensive representation of site allocations, constraints and considerations; the Harrow proposals map will continue to provide a comprehensive and definitive graphical expression of planning policies for the Borough.
- 3.34 The Core Policies are therefore set out as follows:
- Core Policy 1: Overarching Principles
- Core Policy 2: Harrow & Wealdstone (including the Intensification Area)
- Core Policy 3: Harrow-on-the-Hill and Sudbury Hill
- Core Policy 4: South Harrow
- Core Policy 5: Rayners Lane and North Harrow
- Core Policy 6: Pinner and Hatch End
- Core Policy 7: Stanmore and Harrow Weald
- Core Policy 8: Edgware and Burnt Oak
- Core Policy 9: Kingsbury and Queensbury
- Core Policy 10: Kenton and Belmont Circle
- 3.35 The Delivery Mechanisms chapter provides details of the Area Action Plan, Development Management Policies and Site Allocations which will be progressed following the adoption of the Core Strategy to provide a comprehensive suite of development plan documents to deliver development in Harrow, and identifies the other projects and strategies that aid in the delivery of the spatial strategy.
- With the exception of the Edgware and Burnt Oak sub area, the north boundary of which follows Du Cross Drive, Dalkeith Grove and part of Pangbourne Drive, to enable Edgware major centre and an appropriate surrounding area to be included within it.

3.36 The diagram below illustrates the sub areas.

Map 1 Core Strategy Policy Sub Areas



4 Overarching Principles

Borough-wide objectives for Core Policy 1

Overarching Policy Objectives

- 1. Manage growth in accordance with the spatial strategy.
- 2. Resist any loss of Green Belt.
- 3. Safeguard and enhance Metropolitan Open Land.
- 4. Resist any net loss of open space and where possible seek to increase provision.
- 5. Enhance residents' access to open space, recreation, health care, education and community facilities.
- 6. Deliver a minimum of 6,050 net additional homes between 2009 and 2026.
- Deliver homes which are affordable, accessible and meet their occupiers' needs including the elderly, the disabled, larger households, vulnerable adults and the Gypsy & Traveller community.
- 8. Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres.
- 9. Resist development on gardens.
- 10. Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from inappropriate development.
- 11. Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood parades (identified in Appendix B).
- 12. Promote job creation and business growth.
- 13. Maintain an integrated, sustainable transport network which supports growth.
- 14. Contribute to a 60 per cent reduction in London's carbon dioxide emissions by 2025.
- 15. Manage and mitigate flood risk.
- 16. Increase the sustainable management of waste.
- 17. Deliver the infrastructure needed to support growth.
- 18. Conserve and enhance Harrow's heritage assets, such as its conservation areas.

Overarching Issues and Characteristics

4.1 Population growth and demographic changes will continue to drive housing need across West London. The challenge for the Core Strategy is to manage the changes this will bring to Harrow in a manner that achieves sustainable development and communities. Provision will need to be made for housing growth that reflects the requirements of those that will occupy it, but to do so without detriment to the Borough's character and the wider environment. Economic development must also be allowed to take place to enable businesses to continue to form and grow in Harrow, and to increase local employment opportunities in the context of economic change.

Managing Growth In Harrow

4.2 A cluster of large, previously-developed sites within the central area of the Borough, and comparatively high public transport accessibility levels associated with Harrow on the Hill and Harrow & Wealdstone Stations, highlight the potential for managed change to deliver sustainable development. Combined with the opportunity for retail development and office renewal to secure

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Harrow town centre's future, and the management of strategic industrial land to lead the regeneration of Wealdstone, the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area will contribute to the shaping of a sustainable new community within the Borough. Core Strategy Policy CS 2 sets out how the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area will be delivered, the level of growth to be accommodated there, and how the area immediately surrounding it will be managed. An Area Action Plan for the Intensification Area is being prepared and this will provide the policy framework and site allocations needed to deliver development and co-ordinate change in the area.

4.3 More modest but cumulatively important opportunities for sustainable development and the enhancement local communities, are present in other parts of Harrow. A number of strategic previously-developed sites have been identified throughout the rest of the Borough, which will compliment the substantial contribution being made by the Intensification Area towards housing supply. The Borough comprises a number of districts, related to the town centre network and to which residents more frequently identify. Together these districts enrich the diverse character of Harrow and form appropriate, identifiable areas upon which to manage change beyond the central area. Core Strategy Policies CS3 to CS10 set out in broad terms the locations and quantum of housing growth to take place outside the Intensification Area, and how other district-level characteristics will be protected and managed. A Site Specific Allocations DPD will be brought forward to allocate sites for housing and other forms of development outside the Intensification Area, and a Development Management Policies DPD will provide detailed policies where these are necessary to give effect to the Core Strategy across the Borough.

Local Character

- 4.4 Harrow's Character Study (2010) identifies a surprisingly diverse range of residential character areas, and the Borough's town centre network comprises a mix of classic outer-London Metroland parades and historic village settlements. The Area Action Plan will co-ordinate character change within and at the edges of the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area. A high standard of design and layout, appropriate to the local context and immediate site setting, will be sought in all new development throughout the Borough. In accordance with the London Plan the Council will continue to afford a high priority to the delivery of Lifetime and Wheelchair Homes in new-build development, commercial building conversions and, where feasible, in the conversion of houses to flats. The implementation of Secured by Design principles will also be promoted.
- 4.5 Residential gardens are an integral part of suburban character and are an important component of the quality of life enjoyed by many outer London residents. Collectively, the Borough's gardens provide natural drainage, in some areas are part of the functional flood plain, and are recognised as an important local habitat in Harrow's Biodiversity Action Plan (2008). Private residential gardens are excluded from the Government's definition of previously developed land. In view of their local importance and the propensity for such sites to lead to unmanaged incremental growth, the spatial strategy directs the Borough's development needs to be met on previously developed sites and therefore the Council will resist development on garden land.
- 4.6 Harrow Hill and Harrow Weald Ridge are dominant topographical features within the landscape of the Borough. As a result of the predominantly low-rise form of development across the Borough, views and glimpses of these features are enjoyed from many areas and they are a cherished component of Harrow's suburban character for many residents. The Council will pursue a plan-led approach to the identification and protection of local views of merit. A number of local views and viewpoints were identified in the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004) and, until superseded, these will continue to form part of the development plan for the Borough. However an up-to-date, Borough-wide views assessment has been commissioned to re-appraise the significance of the

existing identified local views and the potential to identify new ones, and it is intended that this work will inform the preparation of policies in the Area Action Plan and Development Management Policies DPDs. Upon adoption of these DPDs the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004) will be superseded and the updated local views and viewpoints of merit will be identified on the proposals map. Opportunities for creating new direct visual links between the town centre and Harrow Hill will be assessed and, where appropriate, identified through the Area Action Plan.

Picture 3 Grange Court, Grange Gardens and High Street, Pinner



Harrow is characterised by classic 4.7 inter-war development of two storey suburban houses and three storey Metroland town centre parades. Pursuant to higher densities, more recent development has resulted in moderate increases in building heights as appropriate to context, but the predominant character of the Borough remains low-rise. Policies for the management of general building heights will be included in the Development Management Policies DPD and the Harrow & Wealdstone Area Action Plan. The suitability of locations and sites for tall landmark buildings within the Intensification Area will be assessed through the preparation of the Area Action Plan, taking into account identified views of St. Mary's Church and

Harrow Weald Ridge, the setting of Harrow Hill as a heritage asset, the the opportunity to establish visual linkages between the town centre and Harrow Hill, the CABE/English Heritage Guidance on Tall Buildings (2007), and London Plan Policy 7.7: *Location and design of tall and large buildings*. Windfall proposals for tall, landmark buildings will be assessed in relation to the planning decisions criteria set out in London Plan Policy 7.7.

- 4.8 Across Harrow there are 28 Conservation Areas, 300 statutorily listed buildings and many more locally listed buildings. Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Archaeological Priority Areas and HistoricParks and Gardens all add to the diversity of the Borough's heritage. Together, these assets reflect the presence of human activity in the area over many centuries and are important components of local distinctiveness and character. The Council will support and encourage the enhancement of heritage assets, including greater understanding and accessibility to the historic environment, reducing the number of heritage assets on the English Heritage 'Heritage at Risk' Register through proactive conservation management and investment, and promoting heritage-led regeneration.
- 4.9 All development and alterations to the built environment should help to create accessible, safe and secure environments that reduce the scope for crime, fear of crime, anti-social behaviour and fire, having regard to Secured by Design⁽⁷⁾ principles. Major development proposals, particularly those with the potential to create crowded places, should also have regard to guidance on resilience

⁷ Secured by Design is a Police initiative to encourage all those involved in the planning and development process to 'design out' opportunities for crime. Further details can be found on the website http://www.securedbydesign.com/index.aspx

Harrow Core Strategy Final

to terrorism ⁽⁸⁾. Lifetime neighbourhoods are those which are accessible to everyone, from transport services and housing, to public services, civic spaces and amenities. They make it possible for people of any age or level of mobility to have a full life and take part in the community around them.

Open Space, Sport and Recreation

- 4.10 Despite the built up nature of much of the Borough, Harrow has managed to retain a significant network of open spaces including Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land, local parks, allotments and amenity space. These are important to the character of the Borough and its attractiveness as a place to live and work. Collectively these open spaces also perform a range of valuable strategic and local functions including the provision of natural habitats, sustainable drainage and flood management, mitigation of light and air pollution, and counters to the urban heat island effect, as well as contributing to the health and wellbeing of residents through opportunities for sport, leisure and recreation.
- In total there are 1,334 hectares of land in open space within Harrow. However, the Council's recent assessment⁽⁹⁾ of existing and future open space requirements demonstrates that there are considerable variations in the level and quality of provision across the Borough and identifies significant shortfalls in the availability of accessible open space for a range of uses, when assessed against recommended standards of provision (10). In light of this, and in view of the forecast population increase and planned residential development in the Borough, there is a presumption against any net loss of open space, regardless of ownership and accessibility. As Harrow continues to grow and intensify, there is a greater need to protect the remaining open space areas of the Borough, especially in suburban areas, and to manage this resource to maximise its multifunctional use - as an amenity and recreational resource; a habitat and wildlife corridor; a transport link for cycling and walking; and to contribute to climate change adaptation. With the exception of small scale ancillary facilities needed to support or enhance the proper functioning of open space, development will not be permitted on designated open space as identified on the Harrow proposals map. The Development Management Policies DPD and Harrow & Wealdstone Area Action Plan will set out criteria based policies which support appropriate uses and sensitive development within open space. Proposals for the inappropriate use or insensitive development of open space will be resisted. Further, the Strategy seeks to improve access to, and the quality of, Harrow's existing open spaces, so that more residents can make use of this important resource for recreation and wellbeing, and to seek modest increases in provision where opportunities arise. Importantly, the Green Grid for Harrow identifies projects to create linkages between open spaces through street planting and enhancement of green corridors, for river restoration and improved access to the Green Belt. Increased provision of burial space will also be sought within the Borough.

⁸ Crowded Places: The Planning System and Counter-Terrorism, Department for Communities & Local Government and the Home Office (2010)

⁹ PPG17 Study (2010)

¹⁰ For clarity, open space does not include residential gardens.

Picture 4 View west from Church Fields, Harrow Housing on the Hill



4.12 The London Plan provides the strategic framework for reconciling, insofar as possible, requirements for and capacity to deliver new housing across the capital. As a consequence of this strategic, plan-led approach housing need may not be met in the borough from which it arises. In Harrow, projections for household growth⁽¹¹⁾ outstrip the Borough's identified capacity to sustainably accommodate housing development over the plan period and this is reflected in the London Plan's housing target for Harrow. To help bridge the gap between London's housing capacity and housing requirement, and to reduce wherever possible the number of

households forming in Harrow who cannot have their housing needs met within the Borough, both the London Plan and Core Strategy housing targets are expressed as minima.

- 4.13 The minimum capacity of the Borough to provide new homes has been assessed as part of a regional study⁽¹²⁾. While no target is set beyond 2021, the Council has assumed that the annual target of 350 homes will continue to be rolled forward. Given that the baseline year for the regional housing capacity study was 2009, the Core Strategy has taken this as the starting point for establishing the overall housing requirement to be planned for through this Core Strategy and for monitoring purposes. This enables a number of existing strategic developments, with long build out periods, to be taken into account for both housing delivery but more importantly, for the assessment of infrastructure requirements. Overall, the Core Strategy will make provision for a further 6,050 new homes over the period 2009 to 2026 (see Appendix A for further details).
- 4.14 The Annual Monitoring Report 2009/10 and the emerging evidence base for the Area Action Plan confirms that Harrow's strategic housing target can be accommodated on identified strategic previously-developed sites without the need for the release of greenfield sites or any reliance on windfall development. The Council will therefore direct and prioritise the use of brownfield sites for new development. Nevertheless, and in accordance with the above, the Council still anticipates appropriate windfall site development to come forward over the plan period, such as:
- conversions;
- town centre mixed use schemes; and
- employment or heritage led regeneration.
- 11 The Department of Communities and Local Government projections, based on 2008 mid-year population estimates, forecasts an increase of 23,000 households in the Borough between 2008 and 2026. The Greater London Authority's 2010 round of demographic projections, based on the 2009 StrategicHousingLand Availability Assessment, forecasts an increase of 6,400 households in the Borough between 2011 and 2026.
- 12 The London Housing Capacity Study 2009, which identifies a potential of 3,500 net new homes in Harrow over the period 2011 to 2021.

- 4.15 Provision for appropriate windfall site development will be made in the Development Management Policies DPD and the Harrow & Wealdstone Area Action Plan, and is expected to make a contribution to housing supply over and above the sufficient allocated sites, providing a reasonable level of contingency on delivery against the Borough's strategic housing target.
- 4.16 Communities and Local Government Live information at January 2011 show that the ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile earnings in Harrow has now reached 12.07 which is the highest ratio in outer London. This affordability ratio also makes Harrow one of the most unaffordable areas to live in the West London sub region. The West London Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2010) provides further detail on affordability and shows that, in West London, the minimum annual household income required to afford the average lower quartile three bedroom property in the region is £70,000; for a four bedroom property this figure rises to £85,700. Affordability for households requiring larger family sized accommodation is therefore also a key consideration. Together, this evidence demonstrates that there is a continuing need to deliver affordable and market homes in Harrow, as part of the sub-regional requirement and related to identified capacity. The pressing local need for affordable housing is also highlighted by the Borough's Housing Needs Assessment (2006) which identifies an annual affordable housing shortfall of 2,303 dwellings per annum, based on dedicated sources of supply.
- Despite the Housing Needs Assessment being undertaken in 2006, its findings remain relevant - that the need for affordable housing significantly outstrips capacity and supply. Government policy⁽¹³⁾ states that Councils should set an overall target for the amount of affordable housing to be provided and that this should reflect an assessment of a variety of factors including the level of developer contribution that can be reasonably secured. The Council has undertaken its own Viability Assessment Study that indicates private housing development could deliver 30% - 40% affordable housing except under the weakest market conditions, and assuming no grant and carrying a modest financial contribution for CIL and planning obligations. Whilst it is acknowledged that the current economic uncertainty will have an impact on the level of affordable housing delivered over the next few years, the Core Strategy has to look forward over a fifteen year period. In view of the continuing and pressing need to secure housing that residents can afford, it is therefore appropriate in this context for the Council to set a challenging but deliverable borough-wide target of 40% affordable housing (equivalent to a numerical target of 2,420 affordable homes) from all sources of supply over the Plan period. While the majority of affordable housing is to be secured on private development schemes, estate renewal schemes and housing association developments, providing 100% affordable homes, will also continue to make a valuable contribution to Harrow's overall supply of affordable housing, which still only represents a small portion (circa 7%) of the Borough's total housing stock.
- 4.18 Reflecting the Council's aims to provide housing that is affordable and to promote housing choice and mixed and sustainable communities, and in accordance with London Plan policies 3.8, 3.10 and 3.12, the Council will seek to achieve the maximum reasonable amount of affordable housing when negotiating on individual private residential and mixed use schemes, having regard to the range of matters set out in Policy CS1J.
- 4.19 During weaker market periods each scheme will need to be examined carefully in order optimise the delivery of affordable housing whilst not restraining residential development. It is therefore appropriate that the Core Strategy remains flexible about the tenure and size of the affordable housing to be secured on a site by site basis, acknowledging that such matters may

influence the amount of affordable housing to be delivered by an individual scheme. Where appropriate, the Council will include provisions in the legal agreement for re-appraising the viability of schemes prior to implementation to ensure that the levels of affordable housing reflect the most current economic position.

- 4.20 It will be necessary to monitor the impact of recent and future changes to the mechanisms for delivering affordable housing. In particular, evidence will need to be gathered on the impact of the new delivery mechanism on those households currently identified as being in housing need in the Borough. Based on the findings of monitoring data, and in light of any possible future revisions to the London-wide targets in the London Plan, the Council may wish to review or set out new policies in the Development Management Policies DPD and the Harrow and Wealdstone Area Action Plan to provide greater clarity on Harrow's expectations for affordable tenure provision and how these are to be negotiated and agreed on an application by application basis. Any review of the affordable housing policies will be supported by a new Viability Assessment Study.
- 4.21 The Council published a Supported Accommodation Strategy for Harrow in July 2010. The Strategy identifies a requirement to develop further supported accommodation to meet the housing needs of vulnerable residents including older people, people with learning or physical disabilities or mental health issues. A delivery plan is being prepared and is likely to pursue a 'hub and spoke' model of service provision. Proposals for new supported accommodation, including housing for the elderly, will be considered in relation to the Core Strategy and its delivery plan. New or replacement sites will be taken forward through the Site Allocations DPD.
- 4.22 The Council will continue to apply the London Plan density matrix and minimum space standards for new development to provide the broad parameters for the quantum of residential development that can be achieved on any particular site. In addition the Mayor of London has produced a Housing Design Guide which prescribes minimum standards for residential quality applicable to development by registered social landlords and the London Development Agency. The Council will seek broad parity in the quality of accommodation in mixed-tenure developments.

Picture 5 Stanmore Place, Honeypot Lane, Stanmore



Town Centres

4.23 With regard to economic growth and development, Harrow's Retail Study (2009) forecasts sufficient expenditure growth within the catchment of the Borough's town centres to support up to 38,912m² net comparison retail floorspace and 5,261m² net convenience retail floorspace over the period 2009-2025, but recognising that long-term projections are subject to change and should therefore only be used as a broad guide. Consistent with the Study's recommendations. Harrow town centre will be the focus for growth and comparison retail floorspace, recognising its status as a Metropolitan Centre within the London-wide hierarchy. In terms of convenience floorspace,

the Study identifies potential for a new large food store and small-scale shops. New retail development will be directed to locate within local and district centres, or Harrow town centre, as appropriate to the function of the centre, the scale of the proposal and its catchment. The Area Action Plan and Site Allocations DPD will identify sites for major retail/mixed-use development.

4.24 Most of the Borough's town centres enjoy good retail health, often fulfilling a local convenience function comprising independent and smaller national multiple outlets for top-up, ethnic and other specialist shopping, supplemented by other appropriate town centre service uses. The level of unit-vacancy in most of the Borough's centres is below the national average but the Study repeatedly highlights issues of environmental quality of town centre public realm. No change in the Borough's hierarchy of district and local centres is necessary, however interventions will be needed to address a spike in vacant frontage at North Harrow district centre. Primary, secondary and non-designated frontages (including neighbourhood parades not within town centres), and policies controlling the appropriate balance of retail and other uses within these frontages, will be defined through the Development Management Policies DPD or the Area Action Plan as appropriate.

Economic Development and Employment

- 4.25 Harrow's Employment Land Study (2010) forecasts a net contraction in the requirement for industrial floorspace of -44,600m² but a net increase in the need for office floorspace, of 24,100m², over the period 2007-2026. The London Plan identifies two Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL) in the Borough the Wealdstone Preferred Industrial Location and the Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park and promotes SILs as the main reservoir of industrial and related capacity in London. In accordance with the London Plan Harrow's SILs will be protected for industrial and related uses, but the Council will consider the consolidation of the Wealdstone Preferred Industrial Location, where this contributes to the promotion and development of Wealdstone, in accordance with the objectives of the Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area.
- 4.26 Mixed use redevelopment in town centres and some industrial & business use areas can contribute to the Borough's economic diversity and the renewal of employment generating floorspace, provided that the mix of uses does not prejudice other legitimate existing or potential uses. Criteria for mixed use development and the release of unsustainable and lower-quality industrial sites will be set out in the Development Management Policies DPD or the Area Action Plan for sites within the Intensification Area.

Picture 6 Alexandra Avenue, Rayners Lane district centre



Transport

4.27 Harrow's Transport Study (2010) applied a trip distribution and assignment model to forecast the effect of growth upon a number of highway junctions and public transport modes across the Borough. The Study indicates that there is capacity on Underground services through the Borough to support the level and spatial distribution of growth, taking into account background passenger traffic growth and planned improvements, although Harrow-on-the-Hill is highlighted as the busiest station. Similarly the impact upon the capacity of bus services

was also found to be minimal and it is noted that capacity and coverage are more easily modified with this mode. However the Study did identify existing and projected future capacity constraints at a number of the Borough's road junctions including the parts of the network surrounding Harrow

Harrow Core Strategy Final

town centre, and provided commentary on the use of parking control and Transport Assessments/Travel Plans to contribute to achieving a modal shift towards more sustainable modes.

4.28 The Strategy seeks to direct major growth to the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area, which enjoys high public transport accessibility levels, and to encourage development in district and local centres that are also well served by rail and bus services. In so doing, the Strategy will help to reduce the need to travel and contribute to the delivery of a modal shift in favour of walking, cycling and public transport. Nevertheless, households in Harrow's existing suburbs exhibit high levels of car ownership and this mode remains important for orbital connections to neighbouring boroughs and as a component of economic success (such as office markets). To address this, investment will be needed by Transport for London in the quality, reliability and coverage of facilities for sustainable forms of transport across and between boroughs. The Council, through the West London Alliance and other avenues, will continue to lobby the Mayor of London and Transport for London to ensure such investment is identified in Transport for London's investment programme.

Responding to Climate Change

- 4.29 The London Plan seeks to reduce London's carbon dioxide emissions by 60 per cent (below 1990 levels) by 2025. All new development will be expected to contribute to the delivery of this London Plan carbon dioxide reduction target. Housing and other development within the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area will contribute to this target by reducing the need for motorised travel within the area, and is likely to encourage use of public transport for journeys to other places. The Area Action Plan will provide the policy framework for considering the feasibility of a combined heat and power network that is capable of being supported by the mix of uses and level of development anticipated. Development Management Policies, supplemented by Harrow's Sustainable Building Design SPD, will provide the framework for achieving improvements in the sustainable design and construction of new development (and conversions) throughout the Borough. Where conflict between climate change objectives and the conservation of heritage assets is unavoidable, the public benefit of mitigating the effects of climate change will be weighed against any harm to the significance of heritage asset.
- The London Plan provides a comprehensive suite of 'planning decisions' policies relating 4.30 to climate change and the need to ensure that all new development contributes to the delivery of the Plan's carbon dioxide reduction target. For major developments, the London Plan identifies targets for the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions in residential and non-domestic buildings⁽¹⁴⁾ and requires energy assessments to be submitted with proposals to demonstrate how these targets are to be met. Developments are expected to follow the energy hierarchy to be lean (by using less energy), clean (by supplying energy efficiently) and green (by using renewable energy). To this end, the London Plan also sets out planning decision requirements in relation to each of the components of the hierarchy. Principles for sustainable design (and accompanying Mayoral supplementary planning guidance) and a cooling hierarchy seek to ensure that buildings use less energy. A requirement for proposals to evaluate the feasibility of Combined Heat and Power systems and a hierarchy for the selection of decentralised energy systems contribute to a more efficient supply of energy. The use of renewables is sought in all major proposals, where feasible, as part of a reduction in the development's carbon dioxide emissions. These policies will be applied. subject to viability, throughout the Borough as part of Harrow's contribution to the reduction of London's carbon dioxide emissions.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Sustainable Flood Risk Management

- 4.31 Watercourses in Harrow form part of the catchment of the Rivers Brent, Colne and Crane. which ultimately flow into the Thames. The Brent, Colne and Crane catchments are all identified in the London Rivers Action Plan (Environment Agency, 2009). Large sections of many of the Borough's watercourses were culverted during the 20th Century to facilitate residential development. These piped sections have finite capacity to carry water at times of peak flow and impact upon the functioning of natural flood plains, with consequences for flooding both within the Borough and elsewhere downstream. Harrow's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2009) identified the risk from fluvial flooding in Harrow; all sources of information will be used to keep the Borough's flood risk maps up-to-date. The redevelopment of previously developed sites, and especially the planned regeneration of Wealdstone district centre, offers the opportunity to reduce future flood risk in Harrow through the consideration of location, layout and design. This approach accords with the policy for the London catchments sub-area, set out in the Thames Catchment Flood Management Plan (Environment Agency, 2009). Site allocations and development will be managed in accordance with the sequential and (as appropriate) exception tests set out in PPS 25: Development and Flood Risk (2006). For the purposes of the Area Action Plan, the area of search will be confined to the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area where the allocation contributes to the objectives of the Intensification Area and Harrow's Core Strategy. Throughout the rest of the Borough, the area of search for sites will be determined by the functional requirements and likely catchment of the development⁽¹⁵⁾.
- 4.32 New development throughout the Borough should seek to achieve greenfield run-off rates, where feasible, through source control, sustainable urban drainage systems and rainwater harvesting. Development Management Policies will detail the requirements for major and minor development, including changes of use, and any exceptions for householders, small commercial extensions and house conversions. Harrow is collaborating with Drain London in the preparation of a surface water management plan for the Borough. Any relevant policy proposals from the surface water management plan, and detailed requirements for preliminary Flood Risk Assessments, will also be set out in the Development Management Policies DPD. The Community Infrastructure Levy will be used to secure contributions from development towards flood management infrastructure, and Planning Obligations may be required in connection with site specific flood mitigation.

Sustainable Waste Management

4.33 The London Plan requires that communities and boroughs take more responsibility for managing their own waste, to achieve a level of at least 85% self sufficiency by 2020 and working towards 100% self-sufficiency across London by 2031. This is to be achieved through marked improvements in recycling and composting, with the London Plan setting a target for recycling and composting of municipal waste of 50% by 2020 and 95% for construction, demolition and excavation waste over the same period. For Harrow, the London Plan sets out a municipal and commercial & industrial waste apportionment requirement for the Core Strategy plan period of 96,000 and 131,000 tonnes per annum respectively by 2026. Harrow continues to participate in the preparation of a Waste Plan for West London, which is scheduled to reach submission stage in August 2012 and adoption by February 2013. The Waste Plan will establish the amount of land and the types of facilities needed to manage the West London boroughs' pooled apportionment requirements, and will safeguard existing waste sites, allocate sufficient new previously developed sites to meet

¹⁵ The Core Strategy sub areas may be used as a starting point for this purpose.

future waste management needs, and set out the policies against which new waste facilities will be assessed. Development in Harrow will be expected to contribute to the waste management hierarchy of reduce, re-use and recycle from design and construction stage through to end-use and activity.

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation

4.34 The Council will work with the local Gypsy and Traveller community and the West London Housing Partnership to address Harrow's Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs for three pitches as identified in the London Boroughs' Gypsy and Travellers' Accommodation Needs Assessment (2008). The outcome of this engagement will inform the preparation of an addition to the Harrow Housing Strategy, and is likely to include a range of actions to address any identified needs of this community, including the provision of additional social support to those who have an aversion to 'brick and mortar' accommodation but nevertheless have their housing needs met in this way; as well as identifying suitable new or replacements sites to be taken forward through the Site Allocations DPD. In the interim, should proposals for Gypsy and Traveller sites come forward, Core Policy 1 includes criteria for assessing site suitability.

Required Infrastructure

- 4.35 In terms of other social infrastructure, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan identifies a requirement for an additional primary school to serve the Intensification Area. Following consecutive years of managing school roles through provision for bulge years, the provision of a new primary school will release much needed capacity within primary schools system elsewhere within the Borough. Towards the end of the plan period, the current throughput of primary aged children will exceed capacity in Harrow's secondary schools, further provision will therefore be required but within a reasonable lead in period. In respect of indoor sports facilities, the PPG 17 Study concludes that there is satisfactory capacity of and access to swimming pools for Harrow residents. However, a shortfall of sports hall space equivalent to 22 badminton courts has been projected to 2026, and the Council will therefore seek to enhance provision through increased community access to existing premises, as part of Harrow's Cultural Strategy, and opportunities for new development.
- 4.36 The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Regulations enable local planning authorities to collect financial contributions from individual developments towards infrastructure made necessary by development across the Borough. Infrastructure requirements have been assessed and identified in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan incorporating the relevant provisions of the Local Implementation Plan for Transport (LIP 2), as well as specific requirements arising from the Biodiversity Action Plan, the management strategies for specific conservation areas, and the documented needs of other community service providers such as the Harrow NHS. The Council will publish a CIL charging schedule following the adoption of this Core Strategy. In accordance with the Regulations, where a specific requirement arises from a particular site or development proposal, this will continue to be provided for and secured through Planning Obligations. The arrangements for negotiating planning obligations will be set out in both the Development Management Policies DPD and the Area Action Plan, having regard to Policy 8.2 of the London Plan.

Core Policy CS 1

Overarching Policy

Managing Growth in Harrow

A. Growth will be managed in accordance with the Spatial Strategy. The Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area will be the focus for regeneration, providing for a significant portion of new development in accordance with Policy CS 2, including almost half of all new homes over the plan period. Growth throughout the rest of the Borough will be directed to town centres and strategic previously developed sites and managed in accordance with sub-area policies CS3-CS10.

Local Character

- B. Proposals that would harm the character of suburban areas and garden development will be resisted. All development shall respond positively to the local and historic context in terms of design, siting, density and spacing, reinforce the positive attributes of local distinctiveness whilst promoting innovative design and/or enhancing areas of poor design; extensions should respect their host building.
- C. Proposals that would harm identified views or impede access to public viewpoints will be resisted.
- D. Proposals that would harm the significance of heritage assets including their setting will be resisted. The enhancement of heritage assets will be supported and encouraged.
- E. All new development must create and maintain accessible, safe and secure neighbourhoods in accordance with best practice standards. Where appropriate, development should also seek to promote and enhance biodiversity in accordance with the aims of the Harrow Biodiversity Action Plan and best practice.

Open Space, Sport and Recreation

- F. Harrow's open spaces and green grid will be managed as an interconnected, multifunctional environmental resource that contributes to biodiversity, adaptation to climate change, and to people's health and wellbeing. The quantity and quality of the Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land, and existing open space shall not be eroded by inappropriate uses or insensitive development. The reconfiguration of existing open space may be permitted where qualitative improvements and/or improved access can be secured without reducing the quantity of the open space. The provision of new open space will be sought as part of major development proposals, and to deal with identified deficiencies, such as in the provision of play areas for both children and young people.
- G. The Council will work with institutions and landowners where necessary to support public access to sport and recreation facilities. Appropriate proposals for enhancement of such facilities will be supported.

Housing

- H. The Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area, and the Site Allocations DPD for the rest of the Borough, will allocate sufficient previously developed land to deliver at least 6.050 net additional homes between 2009 and 2026.
- I. New residential development shall result in a mix of housing in terms of type, size and tenure across the Borough and within neighbourhoods, to promote housing choice, meet local needs, and to maintain mixed and sustainable communities. This includes the provision of a range of affordable housing tenures including social and affordable rent, as well as intermediate housing products such as shared ownership and shared equity. The Development Management Policies DPD, the Area Action Plan and the Site Allocations DPD will provide further guidance on the tenure and mix to be provided, and on specific sites including that required for supported or sheltered housing.
- J. The Council will aim for a Borough-wide affordable housing target of 40% of the housing numbers delivered from all sources of supply across the Borough between 2009 and 2026. The Council will seek the maximum reasonable amount of affordable housing on all development sites with a capacity to provide ten or more homes (gross), having regard to:
 - the availability of public subsidy;
 - the need to promote housing mix and choice (see Policy CS1 I);
 - the priority accorded to family affordable housing in both the London Plan and the Council's Housing Strategy;
 - the size and type of affordable housing needed in particular locations;
 - the site circumstances and other scheme requirements:
 - development viability; and
 - the need to meet the 40% borough-wide target.
- K. The Council will require a high standard of residential design and layout consistent with the London Plan and associated guidance. In mixed tenure schemes a consistent standard of design and layout will be required throughout the development. All new homes must meet 'Lifetime Home' standards and at least 10 per cent must achieve the enhanced 'Wheelchair Home' standards. The Area Action Plan and Development Management Policies DPD will provide criteria for the assessment of residential quality for all forms of residential development, and set out further details as appropriate for Accessible Homes.

Town Centres

L. Harrow's town centres will be promoted as the focus for community life, providing residents with convenient access to a range shops, services, cultural and leisure facilities, as well as local employment opportunities and areas of good public transport. Proposals for convenience retail, and non-major comparison retail, commercial and leisure development will be supported where these are located in district and local centres and they are

- compatible with the role and function of the centre. Proposals for major retail and leisure development will be directed to Harrow town centre; major new comparison goods floorspace will be directed to locate within the primary retail area of Harrow town centre.
- M. The Development Management Policies DPD or the Area Action Plan, as appropriate, will define primary, secondary and tertiary shopping areas within town centres and set out criteria for the management of main town centre and other appropriate uses, including police and other community premises, within centres and neighbourhood retail parades.

Economic Development and Employment

- N. Through the Area Action Plan, consideration will be given to the consolidation of the Wealdstone Strategic Industrial Location. The remaining parts of the Wealdstone and Honeypot Lane Strategic Industrial Locations will be promoted for appropriate economic development uses in accordance with the London Plan.
- O. The Borough's stock of business and industrial premises will be monitored and managed to meet economic needs. Any release of surplus stock for other uses, having regard to the most up-to-date monitoring of the demand and supply balance, will be considered in accordance with the following sequential approach:
- Non-allocated sites;
- Poorer quality allocated sites not within strategic industrial locations;
- Other allocated sites not within strategic industrial locations;
- Poorer quality sites within strategic industrial locations; and
- Other allocated sites within strategic industrial locations.
- P. Mixed use development will be supported, as appropriate, where this secures employment generating development and diversification of Harrow's economy. The Development Management Policies DPD or the Area Action Plan, as appropriate, will set out criteria for the managed release of surplus employment land.

Transport

- Q. The Council will work with Transport for London and other appropriate authorities to secure enhancements to the capacity, accessibility and environmental quality of the transport network in accordance with the Local Investment Plan and to improve orbital connectivity between neighbouring boroughs. Highway investment will focus on junctions with identified existing or future capacity constraints where these support economic development or are needed to improve the reliability and general operating conditions of buses.
- R. Parking for new development will be managed to contribute to the delivery of a modal shift from the private car to more sustainable modes. The Development Management Policies DPD will give local interpretation of London Plan parking standards and detail requirements for sustainable Travel Plans.
- S. Over-development of sites with a low public transport accessibility rating will be resisted. Higher densities will be considered appropriate where the proposal involves the

redevelopment of a previously-developed site of strategic significance and can secure improvements to local transport sufficient to enhance the public transport accessibility level of the site.

Responding to Climate Change

The Development Management Policies DPD and the Area Action Plan will compliment London Plan policies by establishing requirements for sustainable design and construction techniques that maximise the energy efficiency of new buildings, minimise the use of mains water, minimise carbon dioxide emissions in accordance with the London Plan energy hierarchy, and seek to promote and secure opportunities for decentralised energy, especially within the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area, on-site renewable energy generation and urban greening.

Sustainable Flood Risk Management

- U. Development will be managed to achieve an overall reduction in flood risk and increase resilience to flood events. The capacity of the functional flood plain within greenfield sites will be maintained and opportunities to enhance or re-instate the functional floodplain on previously-developed sites will be sought. Proposals which risk contaminating ground water will be resisted.
- V. In applying the sequential test to site allocations and development proposals that will be covered by the Area Action Plan, the area of search will be confined to the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area where this contributes to the objectives for the area. Throughout the rest of the Borough, the area of search for sites will be determined by the functional requirements and likely catchment of the development.
- W. The Development Management Policies DPD will set out the requirements for sustainable urban drainage, rainwater harvesting, flood risk assessments and surface water management.

Sustainable Waste Management

- X. The Council supports the objectives of sustainable waste management and will:
- Aim to reduce the amount of waste produced in the Borough;
- Promote waste as a resource and encourage increased re-use of materials and recycling;
- Require all new development to address waste management at all stages of a development's life from design and construction through to the end use and activity on site, ensuring that all waste is managed towards the upper end of the waste hierarchy;
- Safeguard existing waste sites;
- If any waste site is lost, additional compensatory land with equal or greater waste management capacity will be provided; and
- In conjunction with its partners in West London, identify and allocate suitable new sites for waste management facilities within the Joint Waste Plan to provide sufficient capacity to meet the apportionment requirements of the London Plan.

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation

- Y. The Council will work with the West London Housing Partnership and the Gypsy and Traveller community in Harrow to address the local requirement for a minimum of 3 pitches, as identified in the London Boroughs' Gypsy and Travellers Accommodation Needs Assessment (2008). The Council will support proposals for Gypsy and Traveller sites that contribute to meeting identified local needs. Proposals for new and replacement provision will need to satisfy the following criteria:
- the need for suitable and safe access to and from the site for the types of vehicles that could reasonably be expected to use or access the site;
- the ability to provide the site with essential services, such as water, sewerage and drainage and waste disposal;
- the impact on the local environment, including any relevant policy designations for or adjacent to the site that would restrict its use for any type of housing (including but not limited to: Metropolitan Open Land and Sites of Nature Conservation Importance) and the character of the area including visual and amenity considerations;
- the need to avoid areas subject to risk from flooding; and
- the proximity to shops, services and community facilities, such as schools.

Required Infrastructure

- Z. New development and growth will be co-ordinated and phased in tandem with the provision of appropriate physical and social infrastructure. Proposals for new development will be required to demonstrate adequate capacity exists or can be secured both on and off site to serve the development. The development or expansion of physical or social infrastructure will be permitted where it is needed to serve existing or proposed development, or required to meet projected future requirements. The loss of community facilities will be resisted unless adequate arrangements are in place for their replacement or the enhancement of other existing facilities.
- AA. All development will be required to contribute to the delivery of strategic infrastructure identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. The Council will bring forward a Community Infrastructure Levy to help fund the following infrastructure:
- A new primary school
- A new secondary school
- Flood mitigation works
- A new health polyclinic
- Performing arts space
- Implementation of the Harrow Green Grid and Biodiversity Action Plan
- Improvements in the accessibility to, and quality of, Harrow's network of parks
- Provision of areas of play and facilities for young people in areas identified as being deficient
- The provision of cemetery space
- Junction improvements required to improve bus service efficiency

- The potential for re-using or redeveloping existing sites and facilities will be explored and, where necessary, land will be safeguarded for such infrastructure. Site specific requirements will be provided for through Planning Obligations.
- AB. The Council will continue to lobby Transport for London (TfL) and the Mayor of London to ensure that a significant portion of any receipts from the sale of TfL land in the Borough is reinvested in public transport improvements in the Borough, including improving the accessibility and capacity of stations.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Manage growth in accordance with the spatial strategy	Number of residential dwellings delivered on allocated sites or previously developed land	100% of all residential development over the plan period to 2026	Less than 95% over a rolling 3 year period (excluding special circumstances in the Green Belt)	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness of area action plan implementation in consultation with development industry; if necessary amend policies to address barriers to housing delivery, and if necessary review industrial land release
	Number of residential dwellings delivered within the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area	A minimum of 2,800 net additional dwellings by end of the plan period to 2026	Less than 1,000 by 2015/16 Less than 2,000 by 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness of area action plan implementation; if necessary amend policies to address housing delivery
	Net additional jobs in the Borough	4,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 1,000 jobs by end 2015/16 Less than 2,000 jobs by end 2020/21	Review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
	Net additional jobs in the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area	3,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 750 jobs by end 2015/16 Less than 1,800 jobs by end 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
	Amount of retail and office floorspace built/delivered in Harrow town centre annually	70% of all new retail and office floorspace to be provided in Harrow town centre	Less than 40% of overall retail and/or office floorspace provided in Harrow town centre over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons for under delivery against target Review Development Management Plan policies to promote retail and commercial development within the Intensification Area
Resist any loss of Green Belt	Loss of, or inappropriate development on, Green Belt land	No net loss of, or inappropriate development on, Green Belt land	More than 1 appeal allowed for development on Green Belt	Review reasons for decisions

				Review available previously developed land
				for development needs; amend site
				allocations if necessary to ensure
				deliverable supply of previously developed
				land
				Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA the
				effectiveness of policy controls
Safeguard and enhance	Loss of, or inappropriate development	No net loss of, or	More than 1 appeal allowed for	Review reasons for decisions
Metropolitan Open Land	on, Metropolitan Open Land	inappropriate development	development on Metropolitan Open Land	
		on, Metropolitan Open		Review available previously developed land
		Land to development		for development needs; amend site
				allocations if necessary to ensure
				deliverable supply of previously developed
				land
				Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA the
				effectiveness of policy controls
				oncourteness of policy controls
Decist any loss of ones	Logg of anon anges to development	No not loss of ones ones	4 andication approved involving a not less	Devices the recent/of for the decision
Resist any loss of open	Loss of open space to development	No net loss of open space	1 application approved involving a net loss	Review the reason(s) for the decision
space and where possible	(excluding appropriate functional	to development	of open space to development over a rolling	Review supply and demand of open space
seek to increase provision	development)		5 year period	and open spaces strategy
				3,
				Identify reasons, and if necessary review
				policies and
				amond validing if an account to attract them
				amend policies if necessary to strengthen
				protection of open space
	% of existing sites within each open	20% reduction on the 2010	Less than a 6% reduction achieved on 4	Review reasons why qualitative
	space typology currently not meeting	PPG17 figure for each	out of the 6 open space typologies by	improvements are not being delivered
	the recommended local quality	open space typology	2015/16	Review policy requirements and
	standard (based on the 2010 PPG17		Less than a 13% reduction achieved on 4	effectiveness of securing planning
	figures)		out of the 6 open space typologies by	obligations towards qualitative
			2021/22	improvements
Enhance residents'	Implementation of Green Grid projects	100% of projects funded	Less than 50% of projects funded and/or	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
access to open space,		and/or implemented by	implemented by 2017/18	requirements and effectiveness of
recreation, health care,		2025/26	,	Community Infrastructure Levy
education and community				
facilities				Review and if necessary amend the project
				implementation arrangements
	1			

Accessibility improvements to PPG	Reduce deficiencies by 5%	Less than a 1.5% reduction achieved on 4	Undertake analysis to understand the
17 open space typologies	on the 2010 baseline for	out of the 6 open space typologies by	barriers to achieving improved accessibility
	each open space typology	2015/16	to open space
		Less than a 3% reduction achieved on 4	Consider options to address identified
		out of the 6 open space typologies by	barriers, including non-policy interventions
		2021/22	(e.g. incentivising public use of private open
			space) alongside changes to relax policies
			on net loss where enhancements to
			accessibility can be secured in areas of
			greatest deficiency
			Alternatively consider options for more
			direct policy intervention
Number of parks managed to Green	77% of parks managed to	Less than 55% by 2017/18	Review investment and management of
Flag award standard	Green Flag award standard		parks; if necessary revise Open Space
	(using 2010 as base year)	Less than 66% by 2021/22	Strategy to address issues
Retention of community uses	No net loss of community	Loss of more than 1 community facility to	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
recention of community does	facilities unless	other non-community uses, without	viability and implementation of policies.
	compensation is provided	compensation (using 2010 as the base	Introduce development management
	gomponicadom io provided	year)	policies to prioritise retention (in case of
		you.,	viability) or improve policy implementation
			viasinty) or improve policy implementation
Provision of additional health services	Provision of two additional	No site identified for new polyclinics or	Discuss with health providers to establish
capacity	polyclinics or GP-led	GP-led surgeries by end 2015/16	reasons and, if required, allocate sites for
	surgeries by the end of the		alternative forms of provision in partnership
	plan period in 2026	No delivery or no funded proposals for	with health providers and developers
		delivery by end 2020/21	
Provision of additional primary school	Approval for and	No permanent expansion under	Discuss with education providers to
capacity	construction of new and	construction by the end 2012/13 and	establish reasons and address
Supusity	extended schools in	annually thereafter to 2015/16	
	accordance with Harrow's	amidally morealism to 2010/10	Review Planning Obligation agreements
	School Places Plan		and education contributions
Provision of additional secondary	1 x new secondary school	No site identified for new secondary school	Discuss with education providers to
school capacity	from 2016/17 and before	by end 2016/17	establish reasons and, if required, allocate
	2025/26	No delivery or no fundad accessed 5	existing school sites for expansion as an
		No delivery or no funded proposals for	alternative to new school provision
		delivery by end 2020/21	
Provision of performing arts space	An additional performing	No site identified for new performing arts	Discuss with cultural service providers to
	arts space by 2025/26	space by end 2015/16	establish reasons and address

			No delivery or no funded proposals for	Discuss with cultural service providers to
			delivery by end 2020/21	secure the delivery of alternatives by
				2025/26
Deliver a minimum of	Net additional dwellings built each	Deliver a minimum of 6,050	Where the cumulative total completions (as	Review net dwellings granted planning
6,050 net additional	year as monitored through the AMR	net additional dwellings	measured from 1997) falls below more than	permission, lapsed permissions and
homes between 2009 and	year ao montorea anoagir ale 71001	over the plan period to	30% of the cumulative London Plan target	implemented permissions over the past fie
2026		2026	(as measured from 1997) for a period of	year period
2020		2020	, ,	year period
			two consecutive years, or by more then 300	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
			units in the first year, according to the	effectiveness of area action plan
			housing trajectory in the AMR	implementation; if necessary amend
				policies to address housing delivery
				policies to address floating delivery
				Review policies controlling conversions and
				garden development
				3
				Review industrial land release and if
				necessary amend policies to relax losses
				for housing
Deliver homes which are	Proportion of affordable housing from	40% (2,420) of housing	Less than 500 by 2014/15	Discuss with Housing and the Affordable
affordable, accessible and	2009	delivered between 2009	Loop than 1 000 by 2019/10	Housing Delivery Group the reasons for
meet their occupiers'		and 2026 affordable	Less than 1,000 by 2018/19	performance to date and review the
needs including the			Less than 1,600 by 2022/23	affordable housing pipeline
elderly, the disabled,				Review policy application, viability and
larger households,				effectiveness; amend policy (in terms of
vulnerable adults and the				, , ,
Gypsy and Traveller				tenure, size etc), review policy
community				implementation (s106 arrangements/terms),
				or CIL requirements as necessary
	Homes approved that comply with	100% of new homes by	More than 5 applications approved for	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	Lifetime Home standards	2025/26	residential development that do not achieve	policies and viability/effectiveness of
			100% of homes complying with Lifetime	implementation; amend policies if
			Home standards over a rolling 5 year period	necessary
	Homes approved that comply with	10% of new homes by	More than 5 applications approved for	Review policies and viability/effectiveness
	Wheelchair Home standards	2025/26	residential development that do not achieve	of implementation; amend policies if
			100% of homes complying with Wheelchair	necessary
			Home standards over a rolling 5 year period	
	Number of additional pitches	At least 3 additional pitches	No site allocation or deliverable proposal(s)	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	radinoer or additional pitches			
		by end 2017/18	for 3 additional pitches by end 2014/15	policy criteria and viability/effectiveness of
				implementation; amend policies if
				necessary

Number of autitional according to produce of the second produce		Т	ı	T	
Number of additional suspended horselings by 202021 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 201016 Description account of the electronic developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes by 2010179 All least 103 uniform of uniform developes and interest of uniform of uniform developes and interest of uniform develo					Establish working group with the Gypsy and
Number of additional seasonal of the color of additional leasonal dealings by 2020/21 and the color of additional dealings dealers and the color of additional supported because of the supported accommodation strategy; at the supported accommodation accommodation accommodation and the supported accommodation accommodation accommodation accommodation accommodation ac					Traveller community to identify and allocate
## Interior diversities of diversities of diversities of converting existing social package of a contract of a social constant package of a social processor with Trousing stational package of a social constant package of a social package of					a site
## Interior diversities of diversities of diversities of converting existing social package of a contract of a social constant package of a social processor with Trousing stational package of a social constant package of a social package of					
Number of additional supported		Number of additional leasehold	682 leasehold sheltered	Less than 200 sheltered dwellings by	Identify reasons for under delivery,
Less than 40 sheltered deelings by 201919 Number of additional supported accommodation strategy, amend of recessary review also additional supported accommodation strategy; if recessary review also additional receives accommodation strategy; if recessary review also additional receives accommodation strategy; if recessary review also additional receives a review and additional receives and receives a review and additional receives and recessary review and additional receives and recessary review and additional receives and receives a review and additional receives and recessary review and additional receives and receives a review and recessary review and recessary review and recessary review and receives and recessary review and receives and receives and recessary review and recessary review and receives and receives and recessary review and recessary review and receives and receives and recessary review and receives and recessary review and recessary review and recessary review a		sheltered dwellings	dwellings by 2020/21	2015/16	especially rates of converting existing social
Number of additional supported housing units for people with neural 200021 Less than 40 units by end of 2015/10 Identify reasons, and discuss with incuring team the effectiveness' implementation of the supported accommodation strategy, rement if necessary review disclining units for people with learning disabilities All least 160 units by end of 2015/10 Less than 60 units by end of 2015/10 The supported accommodation strategy, rement if necessary review disclining units for people with mental health problems All least 160 units by end of 2015/10 Less than 70 units by end of 2015/10 Identify reasons, and discuss with incuring team the effectiveness implementation of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining to a series of the supported accommodation strategy, review disclining and supported accommodation strategy, review disclining and supported supported accommodation strategy, review disclining and strategy affecting and development diversity of all strategy affecting supported accommodation strategy, review disclining and strategy affecting and supported accommodation strategy. The recessary review and if recessary review to affect the decision of a strategy affecting supported accommodation and development and review of a routing 5 year period. Strengthen feature of applications					housing and in discussion with Housing
Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning affecting with foreign w				Less than 400 sheltered dwellings by	consider further options including allocating
Number of additional supported At least 103 units by end Less than 40 units by end of 2016/16 the apported accommodation strategy, amend if necessary information of the apported accommodation strategy, amend if necessary information of the apported accommodation strategy, amend if necessary review at a allocations to make provision				2018/19	site, negotiation on private schemes, and
Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with manual health problems At least 185 units by end Less than 40 units by end of 2015/18 Identify reasons, and discuss with housing than the effectiveness implementation of the apported problems Number of additional supported housing units for people with manual health problems At least 185 units by end At least 185 units by end of 2015/18 Less than 70 units by end of 2015/18 Health problems Less than 100 units by end of 2015/18 Health problems Less than 100 units by end of 2015/19 Health problems Health problems At least 185 units by end Less than 100 units by end of 2015/19 Health problems Health proble					use of s106
Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with manual health problems At least 185 units by end Less than 40 units by end of 2015/18 Identify reasons, and discuss with housing than the effectiveness implementation of the apported problems Number of additional supported housing units for people with manual health problems At least 185 units by end At least 185 units by end of 2015/18 Less than 70 units by end of 2015/18 Health problems Less than 100 units by end of 2015/18 Health problems Less than 100 units by end of 2015/19 Health problems Health problems At least 185 units by end Less than 100 units by end of 2015/19 Health problems Health proble					
Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Less than 40 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 60 units by end of 2016/19 Less than 70 units by end of 2016/19 Les					Discuss with housing team the
Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities At least 103 units by end 2015/16 Less than 60 units by end of 2015/16 Aumber of additional supported accommodation strategy; if the supported accommodation of the supported accommodation of the supported accommodation strategy; if the supported accommodat					effectiveness/ implementation of the
Number of additional supported housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with mental health problems At least 103 units by end 2015/16 Less than 60 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 60 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 60 units by end of 2015/16 Number of additional supported and housing units for people with mental health problems At least 185 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 70 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 110 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 10 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 100 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 100 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 110 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 110 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 100 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 110 units by end of 2015/16 Less than 100 units by end of 2015/16 Less					supported accommodation strategy; amend
housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with mental health problems					if necessary
housing units for people with learning disabilities Number of additional supported housing units for people with mental health problems					
Less than 60 units by end of 2018/19 the supported accommodation strategy; if necessary review alte allocations to make provision		Number of additional supported	At least 103 units by end	Less than 40 units by end of 2015/16	Identify reasons, and discuss with housing
Number of additional supported housing units for people with mental health problems Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and development adversely affecting Meroland and suburban character Planning appeals dismissed for development on gardens Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres Resist development on gardens Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from inappropriate garden development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling 3 year period Protect the character of Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 3 year period Resist development on gardens Safeguard identified views and identified views and recessary review for development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for view over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for major resisting inappropriate garden development and recessary review to strengthen over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for wild recessary review to development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations and development major retail development in out of centre locations and development major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications and development major retail development in out of centre locations and development major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period Number of applications and development major retail		housing units for people with learning	2020/21		team the effectiveness/ implementation of
Number of additional supported housing units for people with mental health problems Protect the character of Harmow's suburbs and town centres Peasist development on gardens Safeguard identified local view and viewpoints from inappropriate garden development Strengthen Harrow town centres Number of additional supported account of the supported account provision At least 185 units by end of 2018/19 Less than 70 units by end of 2018/19 Less than 110 units by end of 2018/19 Hers than 110 units by end of 2018/19 H		disabilities		Less than 60 units by end of 2018/19	the supported accommodation strategy; if
Number of additional supported housing units for people with mental health problems Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting an identified local view Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development Bardows suburbs and town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting an identified local view Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development Bardows suburbs and town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting inappropriate garden development on gardens Bardows suburbs and town centres Bardows suburbs and town suburbs and suburbs character Bardows suburbs and town suburbs and suburbs character Bardows suburbs and suburbs character over a rolling 5 year period Bardows suburbs and suburbs characters and if necessary review of the development adversely affecting development and if necessary review to strengthen Bardows suburbs and suburbs characters Bardows suburbs and					necessary review site allocations to make
housing units for people with mental health problems Compose the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres					provision
housing units for people with mental health problems Compose the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres					
Health problems Less than 110 units by end of 2018/19 the supported accommodation strategy; if necessary review site allocations to make provision Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character Resist development on gardens Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development dversely affecting Metroland and suburban character Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development adversely affecting inappropriate garden development adversely affecting an identified local view Protect the character of development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character over a rolling 3 year period Identify reasons, and if necessary review to strengthen Identify reasons, and if necessary review to strengthen Resist development adversely affecting identified ocal view over a rolling 5 year period Review the reasons for the decision and if necessary review to protection of identified local view and if necessary review to protection of identified local view and if necessary review to protection of identified local view and if necessary review to protection of identified local view and if necessary review to protection of identified local view and if necessary review to protection of identified local view and if necessary review is an identified local view and if necessary review is an identified local view and if necessary review is an identified local view and if necessary review is an identified local view and if necessary review is				Less than 70 units by end of 2015/16	
Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres Resist development on gardens Bafeguard identified views and viewpoints from inappropriate garden development adversely affecting and viewpoints from inappropriate development adversely affecting and viewpoints from inappropriate development Bafecting an identified local view of red evelopment Strengthen Harrow town centres and maintain or enhance the vitality and visability of all town centres Among appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting an view policies for protection of character and if necessary review policies for protection of character and if necessary review policies for resisting inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period More than 1 appeals allowed for inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period More than 1 appeals allowed for inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period More than 1 appeals allowed for inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period Bafeguard identified views and if necessary revise to strengthen No applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood Among the provision of identified in protection of identified local view over a rolling 5 year period To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identified view over a rolling 5 year period Bafecting an identifi			2020/21	Less than 110 units by end of 2018/19	· I
Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character Planning appeals dismissed for Inappropriate garden development or Inappropriate garden development adversely affecting and viewpoints from inappropriate Safeguard identified local view Development adversely affecting an identified local view Development adversely affecting an identified local view No applications approved for development adversely affecting an identified local view Strengthen Harrow town centres and maintain or major retail development in out of centre locations Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting an identified local view No applications approved involving development adversely affecting in a identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Planning appeals dismissed for development over a rolling 5 year period Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for protection of character and if necessary revise to strengthen 1 application approved involving development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or major retail development in out of centre locations Over a rolling 5 year period Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review in necessary review to policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review in necessary review to policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review in necess		nealth problems		Less than 110 and by the of 2010/10	
Protect the character of Harrow's suburbs and town centres Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting Metroland and suburban character over a rolling 5 year period Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development or inappropriate garden development or inappropriate garden development or inappropriate garden development adversely affecting and viewpoints from inappropriate development or inappropriate development or inappropriate development or inappropriate development Safeguard identified views and if inecessary review policies for resisting inappropriate development adversely affecting and viewpoints from inappropriate development adversely affecting and viewpoints from inappropriate development Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood Planning appeals dismissed for development adversely affecting inappropriate and suburban character over a rolling 5 appeals allowed for development adversely affecting inappropriate and suburban character and if necessary review policies for resisting inappropriate development adversely affecting inappropriate adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Povelopment adversely affecting identified one development in out of centre locations approved for major retail development in out of centre locations and development management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres and neighbourhood					
Harrow's suburbs and town centres Metroland and suburban character Metroland Metroland and suburban character Metroland Metroland and suburban character Metroland Metroland Metroland and suburban character Metroland Metrolan					provision
town centres Metroland and suburban character Resist development on gardens Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from inappropriate Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for resisting inappropriate garden development adversely affecting an identified local view No applications approved for development adversely affecting an identified local view No applications approved involving development adversely affecting inappropriate and viewpoints from identified local view No applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified necessary review the policies for the decision and if necessary review the policies for the protection of identified local view over a rolling 5 year period Strengthen Harrow town centres and maintain or major retail development in out of centre locations None 1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review over a rolling 5 year period identify reasons, and if necessary review protection of identified local views and if necessary review over a rolling 5 year period identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review over a rolling 5 year period identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review over a rolling 5 year period identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local view over a rolling 5 year period idevelopment in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period idevelopmen	Protect the character of	Planning appeals dismissed for	100%	More than 5 appeals allowed for	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
Resist development on gardens Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from inappropriate development Development adversely affecting an identified local view Tolling 3 year period No applications approved for development adversely affecting an identified local view Tolling 3 year period No applications approved involving development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations None 1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations Tolling 3 year period No applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To major retail development in out of centre locations To major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations To more applications approv	Harrow's suburbs and	development adversely affecting		development adversely affecting Metroland	policies for protection of character and if
Resist development on gardens Planning appeals dismissed for inappropriate garden development Bafeguard identified views and viewpoints from inappropriate development Bafecting an identified local view Strengthen No applications approved involving affecting an identified local view Strengthen None 1 or more applications approved for retail development in out of centre locations and if questions and if questions and if questions approved involving and view over a rolling 5 year period None 1 or more applications approved for retail development in out of centre locations and if questions and if questions approved involving and in questions approved involving development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period To more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period To major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period To major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period To major retail development in management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres	town centres	Metroland and suburban character		and suburban character over a rolling 5	necessary revise to strengthen
inappropriate garden development inappropriate garden development inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen Development adversely affecting an identified local view inappropriate development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period inapproved for mecessary revise to strengthen No applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period inapproved for mecessary revise to strengthen Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen in necessary review in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review o				year period	
inappropriate garden development inappropriate garden development inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen Development adversely affecting an identified local view inappropriate development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period inapproved for mecessary revise to strengthen No applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified local view over a rolling 5 year period inapproved for mecessary revise to strengthen Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period inappropriate garden development over a rolling 3 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen in necessary review in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period inappropriate garden development and if necessary revise to strengthen in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period in necessary review o					
Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from identified local view inappropriate development Strengthen No applications approved for development adversely affecting an identified local view inappropriate development Strengthen No applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period inapproved for major retail development in out of centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood To rolling 3 year period involving development and if necessary revise to the decision and if necessary review the policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary revise to strengthen 1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period involving development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period involving development and if necessary review the reasons for the decision and if necessary review or a rolling 5 year period involving development in necessary review over a rolling 5 year period involving development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period involving development in out of development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period involving development in out of dev			100%		
Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from identified local view affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period protection of identified local views and if necessary review the policies for the local view over a rolling 5 year period protection of identified local views and if necessary revise to strengthen Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood strengthen in out of centre locations approved for within town centres and neighbourhood strengthen in out of centre locations is trengthen future delivery within town centres and neighbourhood in the protection and if applications approved involving development adversely affecting identified necessary review the policies for the protection of identified local view over a rolling 5 year period protection of identified local views and if necessary review retail development in out of centre locations site allocations and development management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres	gardens	inappropriate garden development			
Safeguard identified views and viewpoints from identified local view inappropriate development Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood Development adversely affecting an identified local view identified local view identified local view identified local view over a rolling 5 year period identified local view over a rolling 5 year period inapproved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period identified local view and if necessary review the policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary review to strengthen 1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period is all town centres and neighbourhood 2 over a rolling 5 year period involving development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period involving development in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres				rolling 3 year period	
and viewpoints from identified local view for development adversely affecting identified affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period protection of identified local views and if necessary revise to strengthen Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood for major affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period protection of identified local views and if necessary revise to strengthen 1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period site allocations and development management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres					strengthen
inappropriate development Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood affecting an identified local view over a rolling 5 year period protection of identified local views and if necessary revise to strengthen None 1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period incessary revise to strengthen lidentify reasons, and if necessary review site allocations and development management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres	Safeguard identified views	Development adversely affecting an	No applications approved	1 application approved involving	Review the reasons for the decision and if
development view necessary revise to strengthen Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood neighbourhood necessary revise necessary r	and viewpoints from	identified local view	for development adversely	development adversely affecting identified	necessary review the policies for the
Strengthen Harrow town centre and maintain or major retail development in out of enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres	inappropriate		affecting an identified local	local view over a rolling 5 year period	protection of identified local views and if
centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres	development		view		necessary revise to strengthen
centre and maintain or enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres					
enhance the vitality and viability of all town centres and neighbourhood over a rolling 5 year period management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres	_		None		
viability of all town centres and neighbourhood developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres					·
and neighbourhood within town centres		centre locations		over a rolling 5 year period	
harances					within town centres
	paraues		I	I	

	T	I	T	
	Number of hotel bedspaces in Harrow	Increase the number of	Less than a 20% increase (ie 410 hotel	Discuss with applicants the reasons why
	town centre	hotel bedspaces to 500	bedspaces) by end 2015/16	planning permissions are not being
		within the town centre by		implemented
		2026 (using September	Less than a 35% increase (ie 460 hotel	
		2011 as the base year)	bedspaces) by end 2020/21	In consultation with the hotel industry,
				review interest/market for new hotel
				development in Harrow as part of a review
				of the Tourism Strategy and
				identify/address any barriers to delivery
				Review area action plan in partnership with
				hotel developers to secure delivery
				,
	Pipeline supply of new retail	22,500m2 net additional	Less than 7,000m2 by 2016/17	In association with key stakeholders,
	floorspace (comparison only) in	retail floorspace by 2026	5, 20, 10, 11	including the Town Centre Forum, review
	Harrow town centre	Totali noorspace by 2020	Less than 14,500m2 by 2020/21	reasons why the trajectory is not being met
	Trainow town centre		, , , , ,	, , ,
				and, if necessary, review the Retail Study
				and ensure up-to-date projections of need
				for new retail floorspace and identify any
				barriers to delivery
				Review area action plan in partnership with
				developers to secure delivery
	Number of applications for	80% to include reprovision	Less than 50% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons for performance and if
	development on sites with existing B1	of office floorspace through		necessary amend area action plan policies
	use in Harrow town centre	redevelopment		to secure optimum viable delivery or
				address implementation issues
	Office vacancy rates in Harrow town	Reduce to vacancy to 10%	Not greater than 15% by 2015/16	In consultation with agents, review supply
	centre	by 2026		and demand of Harrow town centre office
			Not greater than 12% by 2020/21	space;
				Undertake henchmarking to understand the
				Undertake benchmarking to understand the
				Harrow position in relation to the outer west
				London picture;
				If necessary, amend area action plan
				policies to relax conversion/ redevelopment
				of offices
Promote job creation and	Net additional jobs in the Borough	4,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 1,000 jobs by end 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
business growth				policies and viability/effectiveness of
			Less than 2,000 jobs by end 2020/21	implementation; amend policies if
				necessary
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>


	1	r	Г	
	Net additional jobs in the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area	3,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 750 jobs by end 2015/16 Less than 1,800 jobs by end 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of
			EC33 (IIIII 1,000)003 by Crid 2020/21	implementation; amend policies if necessary
	The number of businesses located in	5% increase on 2009	Less than 2% increase by 2016/17	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	the Borough	baseline by 2026		policies and viability/effectiveness of
			Less than 3% increase by 2020/21	implementation; amend if necessary
				Review Local Economic Assessment to
				identify reasons and possible interventions
Maintain an integrated,	Implementation of Harrow's LIP 2	As set out in the LIP	As set out in the LIP	Discuss with local highways and transport
sustainable transport				department to establish reasons for non
network which supports growth				delivery and how these can be addressed
	Highway improvements at key	100% by 2026	80% of key junction not improved or no	Discuss with delivery partners the reasons
	junctions used by buses		funded proposals for improvement by	for performance and, if necessary, review
			2016/17	transport study and seek to include funded
				projects for improvements at key junctions
			50% of key junction not improved or no	in subsequent LIP document or
			funded proposals for improvement by	sub-regional transport plan
			2020/21	
	Improved orbital bus routes	Additional orbital bus	No delivery or funded proposals for delivery	Discuss with TfL/Mayor of London
		route(s) by 2025/26	of additional orbital bus routes by 2017/18	D : 110 1
				Review LIP and amend as necessary
Contribute to a 60 per	Up to date, adopted Borough climate	Review and adopt revised	Updated climate change strategy not in	Review reasons for lack of progress and
cent reduction in	change strategy	climate change strategy by	place by end 2014/15	address as necessary
London's carbon dioxide emissions by 2025		end 2014/15		
	Per capita carbon dioxide emissions	Reduce by 4% per annum	Less than 3% over 2 consecutive years	Review climate change strategy and amend
	in the Borough	(improvements on 1990 baseline)		if necessary
		Substitute,		Identify reasons, and if necessary review
				implementation and effectiveness of
				sustainable design and construction
				policies; amend if necessary
Manage and mitigate	Development approved contrary to	None	More than 5 applications approved over a	Identify reasons for decisions, and if
flood risk	Environment Agency advice		rolling 5 year period	necessary review site allocations relative
				to development need; if necessary allocate
				additional sites in areas of lower flood risk
	1			

	T	I		
	Proportion of applications approved which achieve greenfield run off rates	75% of qualifying applications	Less than 60% over a rolling 3 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies in respect of viability/feasibility considerations and effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
Increase the sustainable management of waste	Amount of waste going to landfill	Reduce below 2009/10 levels (60,754 tonnes)	An increase in more than one year above 2009/10 levels over five years (rolling)	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
	Municipal waste recycled and composted	50% by 2020	Less than 45% by 2014/15 Less than 47% by 2017/18	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
	Construction and demolition waste recycled	95% by 2020	Less than 80% by 2014/15 Less than 85% by 2017/18	Identify reasons, and if necessary review effectiveness and implementation of waste management policies and amend as necessary
Deliver the infrastructure needed to support growth	Planning appeals dismissed for development not demonstrating adequate infrastructure capacity to serve the development	100%	More than 20% appeals allowed for development not demonstrating adequate infrastructure capacity to serve the development over 3 years (rolling)	Identify reasons, and if necessary review viability and implementation of policies. Introduce development management policies to prioritise delivery (in case of viability) or improve policy implementation
Conserve and enhance Harrow's heritage assets, such as its conservation areas	Conservation areas with adopted supplementary planning documents, area appraisals and management strategies	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary
	Historic parks and gardens with an adopted asset management plan	100% by 2025/26	Less than 50% by 2016/17 Less than 75% by 2020/21	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary
	Up-to-date list of buildings of local architectural or historic buildings and	Review list every five years	No review by end 2016/17 No further review by end 2021/22	Identify reasons and address as necessary
	Up-to-date guidance leaflet for owners of locally listed buildings	Review guidance every five years	No review by end 2016/17 No further review by end 2021/22	Identify reasons and address as necessary
	Additional heritage assets on the English Heritage 'at risk' register	None	Additional heritage assets on the English Heritage 'at risk' register	Review reasons and possible interventions If necessary amend heritage protection policies to strengthen

Development adversely affecting a	No application approved for	More than 1 application approved adversely	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
heritage asset (except enabling	development adversely	affecting a heritage asset over five years	policies for heritage protection and if
development)	affecting a heritage asset		necessary revise to strengthen

5 Harrow & Wealdstone

Harrow and Wealdstone Sub Area



Area Objectives for Harrow and Wealdstone

- 1. Create a vibrant 'new' place that celebrates Harrow's diversity, exhibits exemplar design, and promotes a strong sense of community.
- 2. Strengthen the role of Harrow town centre as a prosperous Metropolitan centre within outer London.
- 3. Regenerate Wealdstone district centre and ensure that employment led redevelopment of the surrounding industrial estates and the Kodak site, contribute to its long-term growth and vitality.
- 4. Improve the amenity and connectivity of Station Road
- 5. Increase the Borough's 'visibility' within the west London sub-region and regionally to secure appropriate levels of investment from private and public sector partners.
- 6. Accommodate a minimum of 2,800 net new homes.
- 7. Create a minimum of 3,000 additional new jobs.

- 8. Renew Harrow town centre's office stock to meet local business needs.
- 9. Increase retail, leisure, and hotel provision within both town centres.
- 10. In the first instance, direct key community facilities serving the entire Borough to locate in Harrow town centre.
- 11. Secure improvements to the accessibility of parks and open spaces by walking and cycling from within the Intensification Area.
- 12. Improve the environmental quality, physical accessibility, capacity and user safety of Harrow on the Hill train station and Harrow Bus Station.
- 13. Ensure public transport links to employment opportunities outside the area are maintained and enhanced.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

Picture 7 Harrow Town Centre



- 5.1 The Harrow and Wealdstone sub area comprises several distinct areas, displaying different characteristics, strengths and weaknesses, and diverse opportunities, as well as capacity, for growth and change. It is these characteristics, not present elsewhere in the Borough, that saw the sub area identified early in the preparation of the Core Strategy as a potential growth area, and that has also prompted its inclusion in the replacement London Plan as a new Intensification Area.
- 5.2 The Intensification Area encompasses the two town centres of Harrow and Wealdstone, the Station Road corridor linking the two centres, and the strategic industrial land surrounding

Wealdstone, including the Kodak site (as indicated on the sub area map). The area will be the subject of considerable development and managed change over the next 15 years, with the Council aiming to improve both Harrow and Wealdstone centres' standing within the London-wide town centre hierarchy. Capacity exists within the Intensification Area to deliver substantial employment growth through an uplift in retail, office and hotel development within the town centres and through the intensification of industrial and employment generating use within the Wealdstone Industrial Area. There is also scope to accommodate a substantial portion of the Borough's future housing need through the delivery of higher density residential and mixed use development on key strategic sites and renewal areas where development is matched by investment in infrastructure and achieves high standards of design and sustainability.

5.3 Harrow town centre will remain the Borough's main retail, leisure and office centre. It is designated as a Metropolitan centre, one of the 12 such centres across London, but has not kept pace with growth experienced in other centres with the same status and is increasingly faced with competition from proposed growth areas such as Brent Cross, White City, and Wembley. In line with the Council's corporate priority, Harrow town centre will be developed as a place that local

Harrow Core Strategy Final

people can be proud of, both for the opportunities and activities it provides, such as shopping, offices, entertainment and leisure pursuits, but which also has a look and feel which creates a sense of pride, attracting visitors from outside the Borough as well as serving Harrow residents well.

- 5.4 There is a clear need to attract new development and investment in upgrading the existing office stock within Harrow town centre⁽¹⁶⁾ to support growth in business services, retain existing employers, and to capitalise on opportunities to promote and grow Harrow's small to medium enterprise sector. The strategy promoted is one of office consolidation, renewal and modest long-term growth. This is to be achieved through a combination of measures that will be explored further through the Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area, but will include opportunities to:
- Exploit the refurbishment market to provide low cost accommodation for smaller enterprises;
- Identify an office quarter within the town centre;
- Promote redevelopment of suitable existing office sites to bring forward new office development;
- Release redundant and less suitable office sites to other employment generating uses; and
- Encourage public services and facilities, serving the local area and the wider borough to locate within Harrow town centre.
- 5.5 With regard to proposals for redevelopment, it is envisaged that these will come forward as part of mixed-use proposals. In such circumstances, it is acknowledged that this might require significantly reduced amounts of office floorspace, but would not necessarily result in a net reduction in job numbers being achieved on site, due to more efficient use of the floorspace and increased job densities resulting from changing work practices, such as mobile and flexible working. The Council therefore considers that a target of 40% reprovision is appropriate subject to viability considerations⁽¹⁷⁾.
- 5.6 However, it is acknowledged that these measures alone may not be sufficient to see the town centre's office market return to a healthy state. To support and encourage a robust office market, and to strengthen Harrow town centre's Metropolitan status, the centre's retail offer will need to be expanded and diversified to provide for greater leisure and entertainment uses (restaurant's, cafés etc), the quality of the environment within the town centre will need to be improved, and the transport facilities serving the centre will need to be enhanced.
- 5.7 To provide the modern, high quality town centre experience that shoppers expect, Harrow town centre will be the priority for the expansion of retailing and associated investment. Of Harrow's forecast capacity for 37,700 sq m net additional retail floorspace by 2020⁽¹⁸⁾, the vast majority of capacity is to be accommodated within the Intensification Area, with identified sites in Harrow town centre making provision for approximately 22,500 sq m net of comparison floorspace and 4,000 sq m net convenience floorspace, with an additional 8,000 sq m net floorspace being provided for non-retail uses⁽¹⁹⁾.

¹⁶ Employment Land Review 2010

¹⁷ The 40% is based on recent work undertaken by the Council in determining its own future office floorspace requirements should it relocate from its existing offices

¹⁸ Retail Study 2009

¹⁹ Services and Use Class A2 to A5

- 5.8 In support of new office and retail development, all new development will be required to contribute towards the provision of a high quality public realm. The types of environmental improvements proposed include streetscape enhancements, improvements to the existing shops themselves and upgrading accessibility. In addition to public realm accessibility, and to encourage modal shift, the Council will also continue to seek improvements to the town centre's public transport accessibility. In particular, the Council will seek to pool funding towards projects that address the identified access, capacity and safety problems associated with Harrow on the Hill and Harrow Bus stations (20). Improvements at the stations, along with the development of strategic sites along College Road and the St Ann's service yard, will provide the catalyst to enhance the surrounding public realm and provide a much needed new pedestrian link to the primary shopping area. The creation of a series of public spaces in this location will also support a vibrant and diverse day and night time economy, a renewed and attractive office location, and provide new visual and physical connections to Harrow College Campus and Harrow Hill.
- through medium to higher density mixed-use development that retain an active commercial frontage mixed with established and new cultural and community uses that benefit from improved public transport connectivity and enhancements to the urban realm and streetscape to create a more attractive 'gateway' to and between the main town centres. Congestion along the length of Station Road will need to be addressed through modest but effective interventions such as signalling and junction reconfiguration, and bus stop modifications. Particular attention will need to be paid to the edges of development along Station Road to ensure they do not result in adverse amenity impacts with neighbouring sites. Urban realm improvements within the corridor will not be confined to Station Road but will seek to improve pedestrian connectivity between the corridor and the district parks, providing for formal and informal leisure opportunities. This will involve capitalising on the existing, fragmented green grid through a programme of public and private sector projects, to create links and nodes around which amenity, biodiversity and wellbeing agendas can be delivered.
- 5.10 In Wealdstone town centre, housing led regeneration will support physical renewal of the High Street and enhance the links with the wider business and industrial capacity of the area. Wealdstone will also begin to attract the regional interest and significant investment comparable with other locations that offer affordable family housing within an attractive and green suburban environment that is well connected to central London by fast and frequent train services. Improvements to the public realm will therefore need to be delivered or secured from new development to ensure growth results in an attractive environment, that is both functional and safe and contributes significantly to local amenity.

²⁰ Harrow Town Centre Urban Design Framework, Public Realm Strategy and Access Study (Urban Initiatives 2008)

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Picture 8 High Street, Wealdstone



5.11 The strategic industrial land surrounding Wealdstone, including the Kodak site, will support local job creation and retention, by ensuring that there is land available for businesses to expand or for new businesses to start-up. Based on Valuation Office Agency (VOA) data, Harrow has about 590,000 sq m of commercial floorspace, significantly less than that of other boroughs in west London⁽²¹⁾. Of this modest supply, the vast bulk is provided by the Wealdstone industrial estates, and as such, and when taken as a whole, it is important that these sites continue to contribute to Harrow's long-term economic development. While the manufacturing and industrial sectors in the

Borough are declining, future demand for industrial land within Harrow is expected to come forward over the Plan period from the local entrepreneurial and Small to Medium Enterprise (SME) sector. As large businesses such as Kodak continue to consolidate, and other sites become available, the Council will seek and promote comprehensive employment led regeneration of these sites to maintain the integrity and viability of the employment use area and to provide more diverse employment opportunities tailored to local needs and skills. Proposals for the consolidation of the Strategic Industrial Location (SIL) designation and the local industrial and business use area will be considered in the course of preparing the Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area but will only be considered acceptable where they secure the retention of the majority of the SIL and the industrial and business use area in employment use;make provision for a range of premises, particularly smaller affordable units suitable for small and start-up businesses; and deliver improvements to the quality of the existing environment and buildings on site making it attractive to new businesses. It is the Council's preference that, where possible, the redevelopment of industrial estates be approached comprehensively and the possibilities for this will be examined though the Area Action Plan. (222)

²¹ Employment Land Review 2010 - Figure 4.1

²² Employment Land Review 2010 – Sections 4.11 – 4.14, 4.30; Harrow's Local Economic Assessment for 2010/11; and Replacement London Plan Policy 2.7 A(i)

With regard to the delivery of Harrow's strategic housing requirement, in addition to exhibiting the highest levels of public transport accessibility in the Borough, the Intensification Area also contains a significant range of strategic opportunity sites with cumulative capacity to accommodate 2,800 net new homes providing a variety of housing types, sizes and tenures, and fulfilling a significant proportion of the Borough's future housing need. While provision needs to be made for increased densities within the Intensification Area, it is not a matter of development at all costs. A key tenet of this Core Strategy is to ensure the potential impacts of intensification are offset by higher design and environmental standards, and that the investment potential

Picture 9 View south from Kodak, Headstone Drive, Wealdstone



and benefits to be derived from an uplift in land value are captured and maximised.

- Across the whole of the Intensification Area, development and growth is expected to be matched by the delivery of social and physical infrastructure. Development within the Intensification Area will be required to contribute to the provision of a new primary and secondary school, as well as expanded and enhanced community, cultural, recreation and health facilities. Given the quantum of growth and mix of uses proposed, there is the potential for the provision of an area-wide Combined Heat and Power (CHP) network within the Intensification Area. The physical and financial feasibility of an area-wide CHP scheme will be explored as part of the work to prepare the Harrow & Wealdstone Area Action Plan. In the event that the feasibility of the scheme is proven, major new development will be required to connect to the network unless there are demonstrable viability or other practical reasons not to do so. In addition to the station improvements, other transport initiatives to be progressed include improvements to the cycle and walking networks linking the Intensification Area to the wider Borough; and local junction improvements to address traffic flows both to and through the Intensification Area. The Council will also work with Transport for London in developing and improving orbital bus connections, connecting the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area with major employment locations and town centres within West and North outer London, such as Heathrow, Brent Cross, Park Royal, Ealing Broadway and Uxbridge.
- 5.14 New development and growth within the Intensification Area will be co-ordinated and phased in line with the provision of physical and social infrastructure, to ensure new development results in the creation of sustainable communities. The Council will prepare a Community Infrastructure Levy to secure funding towards infrastructure made necessary by development throughout the Borough. The concentration of growth within the Intensification Area raises additional localised infrastructure requirements to fully realise the area objectives for Harrow & Wealdstone. These relate to sustainable transport, the public realm and open space. Such localised infrastructure needs necessarily sit outside the scope of a Borough-wide Levy and will be in addition to any site specific Planning Obligation requirements. The Area Action Plan will provide further detail on the arrangements for securing contributions towards, and the delivery of, the specific infrastructure requirements of the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

- Working with landowners, developers, and other key service providers, the GLA and the Council will prepare a joint Area Action Plan for the Intensification Area. The extent of change envisaged makes it essential that a detailed and locationally specific planning document is in place to guide and manage future changes and to maximise the benefit derived from the expected development. While the Core Strategy defines the boundary of the Intensification Area, and provides the overall quantum of development and jobs to be delivered, the Area Action Plan will provide more detailed policies and proposals regarding the mix of uses to be achieved within specific areas to deliver 2,800 net new homes, 3,000 additional new jobs, retail growth, office renewal, and hotel and leisure uses alongside significant environmental and social infrastructure improvements. It will set out the detailed development management policies required to manage the significant change proposed. This includes identifying any further suitable sites for the provision of taller, landmark buildings⁽²³⁾in addition to that established in principle at 51 College Road and any other acceptable proposals that emerge in advance of the adoption of the Area Action Plan having regard to CABE/English Heritage guidance and London Plan Policy 7.7: Location and design of tall and large buildings. Whilst recognising that the Intensification Area is suitable for locating a tall building, the role of the Area Action Plan is to establish the future urban landscape and character of the Intensification Area and the places within it. In doing so, regard will be had to the delivery of the Area Objectives, heritage assets (within and outside of the Intensification Area) and the special character of Harrow Hill and key features within that skyline, including St Mary's spire, the positive contribution a landmark building might make to the surrounding area, and to views to and within the Intensification Area. The Area Action Plan will include development control policies aimed at ensuring any tall buildings incorporate the highest quality of materials and design and supplemented, where appropriate, with additional site specific criteria required to manage locally identified potential impacts.
- 5.16 The Intensification Area, and its designation in the London Plan also ensures Harrow has visibility in a regional context and can use this to lever support from other public sector delivery partners, including Transport for London and central government agencies like the Environment Agency, securing necessary commitments towards implementation and delivery. Furthermore, the Council anticipates that the preparation of the joint Area Action Plan will also assist in improving business confidence in Harrow and create an environment that will attract inward investment. The Area Action Plan will therefore have a strong implementation focus providing the framework for delivering regeneration initiatives.

Core Policy CS 2

Harrow & Wealdstone

- A. Support the designation of the Harrow and Wealdstone growth corridor as an Intensification Area within the replacement London Plan through the preparation of a joint Area Action Plan covering the Intensification Area.
- B. Work with delivery partners to actively market and promote the Intensification Area as a focus for significant development, growth and investment.

- C. Through the Area Action Plan, identify and allocate sufficient sites to deliver a minimum of 2,800 net new homes over the Plan period, giving further clarity to the mix and density of housing, along with the quantum of other appropriate land uses, to be achieved on individual sites. Particular attention will be paid to scale and form of development on sites at the edge of the Intensification Area, ensuring these achieve effective transition, especially where they neighbour open space or low density suburban residential areas.
- D. All other development within the sub-area but outside of the boundary of the Intensification Area, will be managed in accordance with the overarching principles set out in Core Policy 1.
- E. The Council will consider, through the Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area, the consolidation of the Wealdstone Strategic Industrial Location and the local industrial and business use areas (specifically the function and boundary of these designations), taking account of the assessments of industrial land demand and the strategic objectives for the Intensification Area.
- F. Promote consolidation and renewal of the office stock within Harrow town centre through appropriate provisions in the Area Action Plan aimed at improving the quality of the office stock and creating a more buoyant and attractive office market.
- G. Optimise the educational offer within the Intensification Area through partnership working with Harrow College and other education providers.
- H. Sites suitable for major comparison goods retail development, within or as an extension to the primary shopping area in Harrow Metropolitan centre, will be brought forward through the Area Action Plan. Convenience goods retail development, other main town centre uses and key community facilities will be directed to Harrow Metropolitan centre or Wealdstone district centre commensurate with the catchment and function of the centre. Uses that support the development of a diverse evening economy within Harrow Metropolitan centre will be supported, including leisure, cultural and tourism activities, subject to compatibility with the residential environment.
- I. Between Harrow Metropolitan centre and Wealdstone district centre, active commercial frontages will be sought at ground floor level on Station Road to include provision for economic development that is compatible with residential use. Main town centre uses between the centres in Station Road will be limited to re-provision of existing floorspace and extensions not exceeding 200 square metres.
- J. The Area Action Plan will establish a policy framework for tall, landmark buildings within the Intensification Area. Particular attention will be had to identified views, the opportunity to open up new views and vistas from within the Intensification Area, the setting of Harrow on the Hill Area of Special Character and heritage assets.
- K. Subject to feasibility being proven through the Area Action Plan, all major development within the Intensification Area will be expected to contribute to the provision of a district-wide combined heat and power network. Prior to the network being installed or extended, new development will be required to make provision for future connection to the network.

- L. Require all new development to help create coherent public spaces of high quality design, making the environment more attractive to all users, especially pedestrians. A Supplementary Planning Document, focusing on design will be prepared in support of the Area Action Plan.
- M. Accessibility, capacity, environmental and safety improvements at Harrow-on-the-Hill Underground Station and Harrow Bus Station will be sought as a priority. Where specific proposals for such improvements come forward, contributions towards delivery will be sought through the Harrow CIL.
- N. Work with the Environment Agency, the GLA and developers to reduce flood risk within Wealdstone town centre and to explore viable and appropriate flood mitigation measures, including the deculverting of the Wealdstone Brook through Kenton Recreation Ground. Proposed site allocations within Wealdstone town centre will be subject to sequential testing through the Area Action Plan, informed by the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.
- O. Proposals for the sustainable enhancement of the public realm within the Intensification Area will be brought forward as part of the Area Action Plan. The proposals will enhance legibility and accessibility to support and encourage walking and cycling across the area. Highway improvements that improve the capacity, reliability and general operating conditions for public transport will be prioritised. Within the town centres, non-residential development of more than local or district catchment will support general car parking provision consistent with London Plan policies and the vitality and viability of the centre. Other development will be expected to provide minimal car parking, consistent with operational requirements and standards for disabled people.
- P. In addition to any requirement for amenity greenspace and play space provision, priority will be given to realising opportunities, where available, to secure additional public open space on site, to reduce the area's overall levels of deficiencies. Where such opportunities exist, the Council will discuss with the developer whether it is appropriate to forego other contributions or obligations required of the proposal, to secure this opportunity on the individual development site.
- Q. In addition to the Harrow CIL and site specific section 106 requirements, all new development within the area will be required, through the securing of planning obligations, to contribute to the funding and implementation of:
- A Green Travel Plan covering the Intensification Area;
- Way-finding and other proposals to improve connectivity between Harrow town centre, Harrow College and Harrow Hill, to contribute to the wider commercial and tourism opportunities in the area;
- On-street electric charging points throughout the Intensification Area;
- Public realm enhancements; and
- Proposals to improve the accessibility and quality of local open spaces.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Create a vibrant new place that celebrates Harrow's diversity, exhibits exemplar design	Up-to-date sustainable community strategy in place	Renew the Harrow sustainable community strategy by end 2014/15	No draft replacement sustainable community strategy in place to being prepared by end 2013/14	Investigate reasons for lack of progress and address
and promotes a strong sense of community	Area action plan adopted for Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area	Adopt area action plan by end 2013/14	No examination in public of area action plan during 2012/13	Identify reasons, and address through revised Local Development Scheme
	Major residential developments approved with 'good' or 'very good' Building for Life assessments	80% of major residential development achieving 'good' or 'very good' assessments by 2025/26	Less than 50% by 2015/16 Less than 65% by 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies and viability/effectiveness of implementation; amend policies if necessary
Strengthen the role of Harrow town centre as a prosperous Metropolitan centre within outer London	Number of applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations	None	1 or more applications approved for major retail development in out of centre locations over a rolling 5 year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review site allocations and development management policies in partnership with developers to strengthen future delivery within town centres
	Number of hotel bedspaces in Harrow town centre	Increase the number of hotel bedspaces to 500 within the town centre by 2026 (using September 2011 as the base year)	Less than a 20% increase (ie 410 hotel bedspaces) by end 2015/16 Less than a 35% increase (ie 460 hotel bedspaces) by end 2020/21	Discuss with applicants the reasons why planning permissions are not being implemented In consultation with the hotel industry, review interest/market for new hotel development in Harrow as part of a review of the Tourism Strategy and identify/address any barriers to delivery Review area action plan in partnership with hotel developers to secure delivery
	Pipeline supply of new retail floorspace (comparison only) in Harrow town centre	22,500m2 net additional retail floorspace by 2026	Less than 7,000m2 by 2016/17 Less than 14,500m2 by 2020/21	In association with key stakeholders, including the Town Centre Forum, review reasons why the trajectory is not being met and, if necessary, review the Retail Study and ensure up-to-date projections of need for new retail floorspace and identify any barriers to delivery Review area action plan in partnership with developers to secure delivery
	Number of applications for development on sites with existing B1 use in Harrow town centre	80% to include reprovision of office floorspace through redevelopment	Less than 50% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons for performance and if necessary amend area action plan policies to secure optimum viable delivery or address implementation issues

	Office vacancy rates in Harrow town centre	Reduce to vacancy to 10% by 2026	Not greater than 15% by 2015/16 Not greater than 12% by 2020/21	In consultation with agents, review supply and demand of Harrow town centre office space;
				Undertake benchmarking to understand the Harrow position in relation to the outer west London picture;
				If necessary, amend area action plan policies to relax conversion/ redevelopment of offices
Regenerate Wealdstone district centre and ensure that employment-led redevelopment of the	Vacancy Rate overall for Wealdstone district centre (frontages)	No more than 10%	An increase in vacant retail frontage of more than 5% vacant retail over a rolling 5 year period	Analyse causes of and identify measures. Revise development management policies if necessary
surrounding industrial estates and the Kodak site, contribute to its long	Average footfall in Wealdstone district centre	Not to fall below 1999 levels	Footfall more than 10% below 1999 levels over a rolling 5 year period	Analyse causes of vacancy and identify measures. Revise development management policies if necessary
term growth and vitality	Post adoption of the Area Action Plan, the amount of strategic industrial land in Wealdstone released to uses not within the definitions and exceptions of Policy 2.17 of the London Plan	No release of SIL land over and above any limit (hectares) permitted through the area action plan	More than 5 applications approved for redevelopment of SIL land to uses not within the definition and exceptions of Policy 2.17 of the London Plan over 5 years (rolling)	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA the policy controls; review supply and demand of SIL land. If necessary amend policies and site allocations to safeguard the best remaining SIL and manage the release of other parts
	Post adoption of the Area Action Plan, the amount of designated employment land in Wealdstone released to non economic development uses	No release of designated employment land over and above any limit (hectares) permitted through the area action plan	More than 5 applications approved for redevelopment of designated employment land to non economic development uses over 5 years (rolling)	Review supply and demand of industrial space in Wealdstone; amend policies if necessary
Improve the amenity and connectivity ofStation	Implementation of Station Roadmajor scheme (LIP2)	Completion ofStation Roadmajor scheme by end 2025/26	Scheme not approved by TfL by end 2014/15	Explore alternative forms of delivery e.g. as part of development or through developer funding
	Highway improvements at key junctions used by buses	100% by 2026	80% of key junction not improved or no funded proposals for improvement by 2016/17 50% of key junction not improved or no funded proposals for improvement by 2020/21	Discuss with delivery partners the reasons for performance and, if necessary, review transport study and seek to include funded projects for improvements at key junctions in subsequent LIP document or sub-regional transport plan
Increase the Borough's 'visibility' within the west London sub region and regionally to secure	Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area maintained in future revisions of London Plan	Harrow & Wealdstone included in next replacement London Plan	Draft next replacement London Plan published with Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area excluded	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA; identify reasons and address


	Г		Г	
appropriate levels of	Total annual public and private	18% growth to 2026 on	Less than 3% growth over a rolling 3 year	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA; identify
investment from private	investments in the Borough	2009 as the base year	period	reasons and address
and public sector partners				
A	No contract of a self-self-state of the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	A	L than 4 000 hu 0045/40	Ideals.
Accommodate a minimum	Number of residential dwellings	A minimum of 2,800 net	Less than 1,000 by 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
of 2,800 net new homes	delivered within the Harrow &	additional dwellings by end	Less than 2,000 by 2020/21	effectiveness of area action plan
	Wealdstone Intensification Area	of the plan period to 2026	LC33 (Hall 2,000 by 2020/21	implementation; if necessary amend
				policies to address housing delivery
Create a minimum of	Net additional jobs in the Harrow &	3,000 jobs by end 2025/26	Less than 750 jobs by end 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
3,000 additional new jobs	Wealdstone Intensification Area	.,,,		policies and viability/effectiveness of
			Less than 1,800 jobs by end 2020/21	implementation; amend policies if
				necessary
				necessary
Renew Harrow town	Number of applications for	80% to include reprovision	Less than 50% over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons for delivery below target.
centre's office stock to	development on sites with existing B1	of office floorspace through		If necessary amend area action plan
meet local business	use in Harrow town centre	redevelopment		policies to secure optimum viable delivery
needs		·		or address implementation issues
	Office vacancy rates in Harrow town	Reduce to vacancy to 10%	Not greater than 15% by 2015/16	Review supply and demand of Harrow town
	centre	by 2026		centre office space; if necessary amend
			Not greater than 12% by 2020/21	area action plan policies to relax
				conversion/ redevelopment of offices
Increase retail, leisure	Pipeline supply of new retail	22,500m2 net additional	Less than 7,000m2 by 2016/17	Review Retail Study and ensure up-to-date
and hotel provision within	floorspace (comparison only) in	retail floorspace by end		projections of need for new retail floorspace
both town centres	Harrow town centre	2025/26	Less than 14,500m2 by 2020/21	and identify any barriers to delivery
				Review area action plan in partnership with
				developers to secure delivery
	Number of hotel hadenesses in and	Increase the number of	Loca than a 40% increase (in 20 hatal	Discuss with applicants the recease why
	Number of hotel bedspaces in and		Less than a 40% increase (ie 20 hotel	Discuss with applicants the reasons why
	Wealdstone town centre	hotel bedspaces to 50	bedspaces) by end 2015/16	planning permissions are not being
		within Wealdstone town	Less than a 80% increase (ie 40 hotel	implemented
		centre by 2026 (using	bedspaces) by end 2020/21	In consultation with the hotel industry,
		September 2011 as the	TESTIGOTO S GITA EDEDIE I	review interest/market for new hotel
		base year)		development in Harrow as part of a review
				of the Tourism Strategy and
				identify/address any barriers to delivery
				Review area action plan in partnership with
				hotel developers to secure delivery
	Provision of performing arts space	An additional performing	No site identified for new performing arts	Discuss with cultural service providers to
		arts space by 2025/26	space by end 2015/16	establish reasons and address
			No delivery or no funded proposals for	Discuss with cultural service providers to
			delivery by end 2020/21	secure the delivery of alternatives by
				2025/26
	l.	l	l .	

In the first instance, direct	Delivery of new Civic Centre within	Provision of a new Civic	No site identified for a new civic centre by	Discuss with property services department
key community facilities	Harrow town centre	Centre by end 2025/26	end 2015/16	to establish reasons and and consider
serving the entire				alternative forms of provision
Borough to locate in			No delivery or no funded proposals for	
Harrow town centre			delivery by end 2020/21	
	Delivery of new polyclinic or GP-led	Provision of an additional	No site identified for a new polyclinic or	Discuss with health providers to establish
	surgery within Harrow town centre	polyclinic or GP-led surgery	GP-led surgery by end 2015/16	reasons and address the need to allocate
		by end 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	a site(s) for alternative forms of provision
				in partnership with health providers
			delivery by end 2020/21	
	Delivery of new central library within	Provision of a new central	No site identified for a new central library	Discuss with cultural services department
	Harrow town centre	library by end 2025/26	by end 2015/16	to establish reasons and to consider
				alternative forms of provision
			No delivery or no funded proposals for	
			delivery by end 2020/21	
Secure improvements to	Legible London signing for Harrow	Provision of Legible	No funded proposals to secure Legible	Discuss with transport department and
	town centre and Wealdstone	_		consider alternative forms of additional
the accessibility of parks	town centre and wealdstone	London signs by end	London signs by end 2013/14	
and open spaces by		2017/18		provision
walking and cycling from	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
within the Intensification	11.1.03 (Harrow town centre, Byron		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
Area	Recreation Ground and Kenton			alternative forms of funding
	Recreation Ground)			-
	,			
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.04 (Kenton Recreation Ground)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.05 (Street Tree Planting)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
Improve the	Successful lobbying of TfL for to bring	Inclusion in revised	Not included in revised Mayor's Transport	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA/TfL;
environmental quality,	forward improved disabled access at	Mayor's Transport Strategy	Strategy by end 2020/21	identify reasons and if possible address
physical accessibility,	Harrow on the Hill station	of upgrade to Harrow on		
capacity and user safety		the Hill station to secure full		
of Harrow on the Hill train		disabled access to ticket		
station and Harrow bus		hall and platforms		
station				
	Increase bus station capacity by	Provision of additional bus	No funded proposals to secure additional	Discuss with transport department and
	provision of additional bus standing	standing space inKimberley	bus standing space inKimberley Roadby	consider alternative forms of additional
	space onKimberley Road	Roadby end 2017/18	end 2013/14	provision

Ensure public transport	Number of bus routes serving Harrow	Maintain and where	Reduction by one or more route(s)	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA/TfL;
links to employment	town centre and Wealdstone	possible increase the		identify reasons and if possible address
opportunities outside the		number of routes serving		
area are maintained and		Harrow town centre and		
enhanced		Wealdstone		
	Capacity of peak train services	Maintain and where	Reduction in capacity of peak train services	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA/TfL;
	serving Harrow on the Hill and Harrow	possible increase the		identify reasons and if possible address
	& Wealdstone stations	number of services serving		
		Harrow on the Hill and		
		Harrow & Wealdstone		
		stations		
	Improved orbital bus routes	Additional orbital bus	No delivery or funded proposals for delivery	Discuss with TfL/Mayor of London
		route(s) by 2025/26	of additional orbital bus routes by 2017/18	

6 Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill

Picture 10 Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill Sub Area



Area Objectives for Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill

- 1. Safeguard the special character of Harrow on the Hill and its setting.
- 2. Protect and manage Harrow on the Hill's Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation.
- 3. Safeguard identified views of St. Mary's Church from inappropriate development.
- 4. Support the continued operation of the schools within the sub area and their role as education providers, land and building managers, important economic generators and providers of community facilities.
- 5. Enhance pedestrian connectivity between Harrow on the Hill and Harrow town centre for residents and to promote tourism.
- 6. Improve the public realm of Sudbury Hill local centre by co-ordinating investment.
- 7. Secure balanced diversification of the Northolt Road business use area.
- 8. Promote Brember Road industrial estate as a location for economic development.

- 9. Maintain community access to sport and recreation facilities and encourage enhancement.
- Support the residential redevelopment of identified, previously-developed sites and opportunities for the renewal of the Grange Farm Estate.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 6.1 Harrow Hill is not expected to accommodate further development, other than that which might be required to maintain active use of its historic buildings and to support the continued operation of Harrow School and John Lyon School. However there is scope for economic development and diversification of Northolt Road, as part of a continuation of its vibrancy through from the South Harrow sub area. The strategy for Harrow on the Hill will focus upon the protection, preservation and enhancement of its special character and heritage assets. Pursuant to this strategy, the boundaries, strategic function and character of Metropolitan Open Land will be maintained.
- 6.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 300 net additional homes comprising 78 with planning permission, 50 as part of employment-led mixed-use redevelopment at the north part of the Northolt Road business use area and 172 on identified, previously developed sites within the Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill neighbourhood area, over the period 2009-2026. Additional housing capacity will be sought from unforeseen opportunities on previously developed sites within Sudbury Hill local centre and South Harrow district centre as part of appropriate, mixed use development.
- 6.3 Harrow Hill contains the Borough's highest concentration of listed buildings and many of its areas benefit from Conservation Area status. The extent of the Medieval village of Harrow is identified by an Archaeological Priority Area. The present day hilltop settlement is enveloped by open space which survived the suburban sprawl of the 20th Century, and this space is now of strategic significance expressed through its Metropolitan Open Land designation. On the eastern slopes of the Hill, the grounds of Harrow Park are recognised as a Grade II historic park and garden.
- 6.4 Together, these designations reflect that Harrow Hill is a feature of significant cultural and historic importance to the Borough. This is reflected in its designation as an Area of Special Character. The Harrow on the Hill Area of Special Character designation was originally defined in the Harrow Borough Local Plan (1986) "...having regard to the architectural and historic character of the area and the open land which provides setting and views of the hilltop settlement, inclusion of all of the Hill's conservation areas and significant open spaces around the Hill". The designation was carried forward and incorporated into the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (1994) and again into the replacement Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004). The characteristics of Harrow Hill which make it a special area, in terms of its historical and environmental significance to the Borough, remain valid and equally important today.

- 6.5 As well as its strategically important role to the character and setting of Harrow Hill, parts of the Metropolitan Open Land are also Grade I Borough Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation providing a range of habitats. The Council will work with landowners to protect and manage these habitats in accordance with Harrow's Biodiversity Action Plan.
- 6.6 Much of the Hill's Metropolitan Open Land is in private ownership and use, so the opportunity provided by the Capital Ring strategic walking route and other public rights of way are crucial for general public access. The Capital Ring will form a strategic connection between Harrow's Green Grid and those of neighbouring boroughs. The Council will pursue Green Grid projects which strengthen and enhance this connectivity.
- 6.7 St. Mary's Church remains the dominant visual feature atop Harrow Hill and is a permanent reminder of the influence once exerted by Harrow village over the surrounding community. Views and glimpses of St. Mary's Church make a valuable contribution to the quality and

School Chapel, High Street, Harrow on the Hill

Picture 11 Harrow



identity of places within the Borough, and a number of important public views of the Church have been identified. A Borough-wide views assessment has been commissioned to appraise the significance of the existing identified views and the potential to identify new ones. Identified views of St. Mary's Church will be shown on the proposals map and safeguarded from inappropriate development.

Picture 12 St Mary's Church, Harrow on the Hill



- 6.8 Harrow on the Hill is a visitor attraction both in its own right and as the home of historic Harrow School. Its continued role as a visitor destination will be supported, land sensitive enhancement of pedestrian connectivity between Harrow on the Hill and Harrow town centre will be sought to improve the relationship between the two places and extend visitors' experience of the Borough.
- 6.9 Community access to indoor and outdoor sport facilities is provided by Harrow School, John Lyon School and Whitmore High School. Sensitive opportunities to increase provision through enhanced community access to other private and school facilities, and to increase the capacity of existing publicly accessible facilities, will be sought. Assessment of accessibility deficiency indicates that where new open space is being created as part of a development, priority should be given to the provision of small public open spaces, playspace and amenity greenspace. Detailed requirements for open space provision and contributions, informed by Harrow's PPG17 Study (2010), will be set out in development management policies and a Community

Infrastructure Levy as appropriate.

6.10 Sudbury Hill centre is a small local centre of Metroland parades which extends southwards along Greenford Road into the London Borough of Ealing. The centre is served by the London Underground Piccadilly line and by the Chiltern line to London Marylebone. A key feature of the centre is the Grade II listed Sudbury Hill Underground Station, which dates from 1931 and is the

first to be built of the iconic modernist stations on the line. Investment in the public realm of Sudbury Hill local centre will be co-ordinated to support its attractiveness as a local shopping destination and to enhance the setting of the listed station building.

6.11 The Northolt Road business use area provides a continuation of commercial activity north of South Harrow district centre and Underground Station. Historically an office location, in more recent years the business use area has seen diversification through redevelopment and conversion for residential and hotel uses. Continued diversification of the business use area is anticipated as the Borough's main office market consolidates in Harrow town centre. Balanced diversification which includes a mix of economic (excluding main town centre) uses and residential will be sought.



6.12 A small retail park and the Brember

Road industrial estate, to the rear of the Northolt Road business use area, contribute to the diversity of economic activity in this area. The industrial area has been partially redeveloped for high density residential use, and the remaining units have been assessed as of moderate-low quality. Nevertheless, the Brember Estate contributes to the capacity and range of traditional employment space in the Borough. Further diversification will be managed positively to safeguard the economic value of the site.

6.13 The Grange Farm Estate, to the rear of the Northolt Road business use area, is of experimental 'resiform' construction and opportunities for estate renewal will be welcomed in the medium and longer term.

Core Policy CS 3

Harrow on the Hill and Sudbury Hill

- A. Development will be managed to maintain the special character of Harrow on the Hill and its setting. Sensitive uses and alterations which secure investment and safeguard the future of statutory and locally listed buildings will be supported. The character or appearance of Harrow Hill's Conservation Areas will be preserved or enhanced in accordance with the management strategies for the area. The Development Management Policies DPD will set out criteria for the assessment of impacts on other heritage assets.
- B. The Council will work with owners/occupiers of Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation to implement the actions of the Biodiversity Action Plan.
- C. The Capital Ring strategic walking route and local public rights of way will be safeguarded and, where opportunities arise, enhanced as part of the Borough's Green Grid projects.

- D. St. Mary's Church will continue to be recognised as an important landmark and identified views of the Church will be safeguarded from inappropriate development by management of building heights through the Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area.
- E. Opportunities to enhance pedestrian connectivity between Harrow on the Hill and Harrow town centre will be sought by the co-ordination of public realm investment and the identification of opportunities through the Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area.
- F. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of Sudbury Hill local centre, consistent with its Metroland character and the setting of Sudbury Hill Underground Station, will be sought by the co-ordination of public realm investment.
- G. Proposals within the Northolt Road business use area for mixed-use redevelopment or conversions which make provision for a diversified range of appropriate employment generating uses will be supported.
- H. Proposals within the Brember Road industrial estate for redevelopment or changes of use which secure economic diversification and employment will be supported.
- I. The Council will work with institutions and landowners where necessary to support public access to sport and recreation facilities. Appropriate proposals for enhancement of such facilities will be supported.
- J. The Council will support proposals which achieve physical renewal and estate regeneration objectives at Grange Farm.
- K. The redevelopment of identified, previously developed sites to collectively contribute at least 300 homes towards the Borough's housing allocation, set out in Core Policy 1 will be encouraged.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Safeguard the special character of Harrow on the Hill and its setting.	Development adversely affecting the Harrow Hill Area of Special Character	No application approved for development adversely affecting the Harrow Hill Area of Special Character	More than 1 application approved involving development adversely affecting the Harrow Hill area of special character over a rolling five year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of areas of special character and if necessary revise to strengthen
	Development adversely affecting the setting of Harrow Hill	No application approved for development adversely affecting the setting of Harrow Hill	More than 1 application involving development adversely affecting the setting of Harrow Hill over a rolling five year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of Harrow Hill and if necessary revise to strengthen

	Conservation areas with adopted supplementary planning documents, area appraisals and management strategies	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary
	Historic parks and gardens with an adopted asset management plan	100% by 2025/26	Less than 50% by 2016/17 Less than 75% by 2020/21	Review reasons for lack of progress and address as necessary
	Harrow Hill heritage assets on the English Heritage 'at risk' register	None	Additional Harrow Hill heritage assets on the English Heritage 'at risk' register	Review reasons and possible interventions If necessary amend heritage protection policies to strengthen
Protect and manage Harrow on the Hill's Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation.	Sites of importance for nature conservation where positive conservation management has been or is being implemented	80% of SINCs where positive conservation management has been or is being implemented	Less than 60% by 2016/17 Less than 70% by 2021/22 No increase in the number of SINCs in positive conservation management over a rolling 3 year period	Review reasons why positive conservation management is not being implemented Consider options to incentivise private landowners Review policies and amend is necessary to strengthen protection Review the Biodiversity Action Plan and update if necessary
Safeguard identified views of St. Mary's Church from inappropriate development.	Development adversely affecting an identified local view of St. Mary's Church	No application approved for development adversely affecting an identified local view of St. Mary's Church	More than 1 application approved involving development adversely affecting an identified local view over a rolling five year period	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of identified local views and if necessary revise to strengthen
Support the continued operation of the schools within the sub area and their role as education providers, land and	Retention of Harrow School, John Lyon School and WhitmoreHigh School in the Borough	No relocation of Harrow School, John Lyon School and WhitmoreHigh School out of the Borough	Any proposal to relocate out of the Borough	Discuss with school authorities to identify reasons and address if necessary
building managers, important economic generators and providers of community facilities.	Engage schools in preparation of open spaces strategy and cultural strategy (as appropriate)	Schools engaged in strategy preparation by end 2012/13	Schools not invited to engage in strategy preparation by end 2011/12	Discuss with public realm and cultural services providers to identify reasons and address
Enhance pedestrian connectivity between Harrow on the Hill and Harrow town centre for	Legible London signing for Harrow town centre and Wealdstone	Provision of Legible London signs by end 2017/18	No funded proposals to secure Legible London signs by end 2013/14	Discuss with transport department and consider alternative forms of additional provision
residents and to promote tourism.	Implementation of green grid project 11.2.13 (RoxboroughBridge)	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for delivery by 2017/18	Review effectiveness and implementation of Green Grid; seek opportunities for alternative forms of funding

Improve the public realm	Implementation of bus stop	100% of schemes funded	No improvements or funded proposals for	Discuss with TfL and transport department;
of Sudbury Hill local	accessibility improvements	by 2026	improvements in Sudbury Hill local centre	address reasons if necessary
centre by co-ordinating			by 2021	
investment.				
	Renewal/rationalisation of street	Renewal/rationalisation of	No published programme for	Discuss with public realm and highways
	furniture and highway signage in	street furniture and signage	rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18	departments; address reasons if necessary
	Sudbury Hill local centre	by end 2025/26		
			No funded proposals for implementation by	
			end 2020/21	
Secure balanced	Residential development enabled	Provision of new floorspace	No floorspace for economic uses approved	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
diversification of	optimum provision of new floorspace	for economic uses by 2026	by 2020/21	implementation and effectiveness of policy;
theNortholt Roadbusiness	for appropriate economic uses.			revise if necessary
use area.				
	Office vacancy rates inNortholt	Reduce to vacancy to 10%	Not greater than 15% by end 2015/16	Review supply and demand ofNortholt
	Roadbusiness use area	by 2026		Roadoffices; if necessary amend policies
			Not greater than 12% by end 2020/21	to manage further diversification
PromoteBrember	Square metres of new/refurbished	A minimum of 1,000sqm	No planning permission or pre-application	Review market conditions and identify any
Roadindustrial estate as	floorspace atBrember Roadestate for	new / refurbished	proposal by 2017/18	barriers to development; if necessary
a location for economic	economic development uses	floorspace by 2020/2021		amend policies to encourage new economic
development.				development
	Percentage of premises within	Less than 10%	More than 10%	Review level of vacancy relative to
	theBrember Roadindustrial estate that			comparable estates in the Borough; if
	are vacant			necessary amend policies on
				diversification/uses
Maintain community	Community access to sports facilities	 Maintain	New PPG 17 study by 2017/18 identifies	Discuss with school authorities to identify
access to sport and	at Harrow School, John Lyon School		no loss of community access to facilities at	reasons and address if necessary
recreation facilities and	and WhitmoreHigh School		Harrow School, John Lyon School and	
encourage enhancement.			WhitmoreHigh School	
	% of existing sites within each open	20% reduction on the 2010	Less than a 6% reduction achieved on 4	Review reasons why qualitative
	space typology currently not meeting	PPG17 figure for each	out of the 6 open space typologies by	improvements are not being delivered
	the recommended local quality	open space typology	2015/16	
	standard (based on the 2010 PPG17			Review policy requirements and
	figures)		Less than a 13% reduction achieved on 4	effectiveness of securing planning
			out of the 6 open space typologies by	obligations towards qualitative
			2021/22	improvements
	Accessibility improvements to PPG	Reduce deficiencies by 5%	Less than a 1.5% reduction achieved on 4	Undertake analysis to understand the
	17 open space typologies	on the 2010 baseline for	out of the 6 open space typologies by	barriers to achieving improved accessibility
		each open space typology	2015/16	to open space
			Logo thop a 20/ radication achieved and	Consider options to address: destinated
			Less than a 3% reduction achieved on 4	Consider options to address identified
			out of the 6 open space typologies by 2021/22	barriers, including non-policy interventions
				(e.g. incentivising public use of private open space) alongside changes to relax policies
				Space, alongoide changes to relax policies
	I	l .	I .	l

				on net loss where enhancements to
				accessibility can be secured in areas of
				greatest deficiency
				Alternatively consider options for more
				direct policy intervention
Support the residential	Net additional dwellings in Harrow on	In excess of 250 net	Less than 80 by end 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
redevelopment of	the Hill and Sudbury sub area from	additional dwellings by end		site allocations and policies relating to sub
identified,	2009	2025/26	Less than 175 by end 2020/21	area; if necessary amend to address
				housing delivery
previously-developed				
sites and opportunities for	Opportunity to renew Grange Farm	Opportunity identified by	No preliminary options available for estate	Discuss with housing providers; review
the renewal of the Grange	Estate identified	end 2025/26	renewal by end 2020/21	funding streams and explore joint ventures
Farm Estate.				to secure the regeneration of the estate

7 South Harrow

Picture 14 South Harrow Sub Area



Area Objectives for South Harrow

- 1. Improve the public realm of South Harrow district centre by co-ordinating investment.
- 2. Support and celebrate South Harrow's diversity and ensure that the centre remains one of the Borough's more vibrant centres.
- 3. Promote the South Harrow Arches business use and industrial use areas as a location for economic development.
- 4. Secure balanced diversification of the Northolt Road business use area.
- 5. Promote access to natural green spaces through implementation of the Green Grid.
- 6. Enhance the quality of Newton Park and Newton Farm Ecology Park for their contribution to biodiversity and flood mitigation.
- 7. Support estate regeneration and renewal at Rayners Lane Estate.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 7.1 The South Harrow sub area has a modest capacity to accommodate new homes but has more significant potential for economic development and diversification. The Strategy will focus on maintaining the vibrancy of Northolt Road as the commercial thread through the area, and throughout the rest of the area upon protecting suburban character and enhancing open space, particularly as a flood risk management asset.
- 7.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 406 net additional homes 277 with planning permission, 100 as part of employment-led mixed-use redevelopment at the south part of the Northolt Road business use area and 29 on identified, previously developed sites within the South Harrow sub area, over the period 2009-2026. The strategic sites are identified in the Site Allocations DPD.
- 7.3 South Harrow is one of the most vibrant district centres within the Borough and fulfills an important local function in terms of retail, transport and service provision. The centre developed along Northolt Road following the extension of what is now the London Underground Piccadilly line to the area in 1903. Northolt Road, part of the London distributor network, carries high volumes of traffic through the centre and the environmental quality is assessed as being poor. Investment in the public realm of South Harrow district centre will be co-ordinated to support its attractiveness as a district shopping destination.
- 7.4 The subsequent extension of what is now the Piccadilly line over the lowlands west of Harrow Hill necessitated the construction of a viaduct which remains a dominant visual feature and provides valuable arches accommodation for business and industrial activity. The industrial use area has been assessed as being of low quality but nevertheless fulfils a local economic need and will be safeguarded; opportunities to enhance the parking and access arrangements will be sought. The business use units have recently been refurbished to provide modern office/studio accommodation and will also be safeguarded.
- 7.5 South Harrow also contains larger offices and the mixed-use redevelopment or conversion of office buildings in the Northolt Road business use area offers the opportunity to contribute to housing supply, but should also make provision for appropriate economic uses (excluding main town centre uses) on the site. Appropriate community uses may also be supported where the benefit of the use outweighs the loss of an employment generating use. Opportunities to rejuvenate the Brember Road industrial and business area should be pursued to help increase the provision of jobs for local residents. Harrow's vitality profiles also identify Roxbourne ward as one of the Borough's most deprived wards, and so schemes to generate employment and new services and community facilities will be supported.

Picture 15 Brember Road Industrial Estate and Northolt Road business use area, South Harrow



Cities Revealed® aerial photgraphy copyright The GeoInformation® Group, 2010

- 7.6 Assessment of accessibility deficiency indicates that where new open space is being created as part of development, priority should be given to the provision of small public open spaces, playspace and amenity greenspace.
- 7.7 The Roxbourne River and Yeading Brook tributary flow through Newton Park and surrounding residential areas in open and culverted sections. Open sections through Newton Park and Newton Farm Ecology Park form part of local Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, and these open spaces also provide areas of natural flood storage. The open sections of the watercourse will be managed to maintain and enhance biodiversity value and public accessibility, as part of the Borough's Green Grid. Opportunities will be sought to

open-up culverted sections where this would achieve sustainable management of flood risk and enhance biodiversity.

- 7.8 Within South Harrow sports hall access is provided by Rooks Heath College and the Beacon Centre, and outdoor sport pitches are predominantly provided within public open space. These help to provide good levels of accessibility. Investment to provide new facilities should focus on increasing the capacity and accessibility of multi-use game areas; additional facilities of this kind may be most appropriate within Newton Park and Alexandra Park to serve neighbouring residential areas.
- 7.9 The Rayners Lane Estate is undergoing redevelopment, and any further development and redevelopment of affordable housing will be supported to improve the living conditions of Harrow residents.



Picture 16 Rayners Lane Estate

Core Policy CS 4

South Harrow

- A. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of South Harrow district centre will be sought by the co-ordination of public realm investment.
- B. Support will be given to retail and associated town centre and mixed use development in South Harrow centre appropriate to the centre's function and district catchment.
- C. Proposals within the Northolt Road business use area for mixed-use redevelopment or conversions which make provision for a diversified range of appropriate employment generating uses will be supported
- D. Proposals for appropriate economic development within the business and industrial use areas of South Harrow Arches will be supported.
- E. New development will contribute to biodiversity improvements including Newton Park and Newton Farm Ecology Park, and to enhance community access to these facilities and areas of natural and semi-natural open space.
- F. Opportunities will be sought to open-up culverted sections of the Roxbourne River and Yeading Brook where this achieves sustainable flood risk management, habitat creation and, where appropriate, public access.
- G. The Council will support the ongoing renewal and regeneration of the Rayners Lane Estate.
- H. The redevelopment of identified, previously developed sites to collectively contribute at least 406 homes towards the Borough's housing allocation, set out in Core Policy CS1 H, will be encouraged.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Improve the public realm of South Harrow district centre by co-ordinating	Implementation of bus stop accessibility improvements	100% of schemes funded by 2026	No improvements or funded proposals for improvements in South Harrow centre by 2021	Discuss with TfL and transport department; address reasons if necessary
investment.	Renewal/rationalisation of street furniture and highway signage in South Harrow centre	Renewal/rationalisation of street furniture and signage by end 2025/26	No published programme for rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18 No funded proposals for implementation by end 2020/21	Discuss with public realm and highways departments; adre3ss reasons if necessary

Γ	I	ı		
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.05 (Street Tree Planting)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
Support and celebrate	Up-to-date sustainable community	Renew the Harrow	No draft replacement sustainable	Investigate reasons for lack of progress and
South Harrow's diversity	strategy in place	sustainable community	community strategy in place to being	address
and ensure that the centre		strategy by end 2014/15	prepared by end 2013/14	
remains one				
	Vacancy rate for South Harrow district	No more than 10% vacant	An increase in vacant retail frontage of	Analyse causes of footfall decline in South
of the Borough's more	centre (total measured retail frontage)	frontage in centre	more than 5% over a rolling 5 year period	Harrow centre and identify measures.
vibrant centres.				Revise development management policies
				if necessary
	Average footfall in South Harrow	Not to fall more than 10%	Footfall more than 10% below 1999 levels	Analyse causes of vacancy in South Harrow
	district centre	below 2009/10 levels	in centre over five years (rolling)	centre affected and identify measures.
				Revise development management policies
				if necessary
Promote the South	Percentage of premises within the	Less than 10%	More than 10%	Review level of vacancy relative to
Harrow Arches business	South Harrow Arches that are vacant			comparable estates in the Borough; if
use and industrial use				necessary amend policies on
areas as a location				diversification/uses
for economic				
development.				
Secure balanced	Residential development enabled	Provision of new floorspace	No floorspace for economic uses approved	Review implementation and effectiveness
diversification of	optimum provision of new floorspace	for economic uses by 2026	by 2020/21	of policy; revise if necessary
theNortholt Roadbusiness	for appropriate economic uses.		-,	,
use area.				
	Office vacancy rates inNortholt	Reduce to vacancy to 10%	Not greater than 15% by end 2015/16	Review supply and demand ofNortholt
	Roadbusiness use area	by 2026		Roadoffices; if necessary amend policies
			Not greater than 12% by end 2020/21	to manage further diversification
Promote access to natural	Implementation of Green Grid projects	100% of projects funded	Less than 50% of projects funded and/or	Review requirements and effectiveness of
green spaces through		and/or implemented by	implemented by 2017/18	Community Infrastructure Levy
implementation of the Green Grid.		2025/26		Review and if necessary amend the project
Green Gild.				implementation arrangements
				,
	Accessibility improvements to natural	Identify opportunities for	Opportunities for improvements not	Identify reasons; seek inclusion in future
	greenspace in South Harrow sub area	accessibility improvements	included in open space strategy by end	renewal of open spaces strategy
		in new open spaces	2014/15	
		strategy		
Enhance the quality of	Implementation of Biodiversity Action	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
Newton Park and Newton	Plan programme of actions to improve		delivery by 2017/18	of Biodiversity Action Plan; seek
Farm EcologyPark for	Biodiversity at Newton Farm Ecology			opportunities for alternative forms of funding
	Park and RoxethPark			

their contribution to				
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
biodiversity and flood	11.2.01 (River Crane, The Yeading,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
mitigation.			delivery by 2017/10	
	The Roxbourne and Roxbourne			alternative forms of funding
	Return Arm)			
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.05 (Street Tree Planting)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
Support estate	Net additional dwellings in South	In excess of 456 net	Less than 120 by end 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
regeneration and renewal	Harrow sub area from 2009	additional dwellings by end		site allocations and policies relating to sub
at Rayners Lane Estate.		2025/26	Less than 260 by end of 2020/21	area; if necessary amend to address
				housing delivery
	Completion of Rayners Lane Estate	Completion by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with housing providers; review
	redevelopment			funding streams and explore joint ventures
				to secure the completion of the estate
				redevelopment

8 Rayners Lane & North Harrow

Picture 17 Rayners Lane and North Harrow Sub Area



Area Objectives for Rayners Lane and North Harrow

- 1. Reduce the vacant retail frontage in North Harrow district centre and consider a range of interventions to rejuvenate the centre.
- 2. Support appropriate development in Rayners Lane district centre to ensure this highly accessible location's potential is maximised.
- 3. Secure balanced diversification of redundant offices as part of mixed use development in North Harrow and Rayners Lane district centres.
- 4. Improve the viability and public realm of North Harrow and Rayners Lane district centres by co-ordinating investment, including an enhanced link to Strongbridge Close.
- 5. Enhance access to and support the continued use of the Headstone Manor complex as a heritage based visitor and community asset.

- 6. Protect the art deco character of the Rayners Lane Conservation Area.
- 7. Promote opportunities to connect up the area's open spaces and improve the area's water ways in North Harrow through the Green Grid.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 8.1 The North Harrow and Rayners Lane sub area is centred around the two Metroland district centres that are highly accessible by public transport. The residential areas surrounding these centres are predominantly inter-war suburban and attractive as areas for families to live in. The area has a modest capacity to accommodate new homes and some scope for local retail and employment regeneration over the next 15 years. The strategy will focus upon the management of change at the sub area's interface with the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area, and the protection and enhancement of the area's heritage, suburbs and open space particularly as a flood risk management asset.
- 8.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 425 net additional homes, comprising 257 with planning permission, 150 as part of employment-led mixed-use redevelopment of offices within Rayners Lane district centre and 20 on identified, previously developed sites located throughout the Rayners Lane and North Harrow sub area, which offer the potential for modest redevelopment. The Core Strategy therefore promotes redevelopment of these strategic previously developed sites. This includes sites within the district centres, where mixed use development will be encouraged in recognition of the need to maintain the important role and function of these centres in providing a sense of community and in the provision of local shops and services.
- 8.3 As shown on the sub area map, the Headstone Manor complex lies within the Harrow and Wealdstone sub area, and will provide an important interface between the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area and the North Harrow and Rayners Lane sub area that will require careful management. The complex contains an important group of historic buildings, and is now part of the Harrow Museum. Protecting this listed building's setting, and ensuring the Metropolitan Open Land continues to provide open space for residents and tourists to enjoy is therefore of strategic importance, and the designation will be retained. As part of the Green Grid, the redevelopment of the Kodak and Zoom Leisure sites will produce opportunities to open up and improve this open space. Similarly, the Green Belt at Pinner Park Farm, although mostly inaccessible, is an important area for biodiversity, and acts as as natural drainage space as part of the Crane catchment. Where opportunities for enhancement of public access to open spaces arise, these will be pursued as part of the Borough's Green Grid.
- 8.4 Some outdoor sport pitches within the sub area are located within public open space and valuable additional provision is made by community access to a number of privately owned sites. Investment to increase pitch provision and multi-use games areas should focus on sites which would address gaps in recommended standards of accessibility, particularly to the west of North Harrow.
- 8.5 New development will contribute towards qualitative improvements at Headstone Manor Recreation Ground and Woodlands Open Space, which are assessed as being of high value but poor quality.

- 8.6 New development will also be expected to provide for children's play and amenity greenspace. Across all types of play space there is a gap in accessibility between North Harrow and Rayners Lane, and investment should be prioritised towards this area. Rayners Mead open space should be considered as a suitable site for appropriate new facilities, and enhancement of facilities at Headstone Manor Recreation Ground should focus on fulfilling the site's potential to provide youth space.
- 8.7 Pinner Road cemetery is identified as a high value but low quality site. Sensitive investment which enhances its value by use as an accessible natural and semi-natural greenspace should be considered.
- 8.8 Parts of the sub area are subject to the flood risk associated with watercourses forming part of the Crane River catchment, many of which are culverted through the suburban area. At North Harrow, the risk is exacerbated by the culverted confluence of the Yeading Brook (west) and Greenhill Stream. Opportunities to sustainably manage flood risk, including deculverting where appropriate, will be sought.
- 8.9 North Harrow district centre currently has high levels of vacancy, and is in need of support to maintain it's role as a district centre. By contrast, Rayners Lane district centre performs comparatively well and will continue to provide a good range of shops and local services. Its vitality will be enhanced through selective redevelopment of key sites and the increase in capacity of the public transport network. New development within the town centre will reinforce the art deco character of the Rayners Lane Conservation Area.

Picture 18 Zorastrian Centre, Alexandra Avenue, 8.10 Rayners Lane district centre common comm



- 8.10 There is a strong presence of community facilities within North Harrow, including the library, Home Guard sports and social club, dental surgery and a newly approved community centre on the site of the North Harrow Assembly Halls. In recognising North Harrow district centre's role as wider than retail, the use of alternate employment generating uses that are suitable to a town centre in this location may be considered appropriate. Changes to the retail frontage designation are recommended and will be examined in the Site Allocations DPD to ensure this centre's continued viability.
- **8.11** The mixed-use redevelopment or conversion of redundant office buildings on

Imperial Drive therefore offers the opportunity to contribute to housing supply, but should also make provision for appropriate economic uses to be supported on the site. Appropriate community uses may also be appropriate where the benefit of the use outweighs the loss of the employment generating use.

Core Policy CS 5

Rayners Lane and North Harrow

- A. Retail and wider employment generating uses in North Harrow district centre will be supported where appropriate to improve the centre's viability and enhance its role as a convenience shopping destination, community and local service hub.
- B. Retail and mixed use development within Rayners Lane district centre that respects the area's character will be promoted.
- C. Office rejuvenation and conversions as part of mixed use schemes within Rayners Lane district centre will be supported, alongside other employment generating uses.
- D. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of Rayners Lane and North Harrow district centres, and to enhance the pedestrian link between Alexandra Avenue and Strongbridge Close, will be sought by the co-ordination of public realm investment.
- E. Headstone Manor as an important open space, group of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monument will be protected, and opportunities for enhanced access secured.
- F. Development in Rayners Lane centre should have regard to the setting of the listed Rayners Lane station, Rayners Public House and Zoroastrian Centre buildings, and the character of the Rayners Lane Conservation Area
- G. Local public rights of way will be safeguarded and, where opportunities arise such as at Yeading Walk, be enhanced as part of the Borough's Green Grid.
- H. New development will be expected to enhance access to, and the quality of, local parks and open spaces as part of the Borough's Green Grid.
- I. The redevelopment of identified, previously developed sites to collectively contribute at least 425 homes towards the Borough's housing allocation, set out in Core Policy CS1 H will be encouraged. A net contribution to housing supply will also be sought as part of the mixed use conversion or redevelopment of sites within Rayners Lane and North Harrow centres to help ensure their vitality and viability.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Reduce the vacant retail	Vacancy rate for North Harrow district	No more than 10% vacant	An increase in vacant retail frontage of	Analyse causes of footfall decline in North
frontage in North Harrow	centre (total measured retail frontage)	frontage in centre	more than 5% over a rolling 5 year period)	Harrow centre and identify measures.
district centre and				Revise development management policies
consider a range of				if necessary

		I		
interventions to	Average footfall in North Harrow	Not to fall more than 10%	Footfall more than 10% below 1999 levels	Analyse causes of vacancy in South Harrow
rejuvenate the centre.	district centre	below 2009/10 levels	in centre over five years (rolling)	centre affected and identify measures.
				Revise development management policies
				if necessary
	Adoption of a local development order	Local development order	No progress on preparation of local	Identify reasons for lack of progress and
	for ground floor shop premises in	adopted by end 2012/13	development order by end 2011/12	address
	North Harrow district centre			
Support appropriate	Number of applications for 100%	None	More than 5 applications approved for	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
development inRayners	major residential development		100% major residential development	viability and implementation of policies;
Lanedistrict centre to	inRayners Lanedistrict centre		inRayners Lanedistrict centre over 5 years	amend policies if necessary
ensure this highly			(rolling)	
accessible location's				
potential is maximised.				
Secure balanced	Residential development enabled	Provision of new floorspace	No floorspace for economic uses approved	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
diversification of	optimum provision of new floorspace	for economic uses by 2026	by 2020/21	implementation and effectiveness of policy;
		Tor economic uses by 2020	by 2020/21	
redundant offices as part of mixed use	for appropriate economic uses.			revise if necessary
	Office vacancy rates in North Harrow	Reduce vacancy to 10% by	Not greater than 15% by end 2015/16	Review supply and demand of town centre
development	andRayners Lanedistrict centres	2026		offices in North Harrow andRayners Lane;
in North Harrow and	,		Not greater than 12% by end 2020/21	if necessary amend policies to manage
Rayners Lane district				further diversification
centres.				
00.10.00.				
Improve the viability and	Completion ofRayners Lanebus	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
public realm of North	priority scheme			reasons and address
Harrow and Rayners	Completion of Elm Park Road/Cannon	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
Lane district centres by	Lane/Rayners Lanebus stop		,	reasons and address
co-ordinating investment,	accessibility corridor			
including an enhanced	,			
link to Strongbridge	Completion of Pinner Roadbus priority	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
Close.	scheme			reasons and address
	Implementation of footway	Project complete by 2021	Project not underway by end 2012/13	Discuss with transport department; review
	maintenance (High Worple to383			reasons and address
	Alexandra Avenue)			
	Rayners Laneaccessibility major	Project complete by 2021	Project not underway by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
	project (including link to Strongbridge			reasons and address
	Close)			
	Northumberland Road20mph zone	Scheme implemented by	Scheme not submitted to TfL by end	Discuss with transport department; review
		2015	2011/12	reasons and address
			Project not underway by end 2013/14	

		T	T	1
	Renewal/rationalisation of street	Renewal/rationalisation of	No published programme for	Discuss with public realm and highways
	furniture and highway signage in	street furniture and signage	rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18	departments; address reasons if necessary
	South Harrow centre	by end 2025/26		
			No funded proposals for implementation by	
			end 2020/21	
Enhance access to and	Improved pedestrian access from	Improved access	No funded proposals for improved access	Review reasons and assess alternative
support the continued use	Harrow View to HarrowMuseum and	implemented by 2026	in place by 2020/21	proposals for improving access from roads
of the Headstone Manor	Headstone Manor			served by local bus routes.
complex as a heritage				
based visitor and	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
community asset.	11.2.05 (Headstone Manor Recreation		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
community asset.	Ground)			alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.05 (Street Tree Planting)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
				anomality forms of familiary
Protect the art deco	Planning appeals dismissed for	100%	More than 5 appeals allowed for	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
character ofRayners	development adversely affecting the		development adversely affecting Metroland	policies for protection of character and if
Lanedistrict centre.	character or appearance of Rayners		and suburban character over a rolling 3	necessary revise to strengthen
	Lane Conservation Area		year period	, c
	Lane concertation / trou		year period	
	Planning appeals dismissed for	100%	More than 5 appeals allowed for	Review policies for protection of character
	development or alterations adversely		development adversely affecting Metroland	and if necessary revise to strengthen
	affecting listed buildings within		and suburban character over a rolling 3	,
	Rayners Lane		year period	
	district societies			
	district centre			
	Conservation areas with adopted	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and
	supplementary planning documents,		·	address as necessary
	area appraisals and management			
	strategies			
Promote opportunities to	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
connect up the area's	11.2.02 (Yeading walk trail system)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
·				
open spaces and improve				alternative forms of funding
the area's water ways in	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
North Harrow through the		picinicination by 2020/20		•
Green Grid.	11.2.05 (Headstone Manor Recreation		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	Ground)			alternative forms of funding

9 Pinner & Hatch End

Picture 19 Pinner and Hatch End Sub Area



Area Objectives for Pinner and Hatch End

- Safeguard the special character of Pinner Hill.
- 2. Protect and manage Pinner and Hatch End's Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation.
- 3. Strengthen and enhance connectivity with the London Loop as part of Harrow's Green Grid.
- 4. Secure heritage-led enhancement of Pinner High Street.
- 5. Improve the public realm of Hatch End local centre and its relationship with Hatch End station by co-ordinating investment.
- 6. Secure the retention, enhancement and heritage of Harrow Arts Centre and associated complex.

- 7. Maintain and enhance public access to, and the biodiversity value of, the River Pinn and Yeading Brook and their tributaries as part of Harrow's Green Grid.
- 8. Support the renewal of the Mill Farm Estate.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 9.1 The Pinner and Hatch End sub area has a modest capacity to accommodate new homes and some limited scope for retail and employment growth over the next 15 years. Open space, heritage and leafy suburbs will continue to be important components of the area's character and, therefore, the protection or enhancement of these are at the heart of the strategy for Pinner and Hatch End.
- 9.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 161 net additional homes comprising 101 with planning permission and 60 on identified, previously developed sites within the Pinner and Hatch End area, over the period 2009-2026. This includes a net increase of 55 homes as part of the planned Mill Farm Close regeneration scheme. Additional housing capacity will be sought from unforeseen opportunities on previously developed sites within Pinner district centre and Hatch End local centre.
- 9.3 The countryside to the north of Pinner and Hatch End is an integral part of the Green Belt which checks urban sprawl and provides a buffer between Greater London and the neighbouring urban areas of Hertfordshire, including Watford. The high ground at Pinner Hill is of strategic value as an area of special character. Together with the countryside at Pinner Park Farm, this extensive open land provides a wider setting for the historic village of Pinner and contributes to the character of Hatch End as a separate suburb.
- Harrow Weald Ridge was identified as an Area of Special Character in the 1986 Harrow 9.4 Borough Local Plan in recognition of the backdrop that its high ground, with extensive tree cover and major open areas, provides when viewed from the generally lower suburban areas. The boundaries of the Area of Special Character were drawn to include Pinner Hill, as a separate area, because "...the Council also considers it is appropriate to include the Pinner Hill Estate in the western sector of the Green Belt, which is situated on rising ground and whose extensive tree cover forms a natural extension to Oxhey Woods in Hertfordshire. The adjacent area of Pinner Hill Golf Course is also considered worthy of inclusion by virtue of its open character, its height and tree cover; the Pinnerwood Park Farm Conservation Area is included for architectural and historic reasons". The designation was carried forward and incorporated into the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (1994) and again into the replacement Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004). The important characteristics of the Pinner Hill part of the Harrow Weald Ridge Area of Special Character remain valid and equally important today. The Pinner Hill Area incorporates two conservation areas, 6 listed buildings, a scheduled ancient monument, and a Grade 1 Borough Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. For these reasons the continuation of this strategic designation is merited.
- 9.5 Parts of the countryside at Pinner and Hatch End are in agricultural use, and at Pinner Hill an extensive area is occupied by a Golf Club. Nevertheless, the London Loop walking route provides valuable public access through the Green Belt in Harrow and adjoining boroughs. Local public rights of way provide additional access, including to an identified public viewpoint, and will be enhanced to strengthen connectivity between the London Loop and Harrow's Green Grid.

- 9.6 Harrow's Green Grid includes a schedule of projects within the Pinner and Hatch End area. Priority projects are themed around the London Loop strategic walking route, the Yeading Brook and River Pinn and its tributaries, and heritage infrastructure
- 9.7 The Western Rivers group of Green Grid projects will enhance the quality and accessibility of green corridors, and will provide the main opportunity for increasing local access to natural and semi-natural environments. Investment will also be sought to enhance existing natural and semi-natural greenspace in the area. Sensitive investment to improve the quality and value of Paines Lane Cemetery, by use as an accessible natural and semi-natural greenspace, should be considered.
- 9.8 Pinner's heritage as a settlement within the rural landscape of Middlesex is reflected in its Medieval village core, a number of historic houses and the remarkable survival of agricultural complexes at East End Farm, Woodhall Farmhouse and Pinnerwood Farm; these are protected by Conservation Area status and many are also listed buildings. The historic extent of Pinner village is identified by an Archaeological Priority Area. Built heritage within the wider area of Pinner and Hatch End reflects the quality and diversity of many of its residential areas and accounts for further Conservation Area coverage. All heritage assets in the area will be preserved and enhanced as integral components of Pinner and Hatch End's identity.

Picture 20 Pinner War Memorial and Pinner Parish Church



9.9 Pinner village is a large district centre with a convenience and some comparison retail offer as well as non-retail services. With comparatively low levels of through-traffic the centre benefits from a good quality environment, but potential to make further heritage-focused enhancements within the High Street is identified within the management strategy for the Conservation Area. Hatch End by contrast is a small local centre more Metroland in character, with a mix of convenience, specialist comparison and restaurant outlets, and straddles Uxbridge Road which carries high volumes of traffic as part of the London distributor network. Here, investment in the public realm will be co-ordinated to support the centre's

attractiveness as a local shopping destination and to enhance its relationship with Hatch End London Overground Station.

- 9.10 The Retail Study (2009) recommends amendments to retail frontage designations within Pinner district centre and identifies potential development opportunities to provide up to 1,500 sq. metres retail floorspace. These detailed matters will be pursued through the Site Allocations DPD.
- **9.11** The mixed-use redevelopment or conversion of redundant office buildings in Pinner district centre offers the opportunity to contribute to housing supply, but should also make provision for appropriate economic uses on the site. Appropriate community uses may also be supported where the benefit of the use outweighs any loss in employment value.
- **9.12** As a good quality business and industrial use area, Chantry Place will be safeguarded for appropriate B1, B2 and B8 uses.

- 9.13 To the east of Hatch End local centre and Overground Station, Harrow Arts Centre occupies a Grade II listed building and locally listed building, and is the principal performing arts facility for the Borough. The Council will work to secure the long term future of the Arts Centre. Opportunities to enhance the building and its setting will be sought. However the wider site also contains a number of redundant or under-utilised components that offer the potential for sensitive redevelopment.
- 9.14 The Saxon earthwork, the Grims Ditch, originates in Harrow Weald but extends through Hatch End to Pinner Green as a series of Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Priority Areas. The monuments are protected by statutory provisions and the Council has published guidance in relation to these. Within the priority areas the Council will provide for opportunities to learn more about the feature subject to ensuring the preservation of any below ground remains.
- 9.15 The River Pinn and its main tributary the Woodridings Stream flow down from Harrow Weald Ridge through Hatch End and Pinner, before continuing into the London Borough of Hillingdon. The potential for projects to enhance biodiversity value and public access have been identified through the Borough's Green Grid. Opportunities will be sought to open-up culverted sections where this would achieve sustainable management of flood risk and enhance biodiversity.
- 9.16 The Yeading Brook flows into Roxbourne Park, an area of Metropolitan Open Land at the southern tip of the sub-area which continues into the London Borough of Hillingdon. Both the Yeading Brook and Roxbourne Park form part of a Green Chain.
- 9.17 While the Yeading Walk open space and Roxbourne Park provide more naturalistic environments, there are a range of other spaces in the sub area including the formally laid-out Pinner Village Gardens and Pinner Memorial Park, as well as more informal recreation grounds and playing fields. Future investment in these open spaces will reflect and enhance their character and function; opportunities to provide a multi-use games area will be investigated.
- 9.18 Pinner and Hatch End is rich in environments of biodiversity value, as reflected in the presence of a number of Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation both along river corridors and within the area's Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and other open spaces. Nevertheless, the sub area falls short in access to formal natural and semi-natural greenspaces, so these existing environments will be managed to maintain and enhance biodiversity value and public accessibility, as part of the Borough's Green Grid.
- 9.19 Mill Farm Estate at Pinner Green was transferred to the Catalyst Housing Group in April 2010 and redevelopment works have commenced. The continuation of this major regeneration project will be supported.
- 9.20 Small parts of Eastcote Village Conservation Area and nearby open space extend into this sub area. The Council will co-operate with the London Borough of Hillingdon to co-ordinate the management of these places, as required.

Core Policy CS 6

Pinner and Hatch End

A. Development will be managed to maintain the special character of Pinner Hill and its setting as part of the Harrow Weald Ridge Area of Special Character.

- B. The Council will work with owners/occupiers of Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation to implement the actions of the Biodiversity Action Plan.
- C. The London Loop strategic walking route and local public rights of way will be safeguarded and, where opportunities arise, be enhanced as part of the Borough's Green Grid projects.
- D. Opportunities to enhance the environment of Pinner High Street in accordance with the Conservation Area's management strategy will be sought, and priority given to the co-ordination of public realm investment
- E. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of Hatch End local centre and improve its relationship with Hatch End London Overground Station will be sought, and priority given to the co-ordination of public realm investment.
- F. The Council will seek to maintain the viability of Harrow Arts Centre as the Borough's principal performing arts facility. The building's setting will be safeguarded and any development in the grounds should not detract from its architectural or historic interest.
- G. New development in the area will contribute to the management of the Yeading Walk Open Space and Roxbourne Park to maintain and enhance public access to, and the biodiversity value of, the Yeading Brook. Green Grid projects to enhance public access to, and the biodiversity value of, the River Pinn will be given high priority.
- H. Opportunities will be sought to open-up culverted sections of the River Pinn and Yeading Brook where this achieves sustainable flood risk management, habitat creation and, where appropriate, public access.
- I. The Council will support the renewal and regeneration of the Mill Farm Estate.
- J. The redevelopment of identified, previously developed sites to collectively contribute at least 161 homes towards the Borough's housing allocation, set out in Core Policy CS1 H, will be encouraged.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Safeguard the special	Development adversely affecting the	No application approved for	More than 1 application approved involving	Review policies for the protection of areas
character of Pinner Hill.	Pinner Hill Area of Special Character	development adversely	development adversely affecting the Pinner	of special character and if necessary revise
		affecting the Pinner Hill	Hill area of special character over a rolling	to strengthen
		Area of Special Character	five year period	
	Conservation areas with adopted	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and
	supplementary planning documents,			address as necessary
	area appraisals and management			
	strategies			
	Pinner Hill heritage assets on the	None	Additional Pinner Hill heritage assets on	Review reasons and possible interventions
	English Heritage 'at risk' register		the English Heritage 'at risk' register	

				If necessary amend heritage protection
				policies to strengthen
Protect and manage	Sites of importance for nature	80% of SINCs where	Less than 60% by 2016/17	Review reasons why positive conservation
Pinner and Hatch End's	conservation where positive	positive conservation	,	management is not being implemented
Sites of Importance for	conservation management has been	management has been or	Less than 70% by 2021/22	3 p
Nature Conservation.	or is being implemented	is being implemented		Consider options to incentivise private
reactive conservation.	or to being implemented	to being implemented		landowners
				Review policies and amend if necessary to
				strengthen protection
				Review Biodiversity Action Plan and update
				is necessary
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.2.10 (Montesoles Playing Fields		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	and Grim's Ditch)			alternative forms of funding
Strengthen and enhance	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
connectivity with the	11.4.07 (Pinner Hill golf course)	implementation by 2020/20	delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	11.4.07 (Fillilei Hill goll Course)		delivery by 2017/16	
London Loop as part of Harrow's Green				alternative forms of funding
Hallow's Gleen	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
Grid.	11.4.10 (London Loop)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
Secure heritage-led	Conservation Areas with adopted	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and
enhancement of Pinner	supplementary planning documents,			address as necessary
High Street.	area appraisals and management			
	strategies			
Improve the public realm	Implementation of bus stop	Improvements complete by	No improvements or funded proposals for	Discuss with TfL and transport department;
of Hatch End local centre	accessibility improvements	2026	improvements in Hatch End centre by 2021	address reasons if necessary
and its relationship with	Denouval/sationalization of street	Renewal/rationalisation of	No nublished measurement for	Discuss with public realm and highways
Hatch End station by	Renewal/rationalisation of street		No published programme for	Discuss with public realm and highways
co-ordinating investment.	furniture and highway signage in	street furniture and signage	rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18	departments; address reasons if necessary
	Hatch End centre	by end 2025/26	No funded proposals for implementation by	
			end 2020/21	
			5.13 E020/21	
	Investment in public realm and way	Additional signs within 2	No improvements or new way finder signs	Review legible London
	finder sign improvements between	years as part of the Legible	have been built.	
	Hatch End and the Station	London scheme.		Sign distribution and secure funding from
				other sources such as CIL to complete the
				required improvements.
			<u> </u>	

Coours the retention	Implementation of average axid average	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery as no funded assessed for	Daview effectiveness and implementation
Secure the retention,	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
enhancement and	11.2.09 (Harrow Arts Centre)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
heritage of Harrow Arts				alternative forms of funding
Centre and associated	Development adversely affecting the	No application approved for	No site allocation setting clear parameters	Identify reasons and address if necessary
				identify reasons and address if necessary
complex.	setting of Harrow Arts Centre	development adversely the	for development of the car park site by end	
		setting of Harrow Arts	2012/13	
		Centre		
Maintain and enhance	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
public access to, and the	11.2.01 (River Crane: The Yeading,		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
biodiversity value of, the	The Roxbourne and Roxbourne			alternative forms of funding
River Pinn and	Return Arm)			and the same of th
Taver i illi alia	return Army			
Yeading Brook and their	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
tributaries as part of	11.2.02 (RoxbournePark to		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
Harrow's Green Grid.	Headstone Manor/Pinner)			alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.2.03 (Yeading Brook and		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	RoxbournePark regeneration and			alternative forms of funding
	restoration)			
	Implementation of areas axid areinst	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposale for	Deview offectiveness and implementation
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.2.06 (River Pinn: Celandine route		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	links)			alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.2.07 (Pinner Park Farm corridor		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	and Woodridings brook)			alternative forms of funding
	,			, and the second
Support the renewal of	Net additional dwellings in Pinner and	In excess of 161 net	Less than 40 by end 2015/16	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
the Mill Farm Estate	Hatch End sub area from 2009	additional dwellings by end		site allocations and policies relating to sub
		2025/26	Less than 90 by end of 2020/21	area; if necessary amend to address
				housing delivery
	Completion of Mill Farm Estate	Completion by end 2014/15	Works stall by end 2013/14	Discuss with housing providers; review
	redevelopment			funding streams and explore joint ventures
				to secure the completion of the estate
				redevelopment

10 Stanmore & Harrow Weald

Picture 21 Stanmore and Harrow Weald Sub Area




Area Objectives for Stanmore & Harrow Weald

- 1. Safeguard the special character of, and identified views to, Harrow Weald Ridge.
- 2. Strengthen and enhance connectivity with the Green Belt as part of Harrow's Green Grid.
- 3. Secure the future of Bentley Priory and the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital.
- 4. Improve the public realm of Stanmore district centre and its relationship with Stanmore station by co-ordinating investment.
- 5. Ensure that the redevelopment of Stanmore car park and Anmer Lodge contributes to the vitality and viability of the district centre.
- 6. Improve the public realm of Harrow Weald local centre by co-ordinating investment.
- 7. Maintain and enhance public access to, and the biodiversity value of, the Edgware Brook.
- 8. Investigate opportunities to extend Harrow Weald cemetery.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 10.1 The Stanmore and Harrow Weald sub area has significant capacity to sensitively accommodate new homes as a result of three major developed sites in the Green Belt and mixed-use development in Stanmore district centre will provide some opportunity for retail growth. Throughout the rest of the sub area, access to natural and semi-natural green spaces and the special character of Harrow Weald Ridge will continue to make an important contribution to local environmental quality. The strategy for this area will seek the appropriate realisation of development opportunities and the continued protection of the area's high quality environment.
- 10.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 712 net additional homes comprising 39 with planning permission and 673 on identified, previously developed sites within the Stanmore and Harrow Weald area, over the period 2009-2026. This includes schemes at the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital and Bentley Priory, approved to secure their respective futures, and the potential redevelopment of Harrow College's Harrow Weald campus which is an identified major previously developed site within the Green Belt. Stanmore car park, within Stanmore district centre, and the adjoining vacant Anmer Lodge, provide further identified opportunity for housing as part of an appropriate mixed-use redevelopment. Additional housing capacity will be sought from unforeseen opportunities on previously developed sites within Stanmore district centre and Harrow Weald local centre.
- 10.3 The countryside to the north of Harrow Weald and Stanmore is an integral part of the Metropolitan Green Belt which checks urban sprawl and provides a buffer between Greater London and the neighbouring urban areas of Hertfordshire, including Watford. Extensive public access to the natural and semi-natural environment of the Green Belt is provided by Bentley Priory Open Space, Harrow Weald Common, Stanmore Common and Stanmore Country Park⁽²⁴⁾, as well as a network of public rights of way and the London Loop strategic walking route. The Council will pursue Green Grid projects which strengthen and enhance accessibility between this valuable resource and the rest of the Borough.
- 10.4 The high ground at Harrow Weald Ridge is of strategic value as an area of special character, providing a countryside backdrop to the suburban areas south of the Green Belt. A number of important public views of the Ridge have been identified and these will be retained and safeguarded. In addition, the car park at Old Redding provides valuable public access to enjoy views of Harrow and Greater London, and will be maintained for this purpose. The Harrow Weald Ridge is also of cultural and social importance. The site of the Roman settlement of Svlloniacae, Saxon earthworks, and Bentley Priory which features as an identifiable building in the landscape, all provide links with the area's history.





- 10.5 In view of its continuing strategic importance, the boundaries, function and character of Harrow Weald Ridge Area of Special Character will be maintained. Bentley Priory and the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital occupy large sites within the Green Belt and Area of Special Character and are both of national significance, as a cultural heritage asset and a leading medical institution respectively. The Council will support appropriate proposals which secure the future of these assets, consistent with Green Belt policy and any impact on the area's special character.
- 10.6 Stanmore Golf Course is an extensive area of open ground which separates Stanmore and Belmont. Together with its height and extensive tree cover, it is of strategic importance

and is reflected in its designation as Metropolitan Open Land. A right of way provides public access over the Golf Course, and at its eastern boundary the Golf Course has a direct interface with the Belmont Trail. A high priority will be given to the extension of the Trail to complete the link between Belmont and Stanmore, as part of the Borough's Green Grid.

- 10.7 The Edgware Brook flows down from Bentley Priory open space and through parts of Stanmore in open and culverted sections. The Brook is one of the Brent River tributaries and potential for projects to enhance biodiversity value and public access have been identified through the Borough's Green Grid. There are also two flood storage areas in the sub area, Summerhouse Lake and Temple Pond, which need to be retained for flood mitigation. Further opportunities will be sought to open-up culverted sections where this would achieve sustainable management of flood risk and/or enhance biodiversity.
- 10.8 Parts of Bentley Priory and Harrow Weald Common are Sites of Special Scientific Interest and are protected by statutory provisions. Stanmore and Harrow Weald are home to the only Metropolitan Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation in Harrow, as well as a number of Grade I & II Borough importance sites. These will be protected, managed and where possible enhanced in accordance with the Borough's Biodiversity Action Plan.
- 10.9 Stanmore and Harrow Weald benefit from indoor sports facilities at the Aspire National Centre and Bentley Wood High School. Continued community access to these facilities, with quality enhancements as appropriate, will be supported. Outdoor sport facilities include the Roger Bannister Sports Centre as well as a number of sports club sites and pitches within public open space. However there is a significant gap in accessibility between Harrow Weald and Stanmore, and priority should be given to the provision of a multi-use games area on a site which maximises accessibility.
- **10.10** Small scale opportunities for improved access to natural and semi-natural greenspace should focus on the western part of the area.

10.11 Space within the Harrow's cemeteries is largely committed and newly bereaved relatives are directed to sites outside of the Borough. The opportunity to extend Harrow Weald cemetery, to provide future capacity that is accessible within the Borough, will be investigated as part of the future uses of land beyond the identified development envelope at Harrow Weald College.

10.12 Stanmore is rich in archaeological heritage, with Scheduled Ancient Monuments to safeguard areas of known Roman remains, the surviving Saxon earthworks at Grims Dyke and Pear Wood, and the Eighteenth Century Obelisk at Brockley Hill. Archaeological Priority Areas identify potential for further Roman remains at Brockley Hill and Canons Park, as well as identifying the possible site of the priory complex at Clamp Hill and the extent of the Medieval village of Stanmore along Old Church Lane. The Council has also issued an advisory note in connection with the Grims Ditch scheduled ancient monument.

10.13 The extent of the historic estates of Grim's Dyke Hotel and Bentley Priory are reflected in their historic park and garden status. The Council is preparing supplementary planning documents for Harrow Weald, Stanmore & Edgware which will incorporate appraisals and management strategies for the conservation areas within the Harrow Weald and Stanmore sub area. All heritage assets in the sub area will continue to be protected.


Picture 23 Little Common, Stanmore



10.14 The modern-day Stanmore centre is located to the east of the historic heart of the village in Old Church Lane, which is identified by its Conservation Area and Archaeological Priority Area designation. The heritage value of Stanmore Hill, Little Common, historic farm complexes at Harrow Weald and high quality modernest architecture of the twentieth Century are also reflected in the presence of listed buildings and conservation areas.

10.15 Stanmore district centre fulfils an important convenience shopping role within its catchment and is supplemented by a small but healthy range of comparison goods shops. However Church Road/The Broadway and

Stanmore Hill form part of the London distributor road network, carrying heavy volumes of traffic through the centre and therefore impacting upon environmental quality. Investment in the public realm of Stanmore district centre will be co-ordinated to support its attractiveness as a district shopping destination and to improve pedestrian connectivity with Stanmore London Underground Station.



Picture 24 The Broadway, Stanmore

10.16 The Retail Study (2009) identifies the potential of Stanmore car park to provide additional retail floorspace in Stanmore district centre, and will be brought forward by the Council as part of a development brief for the wider site which includes Anmer Lodge.

10.17 The mixed-use redevelopment or conversion of redundant office buildings in Stanmore district centre offers the opportunity to contribute to housing supply, but should also make provision for appropriate economic uses on the site. Appropriate community uses may also be supported where the benefit of the use outweighs any loss in employment value.

Core Policy CS 7

Stanmore and Harrow Weald

- A. Development will be managed to maintain the special character and identified views of Harrow Weald Ridge.
- B. The London Loop strategic walking route and local public rights of way will be safeguarded and, where opportunities arise, be enhanced as part of the Borough's Green Grid projects. Priority will be given to the extension of the Belmont Trail to complete the accessible link to the Green Belt.
- C. Identified views of Harrow Weald Ridge will be safeguarded from inappropriate development through the Development Management Policies DPD.
- D. Proposals to secure the future Bentley Priory and the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital will be supported where there is no conflict with Green Belt policy and the special character of Harrow Weald Ridge would be preserved.
- E. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of Stanmore district centre and improve its relationship with Stanmore London Underground Station will be sought and priority given to the co-ordination of public realm investment.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

- F. The Council will bring forward Stanmore Car Park and Anmer Lodge together for comprehensive, mixed use development which supports the vitality and viability of the district centre, enhances the Green Grid and makes an appropriate contribution to housing supply.
- G. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of Harrow Weald local centre will be sought, and priority given to the co-ordination of public realm investment
- H. The Council will manage Bentley Priory Open Space, Stanmore Park and Montrose Walk to maintain and enhance public access to, and the biodiversity value of, the Edgware Brook. Green Grid projects to enhance public access to, and the biodiversity value of, the Edgware Brook will be given high priority.
- Opportunities will be sought to open-up culverted sections of the Edgware Brook where this achieves sustainable flood risk management, habitat creation and, where appropriate, public access.
- J. The redevelopment of identified, previously developed sites including the major developed sites in the Green Belt at Harrow Weald College, the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital and Bentley Priory to collectively contribute at least 712 homes towards the Borough's housing allocation, set out in Core Policy CS1 H, will be encouraged.

Monitoring

character of, and	Development adversely affecting the Harrow Weald Ridge Area of Special Character	No application approved for development adversely affecting the Harrow Weald	More than 1 application approved involving development adversely affecting the Harrow Weald Ridge area of special character over	Identify reasons, and if necessary review policies for the protection of areas of special
identified views to, Harrow		affecting the Harrow Weald		policies for the protection of areas of special
·	Character	_	Weald Ridge area of special character over	
Weald Ridge.			vvcala i tiage area or special orial actor over	character and if necessary revise to
		Ridge Area of Special	a rolling five year period	strengthen
		Character		
(Conservation areas with adopted	100% by 2017/18	Less than 70% by 2014/15	Review reasons for lack of progress and
s	supplementary planning documents,			address as necessary
e	area appraisals and management			
s	strategies			
ŀ	Harrow Weald Ridge heritage assets	None	Additional Harrow Weald Ridge heritage	Review reasons and possible interventions
c	on the English Heritage 'at risk'		assets on the English Heritage 'at risk'	
r	register		register	If necessary amend heritage protection
				policies to strengthen
Strengthen and enhance II	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
connectivity with the 1	11.1.01 (Belmont Trail)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
Green Belt as part of				alternative forms of funding
Harrow's Green Grid.				

	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.01 (Links at north end of trail to		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	Green Belt)			alternative forms of funding
	,			3
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.07 (BernaysGardens)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	Tr. 1.07 (Bernayocardens)		delivery by 2017/10	
				alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.4.10 (London Loop)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
				Ű
Secure the future of	Completion of Bentley Priory	Complete by end 2013/14	Works stall by end 2012/13	Discuss with developer and heritage bodies
Bentley Priory and the	restoration and redevelopment			to explore solutions
Royal National				
Ť	Completion of phase one of the	Complete by end 2014/15	Works stall by end 2013/14	Discuss with developer and hospital body
OrthopaedicHospital.	RNOH (Stanmore) redevelopment	, ,	,	to explore solutions
	KNOH (Stallhole) redevelopment			to explore solutions
Improve the public realm	Implementation ofStanmore	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
	•	Complete by the 2012/10	Works stall by the 2017/12	
of Stanmore district centre	Hill/Uxbridge Roadsignal			reasons and address
and its relationship with	work/congestion relief scheme			
Stanmore station by	Implementation of Stanmore Hill bus	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
co-ordinating investment.	•	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	, ,
	stop accessibility scheme			reasons and address
	Renewal/rationalisation of street	Renewal/rationalisation of	No published programme for	Discuss with public rools and highways
				Discuss with public realm and highways
	furniture and highway signage in	street furniture and signage	rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18	departments; address reasons if necessary
	Stanmore district centre	by end 2025/26		
			No funded proposals for implementation by	
			end 2020/21	
	Investment in public realm and way	Additional signs within 2	No improvements or new way finder signs	Review legible London
	finder sign improvements between	years as part of the Legible	have been built.	
	Stanmore and Stanmore Station	London scheme.		Sign distribution and secure funding from
				other sources such as CIL to complete the
				required improvements.
				1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
Ensure that the	Disposal of Stanmore car park/Anmer	Complete sale of site by	Marketing of site not complete by end	Investigate reasons and address
redevelopment of	Lodge for development	end 2012/13	2011/12	
Stanmore car park and				
•			1	
Amner Lodge contributes	Planning permission granted for	Planning permission	No planning application by end 2016/17	Investigate reasons and address
			No planning application by end 2016/17	Investigate reasons and address
to the vitality and viability	comprehensive (mixed use)	Planning permission granted by end 2017/18	No planning application by end 2016/17	Investigate reasons and address
to the vitality and viability of the district centre.	comprehensive (mixed use) redevelopment of the site in		No planning application by end 2016/17	Investigate reasons and address
	comprehensive (mixed use)		No planning application by end 2016/17	Investigate reasons and address
	comprehensive (mixed use) redevelopment of the site in		No planning application by end 2016/17	Investigate reasons and address
	comprehensive (mixed use) redevelopment of the site in accordance with an adopted site allocation and brief	granted by end 2017/18	No planning application by end 2016/17	
	comprehensive (mixed use) redevelopment of the site in accordance with an adopted site		No planning application by end 2016/17 An increase in vacant retail frontage of	Investigate reasons and address Identify reasons and if necessary
	comprehensive (mixed use) redevelopment of the site in accordance with an adopted site allocation and brief	granted by end 2017/18		
	comprehensive (mixed use) redevelopment of the site in accordance with an adopted site allocation and brief Vacancy rate for Stanmore district	granted by end 2017/18 No more than 10% vacant	An increase in vacant retail frontage of	Identify reasons and if necessary

	Average footfall in Stammers district	Not to fall more than 10%	Footfall more than 10% below 1999 levels	Identify reasons and if necessary
	Average footfall in Stanmore district			,
	centre	below 2009/10 levels	in Stanmore district centre over five years	investigate possible interventions to
			(rolling)	address
Improve the public realm	Implementation of the Long	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review
of Harrow Weald local	Elmes/College Avenue/The Avenue			reasons and address
centre by co-ordinating	cycle improvement scheme			
investment.				
	Implementation of bus stop	Funded by 2026	No improvements or funded proposals for	Discuss with TfL and transport department;
	accessibility improvements		improvements in Harrow Weald centre by	address reasons if necessary
			2021	
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.05 (Street Tree Planting)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
Maintain and enhance	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
public access to, and the	11.1.06 (TemplePond)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
biodiversity value of, the				alternative forms of funding
Edgware Brook.				
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.3.01 (Edgware Brook paths)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.3.02 (Edgware Brook: link to		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	Belmont trail)			alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.3.03 (Stanmore Marsh)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
Investigate opportunities	Scoping study to determine viability	Publish scoping study by	No scoping study commission/underway	Alternative sites to be explored, or
to extend Harrow Weald	of extension	end 2015/16	by end 2014/15	continued cross boundary provision to be
cemetery.				secured

11 Edgware & Burnt Oak

NETY

Name of State

Net to St

Picture 25 Edgware and Burnt Oak Sub Area

Area Objectives for Edgware and Burnt Oak

- 1. Support appropriate retail development in Edgware major centre, consistent with its function and status.
- 2. Support development which enhances the vitality and viability of Burnt Oak district centre.
- 3. Improve the public realm of Burnt Oak district centre and Edgware major centre by co-ordinating investment.
- 4. Manage traffic congestion along Edgware Road.
- 5. Promote the Spring Villa business use area as a location for economic development.
- 6. Secure balanced diversification of the Ballard Mews business use area.
- 7. Ensure the business incubator premises provided as part of the Stanmore Place development are fully utilised to foster local small and medium sized enterprises.
- 8. Retain the Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park and seek improvements to its environment to maintain its attractiveness to business.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

- 9. Use the Green Grid to promote heritage awareness and protect the setting of Canons Park.
- 10. Maintain and enhance public access and the biodiversity value of the Edgware Brook

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 11.1 Edgware and Burnt Oak form Harrow's principal interface with the North London sub region and the strategic London-Luton-Bedford Co-ordination Corridor. To the south, in neighbouring boroughs, are the London Plan opportunity areas of Colindale/Burnt Oak (2,000 jobs and 12,500 new homes) and Cricklewood/Brent Cross (20,000 jobs and 10,000 new homes). The Edgware and Burnt Oak sub region has capacity to make a significant contribution to housing growth, but also includes a number of important employment, leisure and heritage assets. The strategy will therefore seek to realise the benefits for residents and businesses within the sub area of the opportunities that exist as part of the growth planned for the wider sub-region, whilst maintaining existing local opportunities and assets that contribute to quality of life.
- 11.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 1,229 net additional homes comprising 977 with planning permission and 252 on identified, previously developed sites within the Edgware and Burnt Oak sub area, over the period 2009-2026. This includes schemes approved at the former Government Buildings at Honeypot Lane (795 new homes), and at Edgware Town Football Club (189 new homes), which make up the majority of the identified housing development planned for the sub area. Strategic sites are identified in the Site Allocations DPD.
- 11.3 Edgware major centre is predominantly located within the London Borough of Barnet but extends into Harrow at its periphery on Edgware Road and Whitchurch Lane. The London Borough of Barnet has identified Edgware as a location for increased comparison retail offer, and has undertaken to prepare a framework to manage growth and change within the centre. Harrow Council will support the development of the framework and will identify sites, as required, in the Site Allocations DPD.
- 11.4 Burnt Oak district centre straddles the boundaries of Harrow, Brent and Barnet Boroughs. An Opportunity Area Planning Framework is being prepared by the Greater London Authority, in partnership with neighbouring boroughs, for the Burnt Oak/Colindale opportunity area. Harrow Council will engage in this process to ensure that growth in the area strengthens the vitality and viability of the district centre.
- 11.5 It is also important that Harrow works with the Boroughs of Brent and Barnet, as well as the Greater London Authority and Transport for London (TfL), to ensure that development does not put undue pressure on local infrastructure. An assessment of the implications of development has been commissioned by the North London Strategic Alliance. This builds on assessments already made in drawing up the North London Development and Investment Framework, published by the London Development Agency in 2007, and on work being undertaken by TfL.
- 11.6 Edgware and Burnt Oak centres both perform reasonably well and have a good variety of shops and services, with Edgware centre located close to two areas of business and industrial use. These provide employment facilities for small to medium sized businesses but are in need of regenerating in some instances. Redevelopment of Ballard Mews business use area is supported where provision is made for appropriate economic uses (excluding main town centre uses) on the

site but will be subject to impact upon neighbouring heritage. Community uses may also be supported where the benefit of the use outweighs any loss in employment value. Spring Villas business park continues to perform well and will be promoted as a location for economic development, subject to flood risk management.

11.7 The Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park is identified in the London Plan as a strategic industrial location and therefore forms a part of the capital's strategic reservoir of land for business and industrial use. The redevelopment of the former Government Offices for residential use with some incubator premises at Stanmore Place has provided some modest employment renewal. The remaining components (Honeypot Lane/Dalston Gardens and the Honeypot Business Centre at Parr Road/Garland Road) are assessed as having a poor quality environment and some access constraints. Interventions which increase the attractiveness of the location for appropriate industrial and business uses, particularly for small and medium sized enterprises, will be sought.

Picture 26 Edgware High Street



- 11.8 Edgware and Burnt Oak centres suffer from heavy traffic and associated pollution due to the A5 road which runs through them, and this also forms a barrier to movement through the centres. Public realm improvements are needed in both centres to increase their attractiveness to new business and as destination shopping areas for local residents, especially with increasing competition from nearby growth areas at Brent Cross and Cricklewood.
- 11.9 There are archaeological priority areas located in this sub area, centred around Edgware centre, reflecting the settlement's Medieval origins, and the known Roman

workings at Canons Park. The sub area also contains two conservation areas and the Council is preparing supplementary planning documents which will incorporate appraisals and management strategies for these. The Canons Park Estate Conservation Area is characterised by the good architectural quality of its inter-war houses and the incorporation of the carriage drive and basin of the former Canons Park. This area is adjacent to Canons Park Metropolitan Open Land with associated historic park and garden. The Edgware High Street Conservation Area is characterised by the survival of timber framed buildings and later Victorian development of the historic village of Edgware.

11.10 The sub area forms a part of the Brent Catchment with the Edgware Brook flowing through it. An area of flood risk follows the course of the Edgware Brook. Although outside of the Edgware and Burnt Oak sub area, flood storage areas have been created along its route at Whitchurch Playing Fields and Prince Edward Playing Fields, which help to alleviate flooding within this sub area. Opportunities will be sought to open-up culverted sections of the brook where this would achieve sustainable management of flood risk and enhance biodiversity.

Picture 27 The Pavilion, Canons Park



The area in general enjoys good access to open spaces, including Chandos

Recreation Ground and part of the William Ellis Sports Ground. Investment in open spaces should therefore focus on maintaining and enhancing the quality and value of these sites.

However, a significant area of deficiency in access to local natural and semi-natural greenspace, amenity greenspace and parks exists in the south part of the Edgware and Burnt Oak area. Opportunities to address these deficiencies will be sought, through on site provision and investment in existing spaces as appropriate. Priority will be given to the Brent River group of Green Grid projects which enhance access to and the biodiversity value of the River's tributaries. Enhancement of the Lake Grove natural and semi-natural site, to enhance its quality and value, will also be sought.

11.13 Outdoor sport facilities are provided at Chandos Recreation Ground; however investment to increase provision of and accessibility to multi-use games areas should focus on the north part of the Edgware and Burnt Oak area. Enhancement of facilities at Canons Park should focus on fulfilling the site's potential to provide youth space.

Core Policy CS 8

Edgware and Burnt Oak

- The Council will support the growth being promoted for Edgware major centre. Mixed-use redevelopment in the part of Edgware centre within the London Borough of Harrow will be encouraged where this does not conflict with heritage assets, is consistent with the role and function of the centre and improves pedestrian connectivity to Edgware Underground and bus station.
- The Council will work with the London Boroughs of Brent and Barnet, and the Greater London Authority as appropriate, to improve the vitality and public realm of Burnt Oak district centre in support of the significant growth proposed for the nearby Burnt Oak and Colindale Opportunity Area.

- C. Opportunities to enhance the environmental quality of Edgware major centre and Burnt Oak district centre will be sought and priority given to the co-ordination of public realm investment.
- D. Highway improvements in relation to Edgware Road that improve the capacity, reliability and general operating conditions for public transport, and reduce its impact as a barrier to pedestrians, will be prioritised.
- E. The Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park will be retained for appropriate B1, B2 and B8 uses. Investment will be sought to improve the business environment and attractiveness of this strategic industrial location.
- F. Proposals for appropriate economic uses within the Spring Villas business use area will be supported.
- G. The mixed use redevelopment of Ballard Mews will be supported to provide employment and housing development where this enhances the site's relationship with Edgware major centre and surrounding heritage.
- H. New development will support restoration and deculverting projects along the Edgware Brook where these would achieve integrated flood management, biodiversity and where appropriate public access benefits as part of the Green Grid.
- I. The redevelopment of identified, previously developed sites to collectively contribute at least 1,229 homes towards the Borough's housing allocation, set out in Core Policy CS1 H, will be encouraged.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Support appropriate retail	Positive response to consultations	100% positive response on	Negative response on proposals for	Identify reasons and address in partnership
development in Edgware	from London Borough of Barnet on	appropriate proposals	appropriate retail development	with London Borough of Barnet
major centre, consistent	proposals for appropriate retail			
with its function and	development			
status.				
	Development in Edgware centre (LB	No application approved for	More than 1 application approved adversely	Identify reasons, and if necessary review
	Harrow part) adversely affecting a	development adversely	affecting a heritage asset over five years	policy which supports development in
	heritage asset	affecting a heritage asset		Edgware centre subject to no conflict with
				heritage and if necessary revise to
				strengthen
Support development	Positive response to consultations	100% positive response on	Negative response on proposals for	Identify reasons and address in partnership
which enhances the	from London Boroughs of Barnet or	appropriate proposals	appropriate retail development	with London Boroughs of Barnet or Brent
vitality and viability of	Brent on proposals for appropriate			
Burnt Oak district centre.	retail development			

Improve the public realm of Burnt Oak district centre and Edgware	Implementation ofEdgware Roadbus stop accessibility scheme	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review reasons and address
major centre by	Investigation and implementation of signing strategy for London lorry ban	Complete investigation by end 2013/14	Investigation not commenced by end 2012/13	Discuss with transport department; review reasons and address
co-ordinating investment.		Implement by end 2015/16	Implementation not commenced by end 2014/15	
	Renewal/rationalisation of street furniture and highway signage in Stanmore district centre	Renewal/rationalisation of street furniture and signage by end 2025/26	No published programme for rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18 No funded proposals for implementation by end 2020/21	Discuss with public realm and highways departments; address reasons if necessary
Manage traffic congestion alongEdgware Road.	Implementation of highway improvements at key junctions (see infrastructure delivery plan) used by buses	Seek to include funded projects for improvements at key junctions in LIP 3 (2014/15)	Funded projects for improvements at key junctions not included in LIP 3 (2014/15)	Review transport study and seek to include funded projects for improvements at key junctions in subsequent LIP document
	Investigation and implementation of signing strategy for London lorry ban	Complete investigation by end 2013/14 Implement by end 2015/16	Investigation not commenced by end 2012/13 Implementation not commenced by end 2014/15	Discuss with transport department; review reasons and address
Promote the Spring Villa business use area as a location for economic development.	Square metres of new/refurbished floorspace at Spring Villa estate for economic development uses	A minimum of 1,000sqm new / refurbished floorspace by 2020/2021	No planning permission or pre-application proposal by 2017/18	Review market conditions and identify any barriers to development; if necessary amend policies to encourage new economic development
	Percentage of premises within Spring Villa estate that are vacant	Less than 10%	More than 10%	Review level of vacancy relative to comparable estates in the Borough; if necessary amend policies on diversification/uses
Secure balanced diversification of the Ballard Mews business use area.	Residential development enabled optimum provision of new floorspace for appropriate economic uses.	Provision of new floorspace for economic uses by 2026	No floorspace for economic uses approved by 2020/21	Identify reasons, and if necessary review implementation and effectiveness of policy; revise if necessary
	Office vacancy rates in Ballard Mews business use area	Reduce to vacancy to 10% by 2026	Not greater than 15% by end 2015/16 Not greater than 12% by end 2020/21	Review supply and demand of Ballard Mews offices; if necessary amend policies to manage further diversification
Ensure the business incubator premises provided as part of theStanmore Place	Incubator units vacancy rates atStanmore Place	No vacancy	Occupancy below 80%	Review supply and demand of employment space to ensure it meets market demands

development are fully				
utilised to foster local				
small and medium sized				
enterprises.				
Retain the Honeypot Lane	Hectares of allocated strategic	No release of SIL land over	More than 5 applications approved for	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA the
Industrial BusinessPark	industrial location (SIL) land	and above any limit	redevelopment of SIL land to uses not	policy controls; review supply and demand
and seek improvements	atHoneypot Lanereleased for	(hectares)	within the definition and exceptions of	of SIL land. If necessary amend policies
to its environment to	redevelopment to uses not within the		Policy 2.17 of the London Plan over 5 years	and site allocations to safeguard the best
maintain its attractiveness	definition and exceptions of Policy		(rolling)	remaining SIL and manage the release of
to business.	2.17 of the London Plan			other parts
	Develop a strategy for the	Strategy adopted by end	No strategy undergoing preparation by end	Discuss with GLA to identify reasons and
	environmental improvement of	2017/18	2016/17	address
	Honeypot Lane SIL in partnership with			
	the Mayor of London/GLA			
Use the Green Grid to	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
promote heritage	11.3.04 (CanonsPark and Lakes)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
awareness and protect				alternative forms of funding
the setting of Canons				
Park.				
Maintain and enhance	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
public access and the	11.3.01 (Edgware Brook paths)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
biodiversity value of the				alternative forms of funding
Edgware Brook				
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.3.02 (Edgware Brook: link to		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
	Belmont trail)			alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.3.03 (Stanmore Marsh)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.3.05 (Dalkeith open space)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding

12 Kingsbury & Queensbury

Picture 28 Kingsbury and Queensbury Sub Area



Area Objectives for Kingsbury and Queensbury

- 1. Improve the vitality and public realm of Kingsbury and Queensbury centres by co-ordinating investment.
- 2. Retain the Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park and seek improvements to its environment to maintain its attractiveness to business.
- 3. Continue to promote Prince Edward playing fields as a centre of sports excellence.
- 4. Maintain community access to sport and recreation facilities and encourage enhancement.
- 5. Manage the area's flood risk through de-culverting, re-development and open space retention.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

- 12.1 The Kingsbury and Queensbury sub area is extensively built-up of suburban development and includes some residential areas with low public transport accessibility. Together with a lack of major redevelopment opportunities, the sub area has limited scope to accommodate new homes over the next 15 years. However the sub area includes an industrial business park and a major new sport and leisure facility. The strategy will safeguard and seek to maximise the benefits of these assets for local residents and the wider sub regions of West and North London.
- 12.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 25 net additional homes (with planning permission) within the Kingsbury and Queensbury sub area, over the period 2009-2026. Additional housing capacity will therefore only be sought from unforeseen opportunities on previously developed sites within the centres and others with high public transport accessibility, to be identified through the Site Allocations DPD.
- 12.3 The area is predominantly suburban dominated by inter-war terraced and semi-detached housing providing family accommodation with reasonable gardens and access to local parks. However, there are parts of the sub area that exhibit poor public realm, due to a loss of character through alterations and the hardsurfacing of gardens.
- 12.4 Two town centres serve this area. Kingsbury district centre being the larger of the two and straddles the boundary with Brent, where the majority of shops are located. Queensbury is a local centre, and has a predominantly convenience shopping role. Heavy traffic passes through Kingsbury along the Kenton Road, and public realm improvements in both centres are necessary.

Picture 29 Kingsbury district centre in the London Borough of Brent



- 12.5 The Retail Study (2009) identifies the potential of land at the junction of Kenton Road and Honeypot Lane to provide additional retail floorspace in this part of Kingsbury district centre, and will be brought forward as part of the Site Allocations DPD.
- 12.6 The Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park is identified in the London Plan as a strategic industrial location and therefore forms a part of the capital's strategic reservoir of land for business and industrial use. The redevelopment of the former Government Offices for residential use

with incubator premises has provided some employment renewal. The remaining components (Honeypot Lane/Dalston Gardens and the Honeypot Business Centre at Parr Road/Garland Road) are assessed as having a poor quality environment and some access constraints. Interventions which increase the attractiveness of the location for appropriate industrial and business uses will be sought.

12.7 The area benefits from reasonable access to open spaces, and accommodates Barnet Football Club's training centre and a footballing centre of excellence at The Hive on Prince Edward Playing Fields. The Council will continue to support this significant investment which provides

important opportunities for community access to high quality facilities and local participation in sport. The continued use and development of Prince Edward Playing Fields will need to retain the flood storage capacity currently provided on this site.

12.8 The Kenton and Edgware Brooks flow through this sub area, parts of which are culverted and result in some localised flood risk. Harrow's Green Grid includes a schedule of projects to create an interconnected network of open spaces and river corridors throughout Harrow and across the Borough boundary. Within the Kingsbury and Queensbury sub area, the River Brent group of projects will manage flood risk and improve the quality and accessibility of watercourses. In addition, the strategic street tree planting group of projects will deliver the objective of greening links through the urban area between existing open spaces.

Picture 30 The Hive Football Centre, Camrose Avenue, Edgware



- **12.9** Kingsbury and Queensbury are served by Centenary Park and Queensbury Recreation Ground, within the Borough, and to a lesser extent⁽²⁵⁾ by Woodcock Park within the London Borough of Brent. Available investment in parks will be co-ordinated towards qualitative improvements at Centenary Park and Queensbury Recreation Ground.
- 12.10 Throughout the area there is a high deficiency of playspace and amenity greenspace. New development will be expected to provide for children's play and to combine this with additional amenity greenspace where appropriate. Kingsbury and Queensbury also lack natural and semi-natural greenspace, although Fryant Country Park within the London Borough of Brent is situated nearby⁽²⁶⁾.

Core Policy CS 9

Kingsbury and Queensbury

- A. Support development that contributes to the vitality of Kingsbury and Queensbury centres and improve the public realm in both centres and the relationship with the Brent side of Kingsbury
- B. The Honeypot Lane Industrial Business Park will be retained for appropriate B1, B2 and B8 uses. Investment will be sought to improve the business environment and attractiveness of this strategic industrial location.
- 25 Kenton Road is a significant physical barrier
- 26 but again Kenton Road represents a significant physical barrier


- C. A net contribution to housing supply will be sought as part of the mixed use conversion or redevelopment of sites within Kingsbury district centre (Harrow part) and Queensbury local centre.
- D. Prince Edward Playing Fields will be maintained as an important sporting destination and opportunities for enhanced community access will be sought, whilst ensuring that its function as a flood storage area is not adversely affected.
- E. River restoration and deculverting projects along the Kenton Brook should achieve integrated flood management, biodiversity and where appropriate public access benefits.
- F. Green Grid projects which restore and improve access to Kenton Brook will be prioritised.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Improve the vitality and public realm of Kingsbury and Queensbury centres by co-ordinating	Implementation ofStreatfield Road/Christchurch Avenuecycle improvements	Complete by end 2012/13	Works stall by end 2011/12	Discuss with transport department; review reasons and address
investment.	Implementation of bus stop accessibility improvements	Funded by 2026	No improvements or funded proposals for improvements in Kingsbury/Queensbury centres by 2021	Discuss with TfL and transport department; address reasons if necessary
	Renewal/rationalisation of street furniture and highway signage in Kingsbury and Queensbury centres	Renewal/rationalisation of street furniture and signage by end 2025/26	No published programme for rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18 No funded proposals for implementation by end 2020/21	Discuss with public realm and highways departments; address reasons if necessary
Retain the Honeypot Lane Industrial BusinessPark and seek improvements to its environment to maintain its attractiveness to business.	Hectares of allocated strategic industrial location (SIL) land atHoneypot Lanereleased for redevelopment to uses not within the definition and exceptions of Policy 2.17 of the London Plan	No release of SIL land over and above any limit (hectares)	More than 5 applications approved for redevelopment of SIL land to uses not within the definition and exceptions of Policy 2.17 of the London Plan over 5 years (rolling)	Discuss with Mayor of London/GLA the policy controls; review supply and demand of SIL land. If necessary amend policies and site allocations to safeguard the best remaining SIL and manage the release of other parts
	Develop a strategy for the environmental improvement of Honeypot Lane SIL in partnership with the Mayor of London/GLA	Strategy adopted by end 2017/18	No strategy undergoing preparation by end 2016/17	Discuss with GLA to identify reasons and address
Continue to promote Prince Edward playing fields as a centre of sports excellence.	Completion of The Hive sport development	Completion of The Hive sport development by 2016/17	Complex not substantially complete by 2014/15	Discuss with developer to identify reasons; seek alternative funding to ensure the complex is completed.

	I		[<u> </u>
Maintain community	Community access to sports facilities	Maintain	New PPG 17 study by 2017/18 identifies	Discuss with The Hive owner/operator to
access to sport and	at The Hive		no loss of community access to facilities at	identify reasons and address if necessary
recreation facilities and			The Hive	
encourage enhancement.				
	Quality improvements to PPG 17 open	Identify opportunities for	Opportunities for improvements not	Identify reasons; seek inclusion in future
	space typologies within Harrow on the	quality improvements in	included in open space strategy by end	renewal of open spaces strategy
	Hill and Sudbury sub area	new open spaces strategy	2014/15	
	Accessibility improvements to PPG	Identify opportunities for	Opportunities for improvements not	Identify reasons; seek inclusion in future
	17 open space typologies	accessibility improvements	included in open space strategy by end	renewal of open spaces strategy
		in new open spaces	2014/15	
		strategy		
Manage the area's flood	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
risk through de-culverting,	11.3.06 (Queensbury Recreation		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
re-development and open	Ground)			alternative forms of funding
space retention.				
	Loss of open space within sub area	No net loss of open space	1 application approved involving a net loss	Review the reason(s) for the decisions
	to development (excluding appropriate	within the sub area to	of open space to development over a rolling	
	functional development)	development	5 year period	Review impact in relation to flood
				management and drainage; amend policies
				if necessary
				Review impact on supply and demand of
				open space in the sub area

13 Kenton & Belmont



Picture 31 Kenton and Belmont Sub Area

Area Objectives for Kenton and Belmont

- Improve the vitality and public realm of Kenton and Belmont Circle centres by co-ordinating investment.
- 2. Promote better connectivity between Kenton and Northwick Park London Underground stations.
- 3. Provide for improved access to open space, through enhanced connectivity, having regard to opportunities to enhance biodiversity and improve flood risk management.

Sub Area Issues and Characteristics

13.1 The Kenton and Belmont sub area is extensively built-up of suburban development and includes some residential areas with low public transport accessibility. This gives the area a leafy, low density feel, and is popular with families and larger households. Together with a lack of major

redevelopment opportunities, the sub area has as a consequence a very limited scope to accommodate new homes over the next 15 years. The strategy will focus upon the protection and enhancement of open space particularly as a flood risk management asset.

13.2 Harrow's Housing Trajectory demonstrates capacity to provide 20 net additional homes (with planning permission) but identifies no previously-developed sites with major housing capacity within the Kenton and Belmont sub area, over the period 2009-2026. Additional housing capacity will therefore only be sought from unforeseen opportunities on previously developed sites within the centres and others with high public transport accessibility, to be identified through the Site Allocations DPD.

Picture 32 Belmont Circle local centre



This sub area borders Brent to the South, with Kenton centre straddling the border. Kenton has been classified in the London Plan as a district centre and Belmont Circle is a local centre. They provide a range of shops and services to meet local residents' needs. Kenton in particular has a wide variety of shops, and is also home to a large format Sainsburys supermarket which is located on the Brent side of Kenton Road. Both centres are in need of some public realm improvements, especially along Kenton Road, and opportunities to improve this, and linkages with Northwick Park Station (in the London

Borough of Brent) approximately 200 metres away will be sought where possible. Belmont has no railway station serving it, and retains Metroland characteristics and a more suburban environment.

- 13.4 The area is well served by open space, including Kenton Recreation Ground, and borders a large area of Metropolitan Open Land at Stanmore Golf Course. An area of flood risk affects land to the east of Kenton centre along the Wealdstone Brook and, as with many areas of the Borough, parts of the watercourse are culverted including a substantial section through the recreation ground. A proposal to promote Kenton Recreation Ground as a model for 'green' local parks where floodwater attenuation and retention functions are integral, ecological diversity maximised, food production for local consumption encouraged and resident involvement in park management maximised through education, voluntary and paid employment has been accepted as meeting the criteria for phase one projects of the All London Green Grid. The most ambitious potential action involves the restoration of the brook through the recreation ground. The inclusion of this strategically important project, and the further investigation of the deculverting of the Wealdstone brook through Kenton Recreation Ground, will be supported.
- 13.5 Throughout Kenton and Belmont, investment will be directed to qualitative improvements which promote greater use of existing open spaces. Green Grid projects which enhance the biodiversity and accessibility value of the Belmont Trail will be prioritised, and opportunities to enhance the value of Vernon Drive amenity greenspace and Priestmead Recreation Ground will be encouraged.

13.6 There are no indoor sport facilities within the Kenton and Belmont sub area; however Harrow Leisure Centre is located at the interface of the sub area with the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area. Some outdoor sport pitches within the sub area are located within public open space and valuable additional provision is made by community access to school and sports club sites. Investment to increase pitch provision and multi-use games areas should focus on the Kenton area to help address the outdoor sport shortfall in the central PPG 17 study area and to address a significant gap in games area accessibility.

Core Policy CS 10

Kenton & Belmont

- A. Support development that contributes to the vitality of the Kenton and Belmont Circle centres and improve the public realm in both centres and the relationship with the Brent side of Kenton.
- B. A net contribution to housing supply will be sought as part of mixed use conversion or redevelopment of sites within Kenton district centre and Belmont Circle local centre.
- C. Improved access to Kenton via public transport will be sought to clearly link and improve the connection between Kenton Station and Northwick Park Station in the neighbouring London Borough of Brent.
- D. The Council will work with partners to secure flood mitigation measures along the Wealdstone Brook and where possible enhance the flood storage role of open space.
- E. Improvements to the Belmont Trail will be sought and promoted as part of the Borough's Green Grid strategy to help connect the area's green spaces.

Monitoring

Specific Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Contingencies
Improve the vitality and	Implementation of bus stop	Funded by 2026	No improvements or funded proposals for	Discuss with TfL and transport department;
public realm of Kenton	accessibility improvements		improvements in Kenton/Belmont centres	address reasons if necessary
andBelmont Circlecentres			by 2021	
by co-ordinating				
	Renewal/rationalisation of street	Renewal/rationalisation of	No published programme for	Discuss with public realm and highways
investment.	furniture and highway signage in	street furniture and signage	rationalisation/renewal by end 2017/18	departments; address reasons if necessary
	Kenton andBelmont Circlecentres	by end 2025/26		
			No funded proposals for implementation by	
			end 2020/21	
Promote better	Secure agreement with London	Agreement secured by end	No progress towards agreement by end	Identify reasons and address
connectivity between	Borough of Brent to work together to	2014/15	2013/14	
Kenton and Northwick	improve pedestrian connectivity			
Park London	between Kenton and NorthwickPark			
Underground	stations			

	T	Г		7
stations.	Investment in public realm and way	Additional signs within 2	No plans for improvements or new way	Review legible London
	finder sign improvements between	years as part of the Legible	finder signs by end 2016/17	
	Kenton and NorthwickPark stations.	London scheme by end		Sign distribution and secure funding from
		2017/18		other sources such as CIL to complete the
				required improvements.
Provide for improved	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
access to open space,	11.1.01 (Belmont Trail)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
through enhanced				alternative forms of funding
connectivity, having				
	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
regard to opportunities to	11.1.04 (Kenton Recreation Ground)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
enhance biodiversity and				alternative forms of funding
improve flood risk				
management.	Implementation of green grid project	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	11.1.04 (Street trees)		delivery by 2017/18	of Green Grid; seek opportunities for
				alternative forms of funding
	Implementation of Biodiversity Action	Implementation by 2025/26	No delivery or no funded proposals for	Review effectiveness and implementation
	Plan objectives to improve Biodiversity		delivery by 2017/18	of Biodiversity Action Plan; seek
	along the Belmont Nature Walk			opportunities for alternative forms of funding

14 Delivery Mechanisms

14.1 The achievement of the spatial strategy will require a comprehensive, proactive approach to be taken to bring forward sites for development, especially those that require site assembly or the re-provision or relocation of existing uses. Such an approach is predicated on there being a robust and deliverable policy framework as well as support from the development industry and other delivery partners to achieve this. The Council will actively manage delivery, using its influence and the statutory tools at its disposal, to ensure that sites made available are brought forward for development, that development meets expectations and that housing, jobs and infrastructure targets are delivered. The following section sets out the delivery mechanisms and arrangements that the Council will put in place to achieve this.

The London Plan

- 14.2 The Council will continue to work jointly with the Greater London Authority in connection with the implementation of the London Plan within Harrow. In particular, in relation to the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area, the future of strategic industrial locations at Stanmore and Wealdstone, the delivery of new homes and improving the quality of life for residents.
- 14.3 The London Plan forms part of the statutory development plan for Harrow and this also includes development management provisions which will be applied by the Council in its consideration of development proposals in the Borough.

Joint Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area

- 14.4 In consultation with local residents, the business community, landowners and developers, the Council and the Greater London Authority will prepare an Area Action Plan (AAP) for the Intensification Area (the preparation of the AAP will be subject to further public consultation and independent examination). The Area Action Plan will set out the development management policies and allocate sites for the delivery of development within the area. Policy provisions are likely to include building design, appropriate building heights across the area, sustainability requirements, transport improvements, parking, the management of employment land supply, flood risk management and the enhancement of the public realm. As set out in Core Policy 2 L, if deemed necessary or appropriate, the Council will also consider preparing a Supplementary Planning Document in support of the Area Action Plan that will focus on urban design considerations. Site allocations will make provision for the delivery and phasing of housing, retail, office and other strategic development within the area, having regard to the evidence base, including the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.
- 14.5 Consideration will be given through the Area Action Plan preparation process to the relationship of the Intensification Area with the delivery of wider spatial objectives for the Borough. This will include exploration of opportunities to increase connectivity, strategic transport links, the delivery of the Green Grid, and the impact of development within the Intensification Area upon the setting of Harrow Hill and views of St. Mary's Church.

Development Management Policies

14.6 Harrow's Development Management Policies DPD will focus on matters which require further, local, interpretation of national and regional policies and which give effect to the Core Strategy. Such matters may include:

- Protection of structural features: A presumption against harm to, and inappropriate development or uses of, Areas of Special Character, the Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land;
- Protection of identified views: Identify important Borough views and viewpoints; criteria based policies for safeguarding identified views;
- Protection of open space: A presumption against insensitive development or inappropriate
 uses of, all other forms of open space; support for quantitative, qualitative and access
 enhancements including reconfiguration;
- Management of sport, recreation and open space: Criteria-based support for enhancement and inclusive access to sports, recreation and open space facilities; support for inclusive access supplementary planning documents;
- **Protection of biodiversity**: A presumption against harm to, and inappropriate development or uses of, sites of nature conservation importance and green chains;
- Protection and enhancement of heritage: A presumption against harm to, and inappropriate
 development or uses of, scheduled ancient monuments, listed buildings, conservation areas,
 archaeological priority areas, historic parks and gardens; support for conservation area
 supplementary planning documents and their management strategies; criteria for the
 assessment of impacts on heritage assets will be provided;
- Protection of local character: Criteria based policies for suburban development and presumption against garden development; support for residential design supplementary planning documents;
- **Promotion of high quality and sustainable building design**: Policies to set out the main considerations for residential and non-residential building design outside of the Intensification Area; support for residential and sustainable design supplementary planning documents;
- Promotion of high quality and affordable housing: Policies for wheelchair and lifetime homes; requirements for affordable housing provision; support for accessible homes supplementary planning document;
- **Management of employment land**: Support for the economic redevelopment of employment land and criteria-based policies for the managed release of surplus land;
- Reduction of flood risk: Set out requirements for a sequential approach to site design, buffer space, sustainable urban drainage systems and greenfield run-off rates; criteria to secure opportunities for water environment enhancements, including deculverting and restoration;
- Promotion of town centre vitality and viability: Establish primary, secondary and tertiary
 frontages as appropriate within designated town centres and criteria based policies for retail
 and non-retail uses; criteria based policies for retail and non-retail uses within suburban
 parades not part of a town centre;
- **Promotion of sustainable transport**: A presumption in favour of development and uses which enhance sustainable transport provision; parking policies; and
- **Provision and protection of social and physical infrastructure**: Retaining existing community facilities, and establishing priorities for s.106 and arrangements for a Harrow Community Infrastructure Levy.

Site Allocations

14.7 The Site Allocations DPD will identify previously-developed sites for development outside the Intensification Area. The allocations will identify sites for mixed use development, housing, retail and employment and will specify the quantum of development anticipated, and any significant

site-specific constraint, to give landowners and developers the certainty that they need to bring sites forward for development. The document will also identify sites and requirements for infrastructure such as schools and community use as appropriate.

Joint West London Waste Plan

14.8 The West London Waste Plan DPD is being prepared jointly by the six boroughs in West London⁽²⁷⁾ to deal with the sub-region's waste over the next 15 years. The document will identify sites for waste management facilities and set out the planning policies that will be used to guide future waste development.

Supplementary Planning Documents

- 14.9 The Council has adopted two supplementary planning documents on accessibility: *Access for All* (2006) and *Accessible Homes* (2010) which provide detailed design guidance to achieve equality of access in new development and to secure 'lifetime' and 'wheelchair' accessible homes in residential development. The Council has also adopted a *Residential Design Guide* (2010) supplementary planning document which sets out design and layout guidelines for new development, conversions and householder extensions.
- 14.10 To address the impact of climate change the Council adopted a supplementary planning document on *Sustainable Building Design* (2009). It champions initiatives to incorporate sustainable design within proposals from the outset, to help reduce the impact of development and people's life choices on the environment.
- **14.11** In recognition of Harrow's significant heritage assets, the Council has adopted or is preparing supplementary planning documents in relation to all of the Borough's Conservation Areas: *Harrow-on-the-Hill Conservation Areas* (2008), *Pinner Conservation Areas* (2009), *Harrow Weald Conservation Areas* (in preparation) and *Stanmore and Edgware Conservation Areas* (in preparation).
- 14.12 Related to the production of supplementary planning documents for the Borough's conservation areas, management strategies identify specific opportunities to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of conservation areas. The strategies are a tool for co-ordinated decision making in relation to development management, investment in the public realm and other components important to the area. Implementation is managed by the Council's Conservation Team.
- **14.13** These supplementary planning documents will be reviewed and re-adopted, as appropriate, to supplement the provisions of the Core Strategy and the Development Management Policies DPD.

Delivery Plan

14.14 The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Delivery Plan, which sets out a list of social and physical infrastructure requirements needed to support sustainable development, promote economic growth, and improve the quality of life for residents. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan represents the beginnings of a capital works programme, coordinating the Council's, developer's, and delivery partner's investment in infrastructure in Harrow. While the Infrastructure Delivery

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Plan sets out criteria for prioritising infrastructure investment, it must remain flexible enough to respond to changing circumstances, including new funding opportunities or the ability to 'piggy-back' on existing funded projects where this might enable other strategic objectives to be realised with little additional investment. However, given the uncertainties that exist around most funding streams, especially those attached to proposed development and public capital works programmes, it is highly likely that the priorities for infrastructure investment will be subject to yearly review and updating, and might even require within year changes to be made. As such, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan is considered to be a living document, the monitoring of which is likely to be the only requirement for publishing.

14.15 To support the effective implementation and management of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, the Council will secure the services of a specialist project manager. Their role will be to document proposals for investment in infrastructure in Harrow by the Council, including those set out in the strategies listed below, those of Harrow's Local Strategic Partnership, as well as developer proposals or requirements. A second strand of the role will be to understand what other funding might be made available, its timing, any specific requirements attached and the likelihood for success for Harrow. This might include monies raised through development via Harrow's Community Infrastructure Levy or Section 106, bids for existing or new grants, or tapping into other European, central or regional project initiatives. The specialist part of this role comes in aligning these proposals and potential funding opportunities with the list of infrastructure projects and priorities set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and securing the coordination of their delivery.

Local Implementation Plan

14.16 Harrow's Local Implementation Plan sets out how the Borough proposes to implement the Mayor of London's Transport Strategy locally through policies, actions and a three-year programme of investment. The original Local Implementation Plan for 2005/06 to 2010/11 has now expired, and the Council has prepared a replacement known as LIP 2. The LIP 2 includes the following projects/actions:

- Harrow bus station: improvements to increase passenger capacity and bus standing space, and to enhance the interchange between the bus station and Harrow-on-Hill Station;
- Harrow-on-the-Hill Station: Harrow Council to continue to lobby Transport for London to include Harrow-on-the-Hill in its forward programme for station accessibility improvements;
- Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area: implement Legible London improvements between Harrow-on-the-Hill and Harrow & Wealdstone Stations (including wayfinding and realtime information at bus stops); completion of Station Road project to improve bus circulation and accessibility within Harrow town centre; liaise with Transport for London/Network Rail to enhance the quality and capacity of cycle parking at Harrow-on-the-Hill and Harrow & Wealdstone Stations;
- Rayners Lane: public realm improvements surrounding Rayners Lane Station and an improved footpath link to the new Strongbridge Close development; and
- Belmont Trail: as part of the Green Grid project, to make the Belmont Trail more cycle friendly and introduce wayfinding.

14.17 In addition to the above locationally specific projects/actions, LIP 2 schedules further projects/actions to improve transport across the Borough. They include a programme of bus stop upgrades to achieve disabled access; on-going review of bus services to ensure that residents are no more than 5 minutes' walk from a bus service; implementation of 20mph zones and controlled parking zones; installation of electric car charging points; and support for Travel Plans (including a Travel Plan website for schools). The Council is committed to the LIP 2 schedule but it should be noted that the anticipated timetable for identified projects and actions assumes no change in funding commitments.

Open Spaces Strategy & Tree Strategy

14.18 The Council has commissioned the preparation of a strategy for the management of the Borough's open spaces, to be completed during 2011. Following on from the PPG 17 Open Spaces, Sport and Recreation Study (2010), the strategy will involve a more in-depth assessment of use and demand for pitches, and set how Harrow will manage open space to meet competing sport, recreation, nature conservation and other needs. In particular, it will identify the qualitative improvements needed to enhance the capacity of existing pitches and to increase participation in sport by all sectors of the community, and will consider how open space typologies may be redistributed to meet the needs of existing and future residents.

14.19 The tree strategy will provide an audit of the condition and value of the Borough's trees and how they should be managed in the future.

Green Grid

- 14.20 As part of a London-wide network, Harrow has developed its own Green Grid projects to interconnect the Borough's greenspaces, and to identify detailed proposals for quality and accessibility enhancement. The schedule of projects are grouped into four strategic areas:
- Belmont Trail: Improvements to the Belmont Trail and its northward extension to form a continuous green connection from Wealdstone to public open space with the Green Belt;
- Western Rivers: Improvements and extensions to accessible sections of the River Pinn, The Roxbourne and Yeading Brook watercourses within the west area of the Borough;
- Brent River: Improvements and extensions to accessible sections of the Edgware and Kenton Brooks, forming part of the River Brent catchment, within the east area of the Borough; and
- Green Belt: Improvements to public rights of way and public open space within the Green Belt, across the north of the Borough.
- 14.21 The preparation of the Green Grid has been informed by the Council's Biodiversity Action Plan (2008) and Public Rights of Way Improvement Plan (2007). The Biodiversity Action Plan identifies actions in relation to important habitats & species within the Borough. The Rights of Way Improvement Plan sets out actions to enhance the extent, accessibility and information about the rights of way network.
- 14.22 In addition, the Green Grid seeks to co-ordinate the Council's street-tree planting programme to create strategic green routes through the Borough's urban and suburban areas as part of the overall network. The Grid incorporates the London Loop and Capital Ring strategic walking routes to encourage visitors to enjoy Harrow's green spaces, and it is hoped that the Grid and its projects will encourage greater local appreciation of the Borough's valuable open space

Harrow Core Strategy Final

assets. The development of the Green Grid has been informed by Harrow's Biodiversity Action Plan with many individual projects designed to deliver improvements to the quality of and access to nature.

14.23 The Council will employ its own staff and other available resources, and work with partners as appropriate, to deliver the Green Grid. This will include co-ordination of the use of existing resources associated with open space maintenance and street tree planting. Potential links through private land have been identified and realisation of these will be sought through negotiation or as part of development proposals, as appropriate. Financial contributions from development towards the implementation of projects may also be sought where this meets the tests for use of planning obligations.

Property Strategy and Joint Ventures

14.24 The Council does not have an extensive property portfolio but does own a number of potentially important strategic sites across the Borough, especially within the Harrow and Wealdstone Intensification Area. Where surplus to operational requirements, these sites will be released for development. This may involved simply marketing and disposing of some sites but in other circumstances a more strategic approach will be taken, where such sites are required to provide a catalyst for further development and regeneration, or to deliver upon other strategic requirements, including affordable housing, economic development or infrastructure provision. In such circumstances the Council will consider entering into joint venture arrangements, to ensure the maximum benefit of a site or sites is achieved.

Development Briefs

14.25 Development briefs will be prepared for Council owned sites where it is considered that they would give effect to the development and best use of the Borough's land assets. Such briefs will be used to masterplan and market sites.

Joint Working Arrangements

- 14.26 Harrow participates in the London-Luton-Bedford growth-corridor partnership and is part of the West London Partnership group of Boroughs. The Council will use these existing links to work with neighbouring boroughs, as required, to address cross-boundary matters at the centres of Edgware, Burnt Oak, Kingsbury and Kenton.
- 14.27 Harrow also forms a part of the Brent Valley and Barnet Plateau Green Grid Framework. Through the Framework the Council will work with the London Borough of Brent to explore the possibility of an improved pedestrian link between Kenton London Overground Station and Northwick Park London Undergound Station.

Compulsory Purchase Orders

14.28 Although a tool of last resort, the Council will use compulsory purchase orders when necessary to enable development that is in the public interest and which is consistent with the spatial strategy set out in the Core Strategy. Developers will be expected to meet the full cost to the Council of compulsory purchase.

Community Infrastructure Levy and Planning Obligations

- 14.29 Upon adoption of the Core Strategy the Council will prepare and consult upon a Community Infrastructure Levy to secure appropriate contributions from development towards the cost of physical and social infrastructure made necessary by growth in the Borough.
- 14.30 Planning Obligations under section 106 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 will continue to have a role in relation to site specific infrastructure and other requirements. Developers will be expected to meet the full cost to the Council of Planning Obligations (including monitoring).

Tax Incremental Financing


14.31 In the event of legislation to enable Tax Incremental Financing⁽²⁸⁾, the Council will consider prudent borrowing to fund infrastructure projects which support economic development and growth. Projects will only be pursued where the resulting economic development and growth accords with the spatial strategy set out in the Core Strategy.

Annual Monitoring Reports

- 14.32 The Council will continue to produce reports annually to monitor the effective implementation of the Core Strategy and other development and supplementary planning documents. In relation to the Core Strategy, measures have been developed which will monitor the effectiveness of delivery in relation to the objectives for the spatial strategy, the overarching policy and the sub area policies. Where monitoring indicates that objectives are not being met, the reasons for this will be investigated and appropriate remedial action (which may include a review of policy) taken.
- 14.33 A critical component of the report will be the monitoring of housing delivery and the realisation of the spatial strategy. The delivery of housing against London Plan targets is undertaken annually and this will continue. The realisation of the spatial strategy will take place over a longer period, potentially subject to the effects of short term economic conditions. For this reason, the monitoring arrangements include trajectories against key components of delivery and triggers at appropriate intervals throughout the Plan period.
- 14.34 In the event that monitoring reveals the need for intervention, the Council will consider the appropriate contingency which may include the release of land safeguarded for other uses, policy revisions or re-assessment of the impacts of Community Infrastructure Levy and other planning obligations upon development viability. Such contingencies will be considered and brought forward by the Council on a strategic planning basis and should not be determined by individual sites/proposals.

Appendix A Housing Trajectory

Picture 33 Harrow's Housing Trajectory: Manage Line



A.1 The graph above shows Harrow's past and projected housing completions for the period 2009/10 to the end of the Plan period in 2025/26. It includes the Borough's past and present London Plan annualised targets, and a manage line showing the outstanding balance of completions relative to cumulative delivery.

Housing Trajectory: Phasing by Sub Area

Sub Area	2009/10 to 2010/11	2011/12 to 2015/16	2016/17 to 2020/21	2021/22 to 2025/26	Totals
Harrow and Wealdstone	189	1,408	871	310	2,778
Harrow-on-the-Hill and Sudbury Hill	49	88	43	120	300
South Harrow	247	59	0	100	406
Rayners Lane and North Harrow	121	135	0	169	425
Pinner and Hatch End	34	105	22	0	161
Stanmore and Harrow Weald	9	485	64	154	712
Edgware and Burnt Oak	218	895	0	116	1,229

Sub Area	2009/10 to 2010/11	2011/12 to 2015/16	2016/17 to 2020/21	2021/22 to 2025/26	Totals
Kingsbury and Queensbury	17	8	0	0	25
Kenton and Belmont	10	10	0	0	20
Totals	894	3,193	1,000	969	6,056

- A.2 PPS3 requires the Core Strategy to identify broad locations and specific sites to enable a continuous supply of housing for at least 15 years from the date of the Core Strategy's adoption. The Harrow Core Strategy must plan for housing provision to 2026.
- A.3 At the regional level, the London Plan (2011) is the strategic development strategy for London, and sets out an annual and 10 year housing target for each borough. For Harrow, this is 350 and 3,500 new homes respectively between 2011/12 to 2021/22. The London Plan does not set a strategic housing target for the Borough beyond 2021/22. The Core Strategy therefore assumes a continuation of the 2011 annualised target of 350 dwellings per annum to 2026. Prior to the adoption of the London Plan (2011) Harrow's annualised target was 400 dwellings per annum⁽²⁹⁾. The preparation of the London Plan (2011) was informed by a London-wide Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment/Housing Capacity Study carried out in 2009, and 2009 is therefore taken as the starting point for establishing the overall housing requirement in this Core Strategy and for monitoring purposes. For the years 2009/10 and 2010/11 the annualised target of 400 dwellings per annum is used.
- A.4 To deliver the requirements of the London Plan (2011), the quantity of housing to be planned for and delivered through the Harrow LDF between 2009/10 and 2025/26 is a minimum of 6,050. This is based on the sum of 800 dwellings for the period 2009/10 to 2010/11 and 5,250 dwellings for the period 2011/12 to 2025/26.
- A.5 Policy CS1 H undertakes to allocate sufficient previously developed land to deliver the minimum of 6,050 net additional homes over the Plan period without reliance on windfall to achieve this. Nevertheless, as set out in paragraph 4.14, the Council still expects to receive planning applications for windfall site development, and where these represent appropriate development, they are expected to make a contribution to housing supply over and above the sufficient allocated sites. Such windfall development is not reflected in the housing trajectory, which is based on identified sites with or without planning permission together with completion data for the years 2009/10 and 2010/11 with an estimated capacity to deliver 6,056 homes.

Appendix B Town Centre Hierarchy and Neighbourhood Parades

Town Centres

- B.1 Annex 2 to the London Plan identifies a hierarchy of centres, based on size, role and function, for London's town centre network. The hierarchy is as follows:
- International centres: the West End and Knightsbridge;
- Metropolitan centres: multi-borough catchments, 100,000m² retail floorspace including a high proportion of comparison shops, good accessibility and a mix of other employment and leisure uses present;
- **Major centres**: borough-wide catchment, 50,000m² retail floorspace including a high proportion of comparison shops, and may also have a mix of other employment, leisure or civic uses;
- **District centres**: district catchment, 10-50,000m² retail floorspace providing mainly convenience shops and local services or specialist functions;
- Local and neighbourhood centres: small centres or parades providing mainly convenience shops and walk-to services for a local catchment.
- B.2 The London Plan classifies Harrow town centre as a Metropolitan centre and Edgware town centre (located mainly within the London Borough of Barnet) as a Major centre⁽³⁰⁾. A further nine Harrow centres are classified in the London Plan as district centres, including Burnt Oak (which straddles the London Boroughs of Brent and Barnet), Kingsbury (located mainly within the London Borough of Brent) and Kenton (partly located within the London Borough of Brent).
- B.3 Local and neighbourhood centres are classified at borough level. In Harrow five centres have been classified as local centres. Kenton has historically been classified as a local centre but is now shown as a district centre, to reflect its classification in the London Plan and consistent with its wider role and function⁽³¹⁾.
- B.4 The following table shows the status of all town centres in Harrow, the boundaries of which are identified on the proposals map. The Development Management Policies DPD will identify the primary and secondary frontages, as appropriate, and set out policies for the control of development and uses pursuant to the vitality and viability of these centres. The Site Allocations DPD will identify the extent of the primary shopping area in relevant centres.

Harrow's Town Centres

Core Strategy Sub Area	Centre	Status
Harrow and Wealdstone	Harrow town centre	Metropolitan
	Wealdstone district centre	District
Harrow-on-the-Hill and Sudbury	Sudbury Hill local centre	Local
South Harrow	South Harrow district centre	District

³⁰ Note that Edgware centre is shown in the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004) as a district centre.

³¹ however Kenton continues to be designated as a local centre in Brent's Core Strategy.

Core Strategy Sub Area	Centre	Status
Rayners Lane and North	Rayners Lane district centre	District
Harrow	North Harrow district centre	District
Pinner and Hatch End	Pinner district centre	District
	Hatch End local centre	Local
Stanmore and Harrow	Stanmore district centre	District
Weald	Harrow Weald local centre	Local
Edgware and Burnt Oak	Edgware town centre	Major
	Burnt Oak district centre	District
Kingsbury and Queensbury	Kingsbury district centre	District
	Queensbury local centre	Local
Kenton and Belmont Circle	Kenton district centre	District
	Belmont local centre	Local

Neighbourhood Parades

B.5 Although not a part of the main town centre network, neighbourhood parades nevertheless perform an important function providing small convenience shops and services. They typically serve a mainly 'walk to' catchment within the immediate locality in which they are situated and therefore contribute to more sustainable lifestyles as well as providing affordable premises for independent retailers and service providers. The following table shows the general locations of neighbourhood parades within the Borough. The Development Management Policies DPD will set out policies for the control of development and uses pursuant to the continued functioning of these neighbourhood parades. The Site Allocations DPD will identify the premises in further detail.

Harrow's Neighbourhood Parades

Core Strategy Sub Area	Parade
Harrow and	Headstone Drive/Headstone Gardens/Harrow View/The Quadrant
Wealdstone	Hindes Road/Headstone Road
	Station Road (between Harrow town centre and Wealdstone district centre)
Harrow-on-the-Hill	Northolt Road (east of Brooke Avenue junction)
and Sudbury	Northolt Road (Roxeth Hill junction)
	Shaftesbury Circle

Core Strategy Sub Area	Parade
	High Street, Harrow-on-the-Hill*
South Harrow	Eastcote Lane/Field End Road
	Eastcote Lane (east & west of Kings Road junction)
	Alexandra Avenue (north of Eastcote Lane junction)
	The Heights/Dabbs Hill Lane
	Northolt Road (east of Petts Hill/Alexandra Avenue junction)
	Northolt Road (between Park Lane and Corbins Lane junctions)
Rayners Lane and	Alexandra Avenue (southward continuation of Rayners Lane district centre)
North Harrow	Headstone Lane (north of Parkfield Avenue junction)
	Pinner Road (between Pinner View and Devonshire Road)
	Vaughan Road (West Harrow)
	Blenheim Road (West Harrow)
Pinner and Hatch	Cannon Lane (junction Whittington Way/Lyncroft Avenue)
End	Pinner Green (opposite and east of Ash Hill Drive junction)
	Long Elmes (east of Headstone Lane junction)
Stanmore and	Brockhurst Corner (Uxbridge Road/Kenton Lane junction)
Harrow Weald	Stanmore Hill (south of Green Lane junction)
	Canons Corner (London Road junction)
	Kenton Lane/College Hill Road (junction)
Edgware and	Whitchurch Lane (west of Canons Park Underground Station)
Burnt Oak	Whitchurch Lane (opposite Mead Road)
	Buckingham Road/Chandos Crescent (junction)
	Mollison Way (between Cotman Gardens and Constable Gardens)
Kingsbury and	Honeypot Lane (south of Everton Drive junction)
Queensbury	Kenton Road (east and west of Charlton Road junction)
Kenton and	Honeypot Lane (south of Brick Lane junction)
Belmont Circle	Kenton Lane (between Ivanhoe Drive and Hartford Avenue junctions)

Core Strategy Sub Area	Parade	
	Kenton Road (east of Kenton Lane junction)	

^{*}The core shopping area of Harrow-on-the-Hill is identified at Appendix 1 of the Harrow-on-the-Hill Conservation Areas Supplementary Planning Document (2008). The SPD makes sets out the conservation area considerations relevant to proposals for change of use and alterations within the identified core shopping area.

Appendix C Evidence Base and Strategies

C.1 There are a number of documents that have been developed for the Local Development Framework that provide a broad range of evidence for all LDF documents, and have helped inform the policies contained within the Core Strategy.

C.2 Housing

- Housing Needs Assessement 2006
- GLA Housing Capacity Study SHLAA 2009
- West London Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) 2010

C.3 Retail

Harrow Retail Study 2009

C.4 Employment

Harrow Employment Land Study 2010

C.5 Environmental

- Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 1 (2009)
- Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 2 (2011)
- Characterisation Study (2011)

C.6 Leisure and Cultural

- PPG 17 Sports, Recreation and Open Space Study, 2011
- London Borough of Harrow Provision for Sports Halls Report (January 2009)
- London Borough of Harrow Provision for Swimming Report (January 2009)

C.7 Infrastructure

- Transport Audit 2010
- Local Implementation Plan 2 (2011)
- Sustainable Community Strategy 2009
- Development Appraisals and Viability Testing (2011)
- Infrastructure Assessment and Delivery Plan (2011)
- C.8 Similarly, the Core Strategy has also been developed having regard to other Council and partners' strategies to help deliver relevant aims and objectives contained within them. The key strategies are listed below:
- Biodiversity Action Plan (2007)
- Harrow Sustainable Community Strategy (2009)
- Children and Young People Strategy (2009-11)
- Climate Change Strategy (2010)
- Crime, Disorder and Drugs Strategy (2005-08)
- Cultural Strategy (2006-08)
- Empty Homes Strategy (2002-07)

Harrow Core Strategy Final

- Enterprising Harrow Strategy (2007)
- Green Belt Management Strategy (2006-11)
- Homelessness Strategy (2008-13)
- Housing Strategy (2007-12)
- Joint Carers' Strategy Making Change Happen (2008-11)
- Local Economic Assessment (2011)
- Multi-agency Strategy for development of services for refugees and asylum in Harrow (2004)
- Older Persons' Housing Review (2005)
- Play Strategy (2007-12)
- Rights of Way Improvement Plan (2007)
- Supporting People Strategy (2008-11)
- Supported Accommodation Strategy (2010)
- Supported Accommodation Delivery (2011)
- Tourism Strategy (2009-12)
- West London Sub Regional Transport Strategy (2006)
- West London Waste Authority Plan (2008)

C.9 Alongside these evidence base documents and strategies, the Core Strategy has also been developed through four stages of public consultation, and the detail of these consultations, and how the Council has responded to representations, is available on the Council website, and is called a Regulation 30 statement. www.harrow.gov.uk/ldf

Appendix D Schedule of Superseded, Deleted and Saved UDP Policies

D.1 The table below lists both the policies deleted by the Secretary of State on 28th September 2007, and the policies proposed to be deleted upon adoption of the Core Strategy. The policies to be deleted upon adoption of the Core Strategy will remain extant until this time.

Harrow Unitary Development Plan (2004) Schedule of Policies

Chapter	Policy	Title	Date of Deletion
Strategic Context	S1	Form of Development and Pattern of Land Use	Upon adoption of Core Strategy
	SEP1	Energy Use and Conservation	28 th September 2007
	SEP2	Water	28 th September 2007
	SEP3	Waste - General Principles	28 th September 2007
	SEP4	Biodiversity and Natural Heritage	28 th September 2007
	SEP5	Structural Features	Upon adoption of Core Strategy
	SEP6	Areas of Special Character, Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land	28 th September 2007
	SD1	Quality of Design	28 th September 2007
	SD2	Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Sites of Archaeological Importance and Historic Parks & Gardens	28 th September 2007
	SD3	Mixed Use Development	28 th September 2007
	ST1	Land Uses and the Transport Network	28 th September 2007
	ST2	Traffic Management	28 th September 2007
	ST4	London-Wide Transport Investment	Upon adoption of Core Strategy
	ST5	London-Wide Traffic Restraint Proposals	Upon adoption of Core Strategy
	SH1	Housing Provision and Housing Need	28 th September 2007
	SH2	Housing Types and Mix	28 th September 2007

Chapter	Policy	Title	Date of Deletion
	SEM1	Development and the Borough's Regeneration Strategy	Upon adoption of the Core Strategy
	SEM3	Proposals for New Employment Generating Development	28 th September 2007
	SR1	Open-Air Leisure and Sporting Activities	28 th September 2007
	SC1	Provision of Community Services	28 th September 2007
	SI1	Implementation and Resources	Upon adoption of the Core Strategy
	SI2	Monitoring and Review	28 th September 2007
Environmental Protection and	EP7	Renewable Energy	28 th September 2007
Open Space	EP8	Energy Conservation and Efficiency	28 th September 2007
	EP9	Water Quality, Supply and Disposal	28 th September 2007
	EP10	Sustainable Urban Drainage	28 th September 2007
	EP11	Development within Floodplains	Upon adoption of the Core Strategy
	EP13	Culverting and Deculverting	Upon adoption of the Core Strategy
	EP17	Waste Generating Activities	28 th September 2007
	EP18	Landfilling	28 th September 2007
	EP19	Aggregates	28 th September 2007
	EP20	Use of Previously-Developed Land	Upon adoption of the Core Strategy
	EP21	Vacant and Disused Land and Buildings	Upon adoption of the Core Strategy
	EP24	Air Quality	28 th September 2007
	EP28	Conserving and Enhancing Biodiversity	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy

Chapter	Policy	Title	Date of Deletion
	EP32	Green Belt Acceptable Land Uses	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	EP33	Development in the Green Belt	28 th September 2007
	EP48	Public Open Space	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
Design and the	D6	Design in Employment Areas	28 th September 2007
Built Environment	D8	Storage of Waste, Recyclable and Reusable Materials in New Developments	28 th September 2007
	D13	The Use of Statutorily Listed Buildings	28 th September 2007
	D16	Conservation Area Priority	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	D17	Article Four Direction	28 th September 2007
	D26	Advertisements & Signs on Buildings	28 th September 2007
	D27	Free-Standing Advertisements	28 th September 2007
	D28	Advertisement Hoardings	28 th September 2007
Transport	Т7	Improving Public Transport Facilities	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	Т8	Rail Freight Transport	28 th September 2007
	Т9	Walking	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	T10	Cycling	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	T11	Cycle and Motor Cycle Parking in Public Places	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
Housing	H4	Residential Density	28 th September 2007
	H5	Affordable Housing	28 th September 2007
	Н6	Affordable Housing Target	28 th September 2007
	Н9	Conversion	28 th September 2007

Chapter	Policy	Title	Date of Deletion
	H12	Houses in Multiple Occupations	28 th September 2007
	H16	Travellers	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	H18	Accessible Homes	28 th September 2007
Employment, Town Centres	EM4	New Office Developments	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
and Shopping	EM5	New and Large-Scale Retail and Leisure and Other Developments	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	EM8	Enhancing Town Centres	28 th September 2007
	EM11	Regeneration Areas	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	EM23	Environmental Impact of Existing Business	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
Recreation, Leisure and	R3	Public Open Space	28 th September 2007
Tourism	R6	Informal Recreation	28 th September 2007
	R9	Indoor Sports Facilities	28 th September 2007
	R10	Arts, Culture and Entertainment	28 th September 2007
	R12	Change of Use Above Ground Floor Level to Arts, Culture, Entertainment and Leisure	28 th September 2007
	R14	Tourism	28 th September 2007
Community Services and	C4	Nursery Provision in other Premises	28 th September 2007
Accessibility	C5	Nursery and Childcare Facilities	28 th September 2007
	C6	First and Middle Schools	28 th September 2007
	C9	Community Protection and Emergency Services	28 th September 2007
	C12	Community Protection and Emergency Services	28 th September 2007
	C13	Statutory Bodies and Utility Companies	28 th September 2007

Chapter	Policy	Title	Date of Deletion
Implementation, Resources and	13	Planning Obligations and Legal Agreements	28 th September 2007
Monitoring	14	Enforcement	28 th September 2007
	16	Supplementary Planning Guidance and Planning Briefs	28 th September 2007
	17	Public Consultation	28 th September 2007
Schedules	8	Use Classes Order	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy
	9	Key Document References	Upon Adoption of the Core Strategy

D.2 The following table lists the proposals sites to be deleted upon adoption of the Core Strategy. These were identified on the UDP Proposals Map 2004 and are not illustrated on the Core Strategy Proposals Map. The remaining proposals sites to be carried forward are illustrated on the Core Strategy Proposals Map which accompanies this document. There are no other changes to planning designations on the proposals map as a result of Core Strategy policies. Changes will be made as necessary during the preparation of other DPDs, as the Proposals Map is a 'live document'.

UDP Proposal Sites To Be Deleted Upon Adoption Of Core Strategy

Site	Proposal	Comment
Former Harrow Hospital and Nurses Hostel, Roxeth Hill (PS13)	Residential or community use	Development completed for residential
Former Kings Head Hotel, High Street, Harrow on the Hill (PS14)	Hotel, A3 or residential	Development completed for residential
TA Centre, Honeypot Lane, Kingsbury (PS17)	Retail, housing or community use	Defence Estates has indicated that this site is not currently earmarked for disposal.
Eastern Electricity Plc, the Brember Day Centre and land in Stanley Road (PS19)	Industrial Development	Electricity site completed for residential; day centre part of Brember Road Industrial Estate
Roxeth Allotments (PS20)	Public open space, subject to release from allotment use	Consider through open space strategy following PPG17 study
Roxeth Nursery, Roxeth Green Avenue (PS22)	Residential and / or Business Use	Development completed for residential

Site	Proposal	Comment
BAE Systems, Warren Lane (PS25)	Housing	Development completed for residential
Former Government Offices, Honeypot Lane (PS27)	Comprehensive development for B1/B2/B8 use or business/residential.	Development completed for residential and live/work premises
24-28 Station Road (PS28)	Community use (place of worship) and affordable housing	Development completed for Mosque
Ex BR site, Cecil Road (PS34)	Business use	Development completed for business use
Wealdstone library/youth centre, Grant Road	Residential	Development completed for residential

Appendix E Glossary

Affordable Housing Housing which is subsidised and available to people whose incomes mean that they are unable to otherwise meet their housing needs locally via the open housing market. Such housing is classified as either Social Rented Housing or Intermediate Housing which meet the criteria as set out in the London Plan. Affordable Housing would include homes that are rented, under shared ownership and key worker housing.

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) The Annual Monitoring Report assesses the progress and the effectiveness of the Council's LDF against indicators set by the Government and the Local Authority. In particular AMRs assess the LDF based on the effectiveness of the policies in achieving targets, intended consequences and whether sustainable development is being delivered, as well as whether the assumptions and objectives behind the policies are still relevant.

Area Action Plan (AAP) A type of development plan document focused upon a specific location or an area subject to conservation or significant change (for example, major regeneration). In Harrow, this comprises an Area Action Plan for the Harrow & Wealdstone Intensification Area.

Biodiversity The range and variety of life (including plants, animals and micro-organisms) as well as habitats, ecosystems and ecological processes.

Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) The Harrow Biodiversity Action Plan was adopted in 2008 and identifies habitats and species of importance to the Borough's biodiversity, as well as a programme of costed projects for the protection and/or enhancement of these habitats and species.

BREEAM This is an acronym of the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method. The method applies a series of standards which are designed to address the environmental impact of buildings and are updated in line with the national Building Regulations. Credits are awarded in relation to the following impacts: management, health & wellbeing, energy, transport, water, material & waste, land use & ecology, and pollution. A set of environmental weightings then enables the credits to be added together to produce a single overall score of pass, good, very good or excellent.

Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Carbon dioxide is a chemical compound produced naturally by plants and animals, and emitted by the burning of fossil fuels. CO2 is a 'greenhouse gas', meaning that it is one of the gases which has been found to trap heat within the Earth's atmosphere and a major contributor to climate change.

Climate Change Climate change is any long-term significant change in the "average weather" that a given region experiences. Average weather may include average temperature, precipitation and wind patterns. Mainstream scientific consensus suggests a link between human activity and recent climate change.

Code for Sustainable Homes This code is a Government accreditation system for measuring the sustainability of new housing development. Like the BREEAM standard it measures the environmental performance of new homes, but it also gives credit for 'health and wellbeing' measures such as daylight, sound insulation and lifetime homes, and for 'good management' such as considerate contractor measures and site security.

Combined Heat and Power (CHP) CPH is the simultaneous generation of usable heat and power (usually electricity) in a single process that can be used within the local area.

Community Facilities These include leisure and culture facilities (including arts, entertainment and indoor sport facilities but excluding outdoor sports assets), community offices and meeting places (including places of worship, libraries), facilities for children (from nursery provision to youth clubs), education (including adult education), social services, police and emergency services facilities, primary healthcare facilities (except for the use of premises attached to the residence of the consultant or practitioner), public toilets and facilities for cyclists.

Community Infrastructure Levy The Community Infrastructure Levy is a new levy that local authorities can choose to charge on new developments in their area. The money can be used to support development by funding infrastructure that the council, local community and neighbourhoods want.

Comparison Goods This term is used to describe the retailing of goods such as clothing, household goods, furniture, DIY, electricals etc. for which consumers usually 'shop around'.

Conservation Area An area of special architectural or historic interest, the character of which is desirable to preserve or enhance. Conservation Areas are usually designated by the Council although the Secretary of State can also designate them.

Convenience Goods This term is used to describe the retailing of goods purchased on a regular basis, typically food, groceries, cleaning products etc.

Core Output Indicator (COI) This is a set of indicators included within the AMR devised and employed at national and regional level to develop consistency between datasets on issues of strategic importance such as housing, employment and the environment.

Cultural Heritage Buildings and other structures considered to be of a special architectural or historic quality or interest. This includes, but may not be limited to, Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas.

Deculverting A culvert is a conduit used to enclose a flowing body of water. During the development boom of the 1920/30s many of Harrow's brooks and streams were culverted. Deculverting is the process of re-opening culverted waterways.

Demographic Projections These are projections of population change given as total figures but are also provided broken-down by age, sex and ethnicity. In London demographic projections are provided by the GLA's Data Management and Analysis Group. The 'round' refers to period in which the projections were made, so the '2008 Round' refers to the projections prepared in 2008.

Density (dwellings) Measure used to describe the numbers of housing units within a given area, usually expressed in terms of the number of habitable rooms per hectare. The site area would include the total area within the defined site including roads within the site and also private garden space, car parking space, incidental open space and landscaping, and children's play areas where these are provided.

Destination Parks A classification for parks in Harrow which serve the needs of residents over a wider area than just those who live in the immediate vicinity of the park. These are: Harrow Weald Common; Canons Park; Byron Recreation Ground; Pinner Memorial Park; Stanmore Country Park; Harrow Recreation Ground; West Harrow Recreation Ground; and Headstone Manor Recreation Ground.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Development Plan Documents (DPDs) Documents that help to make up the Local Development Framework including the Core Strategy, Proposals Map, Site Specific Allocations, Area Action Plans.

District Centre This is the term used for those town centres that are larger than local centres, serving a district-wide catchment, but have a more limited role and function than major or metropolitan centres. The London Plan defines district centres as those comprising between 10,000 and 50,000 square metres retail floorspace and providing mainly convenience shops and local services or specialist functions.

Centres which provide a retail function for local communities together with a range of other services including financial services, restaurants and cafes. These centres also fulfil an important function as a focus for the local community they serve as well as a public transport node.

Economy The system of human activities related to the production, distribution, exchange, and consumption of goods and services. Harrow's economy is part of the wider London, United Kingdom and world economy.

Environment Includes the 'natural' environment (air water, land, plants and animals and all associated cycle and ecosystems) and the 'built' environment (buildings and other structures built by humans).

Greenspace Information for Greater London (GIGL) is the biodiversity records resource for London.

Greater London Assembly The assembly is the body of elected members which scrutinises the activities of the Mayor of London and is a part of the governance of the Greater London Authority.

Greater London Authority (GLA) The regional tier of Government covering London. It is led by the Mayor of London and he is held to account by the London Assembly.

Green Belt An area subject to special control under a national designation. The purpose of Green Belts is to protect the countryside from further development. There is a general presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Green Grid An interconnected, integrated network of green, open spaces that can include waterways, parks, footpaths, and other corridors to link open spaces.

Harrow Strategic Partnership (HSP) The Partnership brings together a group of people who represent the statutory, private, business, community and voluntary sectors in Harrow. Community views and partners' data are used by the Partnership to shape and influence the Borough's priorities in the Sustainable Community Strategy.

Hectare A hectare is a unit of measuring area, comprising 10,000m²

Housing Needs Assessment This was an assessment carried out by Fordham Research on behalf of Harrow Council to provide an overview of the housing situation in the Borough.

Hub and Spoke The hub and spoke model is a commonly used term to describe a larger, central node linked to smaller, satellite points. In service provision, this would mean a high level or central service unit supported by smaller, localised service units.

Intermediate Housing Defined by the London Plan as sub-market housing which is above target rents, but is substantially below open market levels. This category can include shared ownership and other sub-market rent provision as well as key worker housing.

Intensification Area A London Plan designation, Intensification Areas are typically built-up areas with good existing or potential public transport accessibility which can support redevelopment at higher densities. They have significant capacity for new jobs and homes (but at a level below that which can be achieved in the sister designation 'opportunity areas'). The London Plan identifies Harrow & Wealdstone as an intensification area to provide at least 1,500 new homes and with an indicative employment capacity of 2,000 jobs.

Key Stakeholders A person or organisation with a legitimate interest in various aspects of the planning process in Harrow.

Lifetime Homes A Lifetime Home is a dwelling that conforms to standards (the Lifetime Homes Standards) which make it accessible to disabled people and flexible enough to be adapted for the needs of occupiers throughout a life cycle.

Listed Building A building that is of national, architectural or historic importance. The Secretary of State (Department of Media, Culture and Sport) is responsible for the Statutory List of Buildings of Architectural or Historic Interest. Any building they deem to be of national historic and architectural value can be added to this list, and therefore becomes a listed building.

Local Centre This is the term used for those town centres that are serving only a local catchment, providing mainly convenience shops and walk-to services.

Local Development Documents (LDD) Individual planning documents comprising of Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents.

Local Development Framework (LDF) The portfolio of planning documents that makes up the Development Plan for a Local Authority.

Local Development Scheme (LDS) The Council's time-scaled programme for the preparation of Local Development Documents that must be agreed with the Greater London Authority and reviewed every year.

Local Implementation Plan 2 (LIP2) This is a statutory document which sets out how the Council together with its partners will deliver integrated transport improvements over the next five years.

Local Indicator This is a set of indicators included within the AMR devised and employed locally on issues of local importance such as housing, employment and the environment.

London Plan The London Plan provides a vision and strategic guidance on planning matters for the whole of London. The document is prepared by the Mayor of London.

Major Centre This is the term used for those town centres that are larger than local and district centres, serving a borough-wide catchment, but have a more modest role and function than metropolitan centres. The London Plan defines major centres as those comprising over 50,000 square metres retail floorspace, with a high proportion of comparison shops, and where a mix of other town centre uses may also be present.

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Metropolitan Centre This is the term used for a small number of centres throughout London which fall below the international centres of Knightsbridge and the West End. The London Plan defines metropolitan centres as those which have multi-borough catchments and comprise around 100,000 square metres retail floorspace, with good accessibility and a mix of other town centre uses including employment and leisure.

Mixed Use Development A development that contains two or more uses e.g. residential, employment, leisure, and community uses

Neighbourhood Parades This is the term used for small parades of shops outside of designated town centres which serve the immediate neighbourhoods in which they are located, providing convenience shops and other commercial uses.

Planning Obligations (sometimes known as Section 106 Agreements) These are legal obligations through which developers undertake to fulfil planning requirements that cannot otherwise be dealt with as a condition of planning permission or through the CIL. Typically they are site specific and include the transfer of homes to Registered Social Landlords (RSLs) to be provided as affordable housing.

Place Shaping This is a term used in Planning Policy Statement 12 Local Spatial Planning (2008) to describe the process of creating unique, distinctive places. The PPS points out that, today, local authorities' place shaping functions are more likely to be fulfilled in partnership with the public, private and voluntary sectors with direct input from local communities.

Planning Policy Statements (PPS) Statements of national planning policies set out by Communities and Local Government which are gradually replacing Planning Policy Guidance (PPG).

Post HUDP Indicator This is a set of indicators included within the AMR devised following the adoption of the Harrow Unitary Development Plan (HUDP) 2004 to measure its effectiveness.

Previously Developed Land Previously developed land, often referred to as brownfield land, is defined at Annex B of the Government's Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing (2006): 'Previously-developed land is that which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated fixed surface infrastructure'. The definition expressly excludes amongst other things: private gardens, land occupied by agricultural or forestry buildings; and land in built-up areas such as parks, recreation grounds and allotments which, although may feature paths, pavilions and other buildings, hes not previously been developed. There is no presumption that land that is previously developed is necessarily suitable for housing development nor that the whole of the curtilage should be developed.

Proposals Map A map that forms an integral part of the LDF and which identifies sites/areas to which particular policies apply.

Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL) The extent and ease of access, by public transport, from one place to another. Usually given a rating from 1 to 6, the PTAL is calculated taking into account the distance from any given point to the nearest public transport stops and the frequency of the service from those stops. On the scale, 6 is close to public transport and 1 is further away.

Registered Social Landlords (RSLs) These are Housing Associations, supported by the Homes and Communities Agency to provide affordable housing.

Regulation 27 This refers to the relevant regulation of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004, as amended by the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2008, which requires public consultation on development plan documents before submission with regards to their soundness.

Section 106 Contributions See 'Planning Obligations'

Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) These are sites of biodiversity significance, identified and kept under review by Greenspace Information for Greater London (GIGL). Sites are classified in the following order of importance: Sites of Metropolitan Importance; Sites of Borough Importance (Grade I); Sites of Borough Importance (Grade II); and Sites of Local Importance.

Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) An area that Natural England designates for its special nature conservation interest, which can include land or water containing plants, animals, geological features or land forms of special interest and which therefore must be protected, Sites are protected under the provisions of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended),

Social Rented Housing Defined by the London Plan as housing provided to rent by the Local Authority or Registered Social Landlords. Rents are substantially below open market levels and are no higher than target rents set by the government for housing association and local authority rents at a level that are genuinely affordable by local people whose incomes mean that they are unable to otherwise meet their housing needs.

Spatial Development Strategy Provides a vision and strategic guidance on planning matters for the whole of London. The document is prepared by the Mayor of London and is generally referred to as the "London Plan".

Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) A statement prepared by the Local Authority for consultation on the LDF as a whole (as well as on planning applications). This explains how information is to be made available, who is to be consulted and how and when consultation is to take place.

Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) The requirement for SHLAAs is set out in Planning Policy Statement 3 Housing (2006); their role is to identify sites with housing potential, including potential capacity, and to assess when they are likely to be developed. In London the SHLAA has been carried out at regional level, by the Mayor of London, with input from each borough. The Mayor of London's 2009 SHLAA and Housing Capacity Study was published October 2009.

Supplementary Planning Document (SPDs) SDPs expand upon or add detail to policies within Development Plan Documents. They do not introduce new policies and must be consistent with local, regional and national policies. They can take the form of design guides or area development briefs.

Sustainability Appraisal (SA) An assessment prepared by the Local Authority that considers the social, environmental and economic effects of a plan or policy and incorporates the requirements of the SEA Directive (European Directive 2001/42/EC "on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment").

Harrow Core Strategy Final

Sustainable Community Strategy The Sustainable Community Strategy shows how the organisations making up the Harrow Strategic Partnership will try to shape the effects of global, national, regional and local trends and events to work towards successful outcomes for Harrow.

Sustainable Development/Design Development that meets the needs of the present generations without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Sustainable design refers to building techniques and associated measures that can help to achieve sustainable development.

Tenure Describes the type of ownership of a property eg. privately rented, affordable, owner occupier etc.

Town Centre Places within the borough with shopping, services and leisure functions. In Harrow there is one Metropolitan Centre as well as District and Local Centres.

Transport for London (TfL) Transport for London is the executive body of the Mayor of London responsible for implementing the Mayor's Transport Strategy for London and managing transport services across the Capital for which the Mayor has responsibility

Unitary Development Plan (UDP) The UDP is a land use plan which is to be superseded by the LDF. It provides the statutory planning framework for the local planning authority setting out the objectives, policies and proposals for the use of land and buildings in the borough.

Use Classes Order (UCO) The UCO is shorthand for the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, as amended. The purpose of the order is to classify different types of land use to enable uses to allow controls to be effectively applied and to enable certain changes of use to take place without the need for planning permission. 'A' uses are those appropriate to town centres comprising A1 (Retail), A2 (Financial and Professional Services), A3 (Cafés and Restaurants), A4 Drinking Establishments, A5 (Hot Food Take-aways).'B' uses are those that apply to employment comprising B1 (Business), B2 (General Industrial) and B8 (Storage or Distribution). 'C' uses are residential in character: C1 (Hotels), C2 (Residential Institutions) and C3 (Dwellings). 'D' uses comprise D1 (Non-Residential Institutions) and D2 (Assembly & Leisure).

Vulnerable Uses These are the vulnerable uses referred to at Annex D of Planning Policy Statement 25 Development and Flood Risk (2006) which classifies uses according to their vulnerability to flood risk.

Waste Management Strategy (2009) The Council's strategy for managing the Borough's waste.

West London Sub-Region One of the sub-regions established by the London Plan. The West London Sub-Region comprises the seven boroughs of Hammersmith and Fulham, Brent, Ealing, Harrow, Hillingdon, Hounslow and Kensington and Chelsea. It has a population of 1.6 million and provides over 900,000 jobs.

Wheelchair Homes A Wheelchair Home is a dwelling that conforms to standards (the Wheelchair Homes Standards) which make it suitable for independent occupation by a wheelchair user.